

**THE MODERATING EFFECTS OF THE INTERNAL  
OWNERSHIP ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN  
THE EFFECTIVENESS OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS  
AND EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION WITH  
EARNINGS MANAGEMENT IN THE AMMAN STOCK  
EXCHANGE**

**ANAS NAJEEB MOSA GHAZALAT**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

**2017**



**The moderating effects of the internal ownership on  
the relationship between the effectiveness of board  
of directors and executive compensation with  
earnings management in the Amman Stock  
Exchange**

by

**Anas Najeeb Mosa Ghazalat  
1442611494**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

**School of Business Innovation and Technopreneurship  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

**2017**

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

DECLARATION OF THESIS

Author's full name : .....  
Date of birth : .....  
Title : .....  
.....  
.....  
Academic Session : .....

I hereby declare that the thesis becomes the property of Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) and to be placed at the library of UniMAP. This thesis is classified as :

- CONFIDENTIAL** (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)\*
- RESTRICTED** (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)\*
- OPEN ACCESS** I agree that my thesis is to be made immediately available as hard copy or on-line open access (full text)

I, the author, give permission to the UniMAP to reproduce this thesis in whole or in part for the purpose of research or academic exchange only (except during a period of \_\_\_\_ years, if so requested above).

Certified by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
**SIGNATURE**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**(NEW IC NO. / PASSPORT NO.)**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**NAME OF SUPERVISOR**

Date : \_\_\_\_\_

Date : \_\_\_\_\_

**NOTES :** \* If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with period and reasons for confidentiality or restriction.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*I thank Allah, without Whom nothing is possible.*

I would like to express my sincere thanks to my main-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Aminul Islam for his dedicated supervision, positive advice, support, valuable suggestions, constructive criticism, and continued encouragement that played a crucial role in the completion of this thesis. Also, my appreciation goes to my second supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Idris Bin Mohd Noor for his thoughtful advises, encouragements, and useful information that enhanced this thesis.

My special appreciation and thanks are due also to Dr. Wuri Handayani and Dr. Wan Salha for their valuable suggestions and guidance. Notably worth thanking are the staffs of the school of business innovation and technopreneurship especially our beloved Dean Dr. Tunku Salha binti Tunku Ahmad in *UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS*.

I am grateful to all friends and colleagues in the accounting department in the *Jadara University* especially Dr. Ayman Abu Haija, Dr. Ali Alrabi and Dr. Mohammad Samara for their support and encouragement. Also, my appreciations goes to all staffs of the faculty of economics and administrative sciences in *Yarmouk University* especially my supervisor in the M.A. professor Turki Raji Al-Hmoud for his support and encouragement and for all my colleagues in the *Jordanian Association of Certified Public Accountants*.

I would also like to thank my sponsor, The Jadara University, for covering all my financial needs during the studying period. Last but not least, I am indebted to my father, mother, wife, son “Rasheed” and all my family members for their love, patience and encouragement ,also, for being there to make this work possible.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
<b>THESIS DECLARATION</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>IX</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>XI</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATION</b>	<b>XII</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>XIV</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>XV</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.0 Background of the Study	1
1.1 Research Problem	6
1.2 Research Questions	15
1.3 Research Objectives	15
1.4 Significance of the Study	16
1.4.1 Theoretical Aspects	17
1.4.2 Practical Aspects	23
1.5 Scope of the Study	24
1.6 Definition of Key Terms	26
1.7 Organization of the Study	28
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.0 Introduction	29

2.1 Earning Management Definitions	29
2.2 Motivations of Earning Management	32
2.2.1 Contractual Arrangement Motivations	33
2.2.2 Security Market Motivations	35
2.2.3 Regulatory and Tax Motivations	36
2.3 Earnings Management Techniques	38
2.4 Earnings Management Capturing Approach	40
2.4.1 Total Accruals Approach	41
2.4.1.1 The Healy Model (1985)	43
2.4.1.2 The DeAngelo Model (1986)	44
2.4.1.3 The Jones Model (1991)	46
2.4.1.4 The Modified Jones Model (1995)	47
2.4.1.5 The Rees et al. (1996) Model	48
2.4.1.6 The Kothari et al. (2005) Model	49
2.5 Corporate Governance	51
2.5.1 Corporate Governance Definition	51
2.5.2 Corporate Governance in Jordan	53
2.5.2.1 Development of Corporate Governance in Jordan	53
2.6 Underlying Theories of Corporate Governance	60
2.6.1 Agency Theory	60
2.6.2 Resource Dependence Theory	66
2.6.3 Positive Accounting Theory	69
2.6.4 Institutional Theory	71
2.7 The Effectiveness of Board of Directors Characteristics	74
2.7.1 Board Independence	76

2.7.2 Board Size	82
2.7.3 Board Meetings	85
2.7.4 CEO Duality	87
2.7.5 Audit and Nominations-Compensations Committees	91
2.7.6 The Competency of Board of Directors Members	94
2.8 Executive Compensations	103
2.8.1 Executive Compensations Definition	103
2.8.2 Earnings Management and Executive Compensations	104
2.9 The Role of Ownership Structure	109
2.9.1 The Moderating Effect of the Internal Ownership	111
2.9.1.1 Managerial Ownership	112
2.9.1.2 Family Ownership	118
2.10 Control Variables	123
2.11 The Literature Gaps	129
2.12 Summary	137
<b>CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.0 Introduction	139
3.1 Research Framework	140
3.2 Hypotheses Development and Measurements	145
3.2.1 Dependent Variable “Earnings Management”	146
3.2.2 Independent Variables	149
3.2.2.1 The Effectiveness of Board of Directors Characteristics	150
3.2.2.1.1 Board Independence	150
3.2.2.1.2 Board Size	152
3.2.2.1.3 Board Meetings	154

3.2.2.1.4	CEO Duality	156
3.2.2.1.5	Audit Committee and Nomination-Compensations Committee	157
3.2.2.1.6	Directors Competency (Expertise, Tenure and Directorships)	159
3.2.2.1.7	The Effectiveness Score of Board of Directors	163
3.2.2.2	Executive Compensation	166
3.2.3	Internal Ownership Factors as Moderator Variables	169
3.2.3.1	Managerial Ownership	169
3.2.3.2	Family Ownership	172
3.2.4	Control Variables	175
3.2.4.1	Firm Size	175
3.2.4.2	Financial Leverage	176
3.2.4.3	Audit Firm	177
3.2.4.4	Firm Performance	179
3.2.4.5	Sector Type	180
3.3	Data Collection	182
3.4	Data Analysis	184
3.4.1	Analysis Techniques	185
3.5	Empirical Research Models	188
3.6	Summary	192
 <b>CHAPTER 4 ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS</b>		
4.0	Introduction	193
4.1	Overview of Data Collection	193
4.2	Descriptive Statistics	195
4.2.1	Measurement of Earnings Management	196
4.2.2	Continuous and Categorical Variables	197



4.3 Panel Data Analysis and Regression Model Selection	206
4.3.1 Hausman Test	207
4.3.2 Breusch-Pagan Lagrange Multiplier Test	208
4.4 Diagnostic Checks	209
4.4.1 Panel Unit Root Test	209
4.4.2 Multicollinearity	212
4.4.3 Heteroscedasticity and Serial-Correlation Tests	218
4.4.4 Outliers	220
4.5 Hypotheses Testing Results	223
4.5.1 The First Model Results	225
4.5.1.1 The Characteristics of Board and Earnings Management	227
4.5.1.2 Executive Compensations and Earnings Management	235
4.5.1.3 Control Variables	236
4.5.2 The Second Model Results	240
4.5.3 The Third Model Results	243
4.6 Further Analysis (EM direction)	257
4.6.1 The First Model Results Under Upward and Downwards EM	260
4.6.2 The Second Model Results Under Upward and Downwards EM	265
4.6.2 The Third Model Results Under Upward and Downwards EM	267
4.7 Summary of Analysis and Findings	270
<b>CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS</b>	
5.0 Introduction	275
5.1 Recapitulations	275
5.2 Discussion	279
5.3 Implications of the Study Findings	291

5.3.1 Theoretical Implications	291
5.3.2 Practical Implications	295
5.4 Limitations of the Study	300
5.5 Suggestions for Further Research	302
5.6 Conclusion	304
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>306</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>344</b>

©This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF TABLES

NO.		PAGE
2.1	Evolution of corporate governance in the Jordanian environment	55
2.2	Summary of previous studies that investigate the variables of the study	132
3.1	The measurement technique for the effectiveness score of board of directors	166
3.2	Summary of the study variables and their measurements	181
3.3	Sample size	184
3.4	Summary of hypotheses	191
4.1	Number of firms involved in the study	194
4.2	Firms selected from each sub sectors	195
4.3	The estimation parameters of Kothari et al (2005) model	196
4.4	Descriptive statistics for continuous variables	200
4.5	Descriptive statistics for categorical variables	204
4.6	Hausman test for the study's models	208
4.7	Breusch-Pagan Lagrange multiplier test for the study's models	209
4.8	Panel unit root tests	211
4.9	Testing of multicollinearity ( VIF & Tolerance )	214
4.10	Pearson correlation coefficients for model 1, 2, 3	215
4.11	The modified Wald test for GroupWise heteroscedasticity	219
4.12	The Wooldridge test for autocorrelation	220
4.13	Mahalanobis distance and residuals statistics	222
4.14	The regression result of the first model (Random-effect GLS)	226
4.15	The regression result of the second model (Fixed-effects regression)	241
4.16	The regression result of the third model (Random-effect GLS)	245
4.17	The multiple hierarchical linear regression for the third model	248

4.18	The regression result of the third model (Re-GLS hierarchical technique)	252
4.19	Hausman & Breusch-Pagan LM tests for the study's models (EM direction)	259
4.20	The Modified Wald and the Wooldridge Tests (EM direction)	259
4.21	The regression result of the first model for EM direction (Pooled OLS)	261
4.22	The regression result of the second model for EM direction (Pooled OLS)	266
4.23	The regression result of the third model for EM direction (Pooled OLS)	268
4.24	Summary of hypothesis testing	273
4.25	Summary of the results of the study models	274

©This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF FIGURES

NO.		PAGE
3.1	Research framework	145
3.2	The time line for data collection	183
3.3	Selection regression model for the panel data	187
4.1	Typology of specification variables (The moderating variables types)	250

©This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF ABBREVIATION

<b>ASE</b>	Amman Stock Exchange
<b>ADF</b>	ADF-Fisher Chi-Square Test
<b>Aggressive EM</b>	The Aggressive Earnings Management
<b>AIC</b>	Association of Investment Companies in UK
<b>AuCO_PR</b>	Audit Committee Presence
<b>AUD</b>	Audit Firm
<b>BDIND</b>	Board Independence
<b>BDMG</b>	Board Meetings
<b>BDSZ</b>	Board Size
<b>CBJ</b>	Central Bank of Jordan
<b>CCD</b>	Jordanian Companies Control Department
<b>CEO</b>	Chief Executive Officer
<b>CEODY</b>	CEO Duality
<b>CFO</b>	Chief Financial Officer
<b>CFOp</b>	Cash Flow From Operation
<b>CLERP</b>	Corporate Law Economic Reform Program
<b>CSRC</b>	Chains Securities Regulatory Commission's
<b>DAC</b>	Discretionary Accruals
<b>DFEx</b>	Directors Financial Expertise
<b>DTE<sub>n</sub></b>	Director Tenures
<b>EM</b>	Earnings Management
<b>EX_COM</b>	Executive Compensation
<b>FAM_OW</b>	Family Ownership
<b>FIN_LEV</b>	Financial Leverage
<b>FISZ</b>	Firm Size
<b>FT</b>	Number of Firms Traded
<b>GAAP</b>	General Accepted Accounting Principle
<b>GCGF</b>	Global Corporate Governance Forum
<b>GLS</b>	Generalized-last-squares
<b>HS</b>	Hold up Sample
<b>IFC</b>	International Finance Corporation

<b>IFRS</b>	International Financial Reporting Standers
<b>IMF</b>	International Monetary Fund
<b>IPS</b>	Im, Pesaran and Shin Test
<b>JIC</b>	Jordan Insurance Commission
<b>JSC</b>	Jordanian Securities Commission
<b>LLC</b>	Levin, Lin and Chu Test
<b>MAN_OW</b>	Managerial Ownership
<b>MDs</b>	Multiple Directorships
<b>MIT</b>	Ministry of Industry and Trade in Jordan
<b>NCFFR</b>	The National Commission Fraudulent Financial Reporting
<b>NCionCO_PR</b>	Nomination-Compensations Committee Presence
<b>NDAC</b>	Non- Discretionary Accruals
<b>NSE</b>	The Nairobi Securities Exchange
<b>OECD</b>	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
<b>OLS</b>	Ordinary-least-squares
<b>PAC</b>	Positive Accounting Theory
<b>R&amp;D</b>	Research and Development
<b>ROA</b>	Return on Assets
<b>Score_BDeF</b>	Effectiveness Score Of Board Of Directors
<b>SDC</b>	Jordanian Securities Depository Center
<b>SECTy</b>	Sector Type
<b>SEO</b>	Seasoned Equity Offerings
<b>SMEs</b>	Small And Medium-Sized Enterprises
<b>TAC</b>	Total Accruals
<b>TOL</b>	Tolerance Factors
<b>VIF</b>	Variance Inflation Factor

# **Kesan Penyederhanaan Pemilikan Dalaman Pada Hubungan Antara Keberkesanan Lembaga Pengarah Dan Pampasan Eksekutif Dengan Pengurusan Penrolehan Di Amman Bursa Saham**

## **ABSTRAK**

Matlamat utama kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan tahap amalan pengurusan perolehan (EM) dalam pasaran modal Jordan dan peranan keberkesanan tadbir urus korporat dalam mengurangkan amalan-amalan ini terutamanya selepas mengamalkan kod korporat Jordan pada tahun 2009. Oleh itu, kajian ini dilihat semula kesusasteraan pada hubungan antara faktor-faktor keberkesanan lembaga pengarah di peringkat individu dan mewujudkan nilai keberkesanan kepada sembilan lembaga pengarah faktor. Kajian ini turut meneroka bagaimana keberkesanan lembaga pengarah dan pampasan eksekutif adalah pemboleh ubah sederhana dari pemilikan dalaman "pemilikan pengurusan dan keluarga" ke pengurangan EM. Dalam istilah ini, empat teori membentuk teori asas kajian ini. Teori agensi, teori sumber daya bergantung dan teori perakaunan positif meramalkan bahawa amalan tadbir urus korporat akan memainkan peranan penting dalam meningkatkan pelaporan kewangan melalui peranan pemantauan, peranan ketergantungan sumber dan keupayaan untuk menggabungkan kepentingan pihak berkontrak. Walau bagaimanapun, teori institusi merujuk kepada kemungkinan bahawa amalan ini sebagai tiruan untuk "isomorfisme" pasaran dan tekanan sosial kemudian mencapai peraturan yang dikehendaki. Kebanyakan kajian lepas memberi tumpuan kepada interaksi tradisional antara mekanisme tadbir urus korporat dan EM, justeru, belum ada kajian melihat varians amalan-amalan ini yang boleh dikaitkan dengan EM dan jenis struktur pemilikan. Oleh itu, kajian ini memberi gambaran mengenai apakah masalah agensi pusat atau tradisional wujud. Lebih-lebih lagi, untuk mencapai objektif kajian ini Kothari et al. 2005 model digunakan sebagai proksi EM. Tiga model telah dibangunkan dan diuji untuk menjawab persoalan kajian. Model-model ini telah diuji menggunakan kaedah analisis data panel atas sampel 798 firma-pemerhatian yang dikumpul daripada syarikat bukan kewangan Jordan yang disenaraikan di ASE dari 2009 hingga 2015. Hasil model pertama (Random-kesan) menunjukkan setiap kebebasan lembaga, kekerapan mesyuarat, dualiti ketua pengawai eksekutif, jawatankuasa audit, tempoh pengarah dan pampasan eksekutif berhubung kait secara ketara dengan amalan EM. Manakala, saiz lembaga, jawatankuasa pencalonan-pampasan, kepakaran pengarah kewangan dan beberapa kepengarahan didapati tidak signifikan dengan EM. Dari segi pembolehubah kawalan, hanya jenis sektor dan firma jenis audit didapati signifikan. Model kedua (tetap-kesan) menunjukkan hubungan yang signifikan antara keberkesanan lembaga pengarah (sebagai skor a) dan EM. Tambahan pula, model ketiga (regresi hierarki) menunjukkan kesan signifikan yang sederhana terhadap pemilikan keluarga berhubung kait antara keberkesanan lembaga pengarah dan jumlah pampasan eksekutif dengan EM. Manakala, pemilikan pengurusan menyederhanakan hubungan antara pampasan eksekutif dan EM dengan ketara. Selain itu, dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa tadbir urus korporat memainkan peranan penting dalam mengurangkan tingkah laku oportunistik dan jurang perbezaan di bawah masalah agensi tradisional di mana ianya telah menjadi sebagai fiksyen undang-undang apabila masalah agensi pusat wujud.



# **The Moderating Effects of The Internal Ownership on The Relationship Between The Effectiveness of Board Of Directors and Executive Compensation with Earnings Management in The Amman Stock Exchange**

## **ABSTRACT**

The primary goal of this study is to determine the level of earnings management (EM) practices in Jordanian capital market and the effectiveness role of the corporate governance in mitigating these practices especially after adopting the Jordanian corporate code in 2009. Therefore, this study revisits the literature on the relationship between the factors of the effectiveness of the board of directors at the individual level and as a bundle through creating a score of effectiveness for nine board of directors factors. This study also investigates how the effectiveness of board of directors and the executive compensations are moderated by internal ownership “managerial and family ownership” to mitigate EM. In this term, four theories formed the theoretical foundation of this study. The agency theory, the resource dependence theory and the positive accounting theory predict that corporate governance practices would play an important role in improving the financial reporting through the monitoring role, resource dependence role and ability to combining the interest of the contractual parties. However, the institutional theory refers to the possibility that these practices can be as an imitation for the market “isomorphism” and social pressure then achieve the desired regulation. Most of the prior studies focused on the traditional interaction among corporate governance mechanisms and EM, thus, neglected the variance of these practices that can be attributed to the business environment and the nature of ownership structure. Consequently, this study sheds light on whether the central or traditional agency problem existed. Moreover, to achieve the objectives of this research Kothari et al. 2005 model is used as a proxy of EM. Three models were developed and tested to answer the research questions. These models were tested using the panel data analysis methods over a sample of 798 firm-observation collected from the Jordanian non-financial firms listed in the ASE during the period of 2009-2015. The findings of the first model (Random-effect) provides each of the board independence, meeting frequency, CEO duality, audit committee, directors tenures and the executive compensations significantly affect the EM practices. While, the board size, nominations-compensations committee, directors financial expertise and multiple directorships found to be not significantly related with EM. In terms of the control variables, only the sector type and the audit firm type are found to be significant. The second model (fixed-effect) shows a significant relationship between the effectiveness of the board of directors (as a score) and the EM practices. Furthermore, the third model (hierarchical regression) shows significant moderating effect of the family ownership on the relationships between the effectiveness of the board of directors and the total executive compensation with the EM practices. While, the managerial ownership significantly moderated the relationship between executive compensation and the EM practices. The findings indicate that the corporate governance plays a pivotal role in mitigating the opportunistic behaviors and minimizes the divergence gap under the traditional agency problem while it has become as legal fiction when the central agency problem existed.

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.0 Background of the Study

Earnings are one of the most important outcomes of a financial accounting system (Graham, Harvey, & Rajgopal, 2005; Lara, Juan, Garcia Osma, & Penalva, 2012). Earnings are extensively used in the decision-making process by decision makers comprising users of financial statements, whether internal or external. Reported earnings act as the fulcrum for the formulation of corporate policies that correspond to increasing capital, executive compensation, and debt covenants (Muchoki, 2013). Meanwhile, they provide a predictive and feedback value to the setters of accounting standards regarding the effectiveness of accounting standards and currently used treatments. This provision eventually affects economic growth and sustainable development (Schipper & Vincent, 2003; Kieso, Weygandt, & Warfield, 2010).

Therefore, the level of earnings quality becomes doubtful when managers have financial and economic incentives to manage earnings aggressively “described as an opportunistic behavior if done to serve the managers’ interests” (Healy & Wahlen, 1999; Rahman, Moniruzzaman, & Sharif, 2013). This ability arises from accounting practices and treatments that provide extensive powers of discretion to managers in reporting earnings, particularly with regard to accrual. This judgment might be exploited to generate features to influence the decision making of financial statement users (Ronen & Yaari, 2008; Beneish, Capkun, & Fridson, 2013). Moreover, the separation between ownership and control provides managers additional advantages for greater control compared with external information users. Such advantages encourage managers to control earnings opportunistically by exploiting the flexibility of

accounting principles and treatments in accordance with their interests (Krishnan, Raman, Yang, & Yu, 2011; Habib, Bhuiyan, & Islam, 2013).

Academicians, practitioners, and regulators consider earnings management one of the most controversial accounting issues (Dechow & Skinner, 2000; Dechow, Hutton, Kim, & Sloan, 2012). Earnings management leads to distortion of the real financial performance of a company and creates different visions of reality. These visions influence the expectations of financial statement users by making the future financial performance of a company excessively optimistic (Krishnan et al., 2011). The escalating seriousness of earnings management logically stems from the uncomplicated functions that accounting earnings could play for a wide range of users (Ronen & Yaari, 2008). This condition guided several researchers to adopt positive accounting theory to explain the current state of accounting and the present behavior of accountants (Scott, 2009). The condition also explains the effects of these issues on the people and the optimal exploitation of resources (Jensen & Meckling, 1976).

Even in the late 1990s, most studies focused on revealing the irregularity of “abnormal accruals” in determining the aggregate accruals of firms (Dechow et al., 2012). Other studies focused on the inherent motivation to manage these accruals through firm managers prior to devoting greater attention to the constraints of managing earnings and the consequences and ramifications of these constraints (Healy & Wahlen, 1999). Generally, earnings management has been investigated as an unstructured form of databases (as a random sample or with the entire market) to identify correlations between different related proxies or factors and earnings management (i.e., Klein, 2000; Klein, 2002; Phillips, Pincus, & Rego, 2003; Park & Shin, 2004; Osma & Nogue, 2007; Lin & Hwang, 2010; Abed, Al-Attar, & Suwaidan, 2012).

Many alternative treatments under accounting standards have been adopted for similar situations (such as that in the conservatism concept and/or revenue and expense recognition). These treatments have been used in many situations where accountants encounter different measurements. Thus, firm managers could exploit the flexibility of accounting principles and standards in an opportunistic manner (Gibson, 2009; Onalo, Mohd, & Ahmad, 2014). Several treatments could be directed to affect the current period by undervaluing net assets. This scenario causes future earnings to be overstated as a result of the underestimation of future expenses.

The significance of anti-earnings management is believed to be multifaceted. Thus, anti-earnings management has received much attention in agency theory (Abdul-Rahman & Ali, 2006; Ikechukwu, 2013; Islam, 2014). Prior studies have documented that earnings management can be avoided through proper implementation of laws and regulations, such as the recommendation of corporate governance, which minimizes agency conflict by regulating the opportunistic behaviors of managers (Ball & Shivakumar, 2005; Lin & Hwang, 2010). Moreover, the usefulness of corporate governance in the agency relationship eventually improves the usefulness of financial statements and the value of the company through its monitoring ability (Abed et al., 2012; Ikechukwu, 2013). Likewise, corporate governance minimizes the divergence gap by aligning the interests of contracting parties through appropriate executive compensations (Shiyyab, Girardone, & Zakaria, 2013).

Previous studies have shown that corporate governance mechanism is an effective tool for constraining and monitoring managerial opportunistic behaviors, such as opportunistic earnings management (Klein, 2002; Xie, Davidson, & DaDalt, 2003; Niu, 2006; Shah, Butt, & Hassan, 2009; Ngamchom, 2015). Many researchers have indicated that the pressure to comply with the underlying mechanisms of corporate governance

discourages companies to engage in manipulated earnings (i.e., Klein, 2000; Klein, 2002; Phillips et al., 2003; Park & Shin, 2004; Osma & Noguer, 2007; Lin & Hwang, 2010; Abed et al., 2012).

Therefore, corporate governance is one of the means to prevent earnings management. However, mere presence of this conviction is unlikely to lead to implementation because it is unable to completely restrict such practices depending on other factors, such as the business environment, culture, firm size, company ownership structure, company performance, and level of entry into the force of the companies, acting in the business environment (Chahine & Tohmé, 2009; Desender, 2009). Examining the effectiveness of these corporate governance mechanisms separately can explain the weakness of such practices, in which the effectiveness of one mechanism relies on that of another mechanism (Ward, Brown, & Rodriguez, 2009).

Notably, the corporate governance concept has been considered after the financial scandals in the global market that have attributed to the collapse of a number of major companies (Chen & Zhang, 2014). These financial scandals such collapse of the HealthSouth Corporation in 2003; Bristol-Myers Squibb in 2002; Enron in 2001 and WorldCom in 1990 in the US; One-Tel in 1995; HIH in 2001 and Harris Scarfe in 2001 in Australia; Parmalat in 2003 in Italy and Lernout & Hauspie in 2000 in Belgium; the global business in 2002 in Jordan. Therefore, many regulators and academicians have focused on improving earnings quality through accounting quality and corporate governance (Uwuigbe, Peter, & Oyeniya, 2014). Evidence on the principal causes of these collapses point to earnings management and the weakness of corporate governance (Downes & Russ, 2005). For example, Downes and Russ (2005) reported that Enron's scandal transpired as a result of weaknesses in the company's corporate

governance, mainly the lack of independence of the audit committee. Another reason is the continuous use of inappropriate and unsystematic earnings management practices for long periods (Ronen & Yaari, 2008).

Amid these repercussions, various organizational changes have occurred worldwide to enhance the corporate governance practice. Examples include the Sarbanes–Oxley Act (Sox) 2002 in the US and the Corporate Law Economic Reform Program Act (CLERP9) 2004, both of which necessitate audit reform and corporate disclosure (Cottrell, 2009). In 2004, corporate governance practices in Jordan were evaluated by the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF). The report revealed several impairments in the Jordanian corporate governance framework and showed the comparatively immature stage of implementation of corporate governance. This was a major reason for the emergence of new corporate governance codes for Jordanian listed companies in 2009 (JSC, 2009b; Al-Najjar, 2010).

Principally, the conflict of interest between shareholders and managers can be resolved through several corporate governance mechanisms, thus minimizing the level of earnings management (Jensen & Meckling, 1976; Fama & Jensen, 1983; Vafeas, 2005). However, no conclusive evidence exists at present to show that corporate governance mitigates the opportunistic behaviors of managers. Despite the multiplicity of studies, the debate continues amid varying results, suggesting that the role of corporate governance is unsupported (Gulzar & Wang, 2011; Mohamad, Rashid, & Shawtari, 2012). Furthermore, previous evidence has shown that the quality of accounting information is affected by the inaccurate implementation of accounting standards, weak implementation of the governing protection role of investors, and inadequacy of the governance system (Ball & Shivakumar, 2005). Thus, studying whether corporate governance mechanisms can effectively decrease earnings

management practices in an emerging market (e.g., Jordan) is potentially significant and interesting to regulators, investors, and academicians.

### **1.1 Research Problem**

The creditability and reliability of financial statements became questionable after the global economic fallout of listed companies in financial markets, including the firms in the Amman Stock Exchange (ASE) (Hamdan, 2012). Therefore, solutions must be obtained to restore the confidence in financial reporting and enhance its quality. This situation will lead to the direct attention of organizations, regulators, professionals, and academicians on recommending procedures and reforms by ideally optimizing corporate governance mechanisms, with focus on accounting principles. In turn, such optimization would help control the contractual content of contracts, such as executive compensation, and increase the external and internal audit quality (Chau & Gray, 2010; Chen, Chen, Lobo, & Wang, 2011; Abed et al., 2012; Hassan & Ahmed, 2012; Abed, Suwaidan, & Slimani, 2014).

The financial information obtained from company annual reports that represent the company earnings affect the financial statement users decisions and views (i.e. investors, creditors, regulators and many others), because it's a powerful indicators for the activities of the firm in the added-value business (Ronen & Yaari, 2008). In fact, managers used their personal judgments depending on the discretion power inherent in applying and interpreting many of the accounting principles and treatments. Thus, the opportunistic behaviors for managing accounting earnings would arise through different techniques such as the cookie jar reserve, accelerating revenue recognition, big bath charges, discretion on the accounting estimates and accounting choices, flushing investment portfolio and big bet in future (Rahman et al., 2013).

Earnings management considers as deliberate management practices followed for the preparation and presentation of the annual reports in accordance with the flexibility inherent in the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) or International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). These are intended to influence the firm's income on the short run, either upwards or downwards income, to achieve specific objectives according to informational implications, such as financial analyst expectations and the economic consequences, whether in the attempt to increase the managers or the majority shareholders interests "i.e., who are internal" (Healy & Wahlen, 1999; Schipper & Vincent, 2003; Roychowdhury, 2006; Xiong, 2006; Ronen & Yaari, 2008; Goel, 2012). In other words, these practices are used intentionally to manipulate earnings of the current period to achieve the predetermined goals of the firm management (Kuang, 2008; Rahman et al., 2013). Earnings management practices considered as an opportunistic behaviors followed to transfer the wealth to managers or the majority shareholders. However, these practices arise as a result of complying with accounting choices, violating or lack of the accounting choices as a type of earnings manipulation and fraud (Watts & Zimmerman, 1990; Healy & Wahlen, 1999; Dechow & Skinner, 2000; Yaping, 2005). Therefore, earnings management practices would be in the form of structuring the firm transaction of revenues and expenses, changing in accounting choices and estimation, obscuring the actual economic performance "accruals management" or violating and changing in accounting principles "fraudulent accounting". The motivations behind the earnings management practices is not easy to be determined but no doubt that there is a victim of such practices (Yaping, 2005). Firms management in most of the cases attempts to manipulate earnings in the ways that reflect on the economic performance in their firms which in turn will misled financial