



**Effects of Students' Satisfaction and Co-Creation on the
Relationship between Attitudinal Factors and
Destination Loyalty: Evidence from International
Students in Malaysian Public Universities**

by

**Ndanusa, Mohammed Manzuma-Ndaaba
(1343011078)**

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

**School of Business Innovation and Technopreneurship
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2017

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

DECLARATION OF THESIS

Author's full name : Ndanusa Mohammed Manzuma-Ndaaba

Date of birth : 3rd May, 1974

Title : Effects of students' Satisfaction and Co-Creation on the Relationship between Attitudinal Factors and Destination Loyalty: Evidence from International Students in Malaysian Public Universities

Academic Session : 2017/2018

I hereby declare that the thesis becomes the property of Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) and to be placed at the library of UniMAP. This thesis is classified as:

CONFIDENTIAL (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)

RESTRICTED (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)*

OPEN ACCESS I agree that my thesis is to be made immediately available as hard copy or on-line open access (full text)

I, the author, give permission to the UniMAP to reproduce this thesis in whole or in part for the purpose of research or academic exchange only (except during a period of _____ years, if so requested above).

Certified by:

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR

(NEW IC NO./ PASSPORT NO.)

Date: _____

NAME OF SUPERVISOR

Date: _____

NOTES: * If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with period and reasons for confidentiality or restriction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise and gratitude is due to Allah (SWT) who designed this journey for me to undertake a doctoral study even before my birth and since His words are divine, He guided me throughout the journey. All I have to say is Alhamdulillah. I acknowledged the support of my employer, National Orientation Agency, Nigeria for granting me study leave to pursue doctoral degree.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my able supervisors, in the persons of Associate Professor Dr Yoshifumi Harada, Dr Abd Rahim Romle and Dr Norshahrizan Nordin. I would remain highly indebted to Associate Professor Dr Harada for his excellent support in all fronts. I equally thank Dr Abd Rahim who inspired me with his noble words “everything gets easy when you are crazy but nothing is easy when you are lazy”. I wish to sincerely thank Dr “E” for motherly encouragement and painstakingly proof read, edit, arranged and format this thesis. Special thanks to Dr Dwi Suhartanto of Politeknik Negeri Bandung, Indonesia for his useful suggestions at the beginning of this study. He is a friend of Dr Rahim. I am also indebted to Assoc. Prof. Dr Md Aminul Islam for his contributions at various stages of my research. Worth to mention in this thesis is my friends and colleagues, Dr Abdullateef Olayemi Aliyu who initiated my PhD journey and Prince Lanre that was there till the end. I wish to acknowledge the suggestions, recommendations and constructive criticism of my examiners/reviewers and session chair at proposal defense, pre-viva and final viva. Particularly, Prof Dr Amran Rasli of UTM, PM Dr Shahar Jusoh and Prof Dr Dato Wira Mohammed Salleh Hj Din. Your feedbacks helped in no small measure. To the Dean of PPIPT, Dr Tunku Ahmed Salha, your efforts worth my commendation.

I wish to register my appreciation to my family members for their moral and financial supports. First and foremost, the encouragement and constant prayers of my mother, Hajia Aishat Ndanusa, with Allah her prayers saw me through. To my late father, who proclaimed that I will be great some day, is a pity you are not around to celebrate this milestone you predicted many years ago. Late Mallam Ndanusa Tsaduya (Checheko Lafiagi) I will forever remember you in my prayers. To my faithful wife Hajia Aminat Mohammed, words cannot contain my level of appreciation, only you understands what I went through on this journey. You saw the good, the bad and the ugly, yet you stood firmly behind me, Allah bless you for me. Rukaya, AbdulRahman, Halima and Siti Hauwa, you were all denied the love the presence of your father at home could give you over this periods; Allah will make you greater than me in all ramifications. To my siblings, I say thank you for your understanding, support and encouragement. To my numerous friends and associates at home and abroad, I wish I can mention your names individually but for space constraint, I wish you greater successes.

Finally, all gratitude is due to Almighty Allah, the Most High, out of whose mercy all good things were accomplished.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION OF THESIS	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	ix
ABSTRAK	xi
ABSTRACT	xii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Malaysia International Higher Education Experience	10
1.3 Problem Statement	17
1.4 Research Questions	26
1.5 Research Objectives	27
1.6 Significance of the study	27
1.6.1 Significance to Theory	28
1.6.2 Significance to Practitioners	29
1.7 Scope of the Study	31
1.8 Operational Definition of Terms	31
1.9 Organization of the Thesis	34
2.1 Introduction	35
2.2 An Overview of International Student Decision Making Process	36
2.3 Higher Education Services	38
2.4 What is a Destination?	40
2.5 Loyalty and Destination Loyalty	42
2.5.1 Definition of Destination Loyalty	42
2.6 Components of Loyalty	43

2.6.1	Behavioral Approach	44
2.6.2	Attitudinal Approach	46
2.6.3	Composite Approach	48
2.6.4	Multi-Dimension Measurement of Loyalty	52
2.6.4.1	Cognitive Loyalty	52
2.6.4.2	Affective Loyalty	53
2.6.4.3	Conative Loyalty	55
2.6.4.4	Action Loyalty	56
2.6.4.5	Destination Loyalty in this Study	57
2.7	Drivers of Destination Loyalty	60
2.7.1	Service Quality	62
2.7.2	Perceived Image	69
2.7.3	Perceived Value	75
2.7.4	Personal Reasons	78
2.8	Mediation Variable: Students' Satisfaction	82
2.9	Moderation Variable: Co-creation	88
2.9.1	Relationship between Destination Loyalty and its Determinants in the Education Industry	95
2.10	Underpinning Theories on Variables	100
2.11	Summary of the Chapter	105
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		106
3.1	Introduction	106
3.2	Research philosophy	106
3.3	The Research Model of the Study	110
3.4	Developing Hypotheses for Destination loyalty and its Drivers	111
3.4.1.1	Relationship between Service Quality, Students' Satisfaction and Destination Loyalty	113
3.4.1.2	Relationship between Perceived Image, Students' Satisfaction and Destination Loyalty	114
3.4.2	Relationship between Perceived Value, Students' Satisfaction and Destination Loyalty	116
3.4.2.1	Relationship between personal Reasons, Students' Satisfaction and Destination Loyalty	117
3.4.3	Relationship between Students' Satisfaction and Destination Loyalty	118
3.5	Mediation Relationship of Students' Satisfaction	119
3.6	The Moderation effect of Co-Creation on Students' Satisfaction to Loyalty	121
3.7	Research Design	123
3.7.1	Population of the Study	124
3.7.2	Sampling Size Determination	127
3.8	Sampling Procedures	129
3.8.1	Questionnaire Design	130

3.8.2	Instrument used for data collection	131
3.8.3	Unit of Analysis	132
3.8.4	Rating Scales for Response	133
3.8.5	Data Collection Procedures	133
3.9	Strategy for Data Analysis	135
3.10	Operationalization of Variables and Measurement	138
3.10.1	Destination Loyalty	138
3.10.2	Measuring Service Quality	140
3.10.3	Measuring Perceived Image	142
3.10.4	Measuring Perceived Value	143
3.10.5	Measuring Personal Reasons	144
3.10.6	Measuring Students' Satisfaction	145
3.10.7	Measuring Co-Creation	146
3.11	Pilot Test for Reliability	148
3.12	Chapter Summary	150
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		151
4.1	Introduction	151
4.2	Response Rate	152
4.3	Preliminary Analysis	154
4.3.1	Data Coding and Screening	154
4.3.2	Missing Value Analysis	155
4.3.3	Assessment of Outliers	156
4.3.4	Normality Test	157
4.3.5	Multicollinearity Test	159
4.3.6	Test of Non-response Bias	160
4.3.7	Common Method Bias	162
4.3.8	Demographic Profile of Respondents	164
4.3.9	Descriptive Statistics of Latent Constructs	167
4.4	PLS-SEM Path Modeling	169
4.4.1	Assessment of the Measurement Model	170
4.4.1.1	Reliability and Validity of Data	172
4.4.1.2	Discriminant Validity	176
4.4.1.3	Coefficient of Determination (R^2)	177
4.4.2	Assessment of the Structural Model for Hypotheses Testing	178
4.4.2.1.1	Hypotheses Testing for Direct Relationships	178
4.4.2.2	Assessment of the Effect Size for Direct Relationships	184
4.4.2.3	Predictive Relevance for Direct Relationships	185
4.4.2.4	Hypotheses Testing for Indirect Relationship (Mediation Effects)	186
4.4.2.5	Hypotheses Testing for Moderation Relationship (Co-Creation Effects)	188
4.5	Summary of the Chapter	189

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	191
5.1 Introduction	191
5.2 Recapitulation of the Research Findings	191
5.3 Discussions on the Findings	193
5.3.4 Does Co-Creation determine destination loyalty? (H14)	202
5.3.5 Does students' satisfaction mediate the relationship between service quality, perceived image, perceived value, personal reasons and loyalty at education destination? (H10, H11, H12, H13)	203
5.3.6 Does Co-creation moderate the relationship between students's satisfaction and destination loyalty? (H15)	206
5.4 Contributions of the Study	206
5.4.1 Theoretical Contributions	207
5.4.2 Practical Contributions	211
5.1.1 Methodological Contributions	216
5.2 Limitations and Future Research Directions	217
5.3 Conclusion	220
REFERENCES	222
List of Appendices	263
Appendix A: Survey Questionnaire	263
Appendix B: Respondents' Profile	269
Appendix C: Descriptive Statistics of the Variables	270
Appendix H: Summary of some of the prior studies reviewed	274
Appendix I: Publications from the PhD Research	284

LIST OF TABLES

NO	PAGE	
1.1	International students' enrolment in private and public HEIs	25
2.1	Difference between customer satisfaction and service quality	83
2.2	Summary of studies on measurements of Co-Creation	92
3.1	Public universities and International student's population	124
3.2	Sample frame	126
3.3	Constructs, items and sources	137
3.4	Profile of pilot test instrument	145
3.5	Results of Reliability Test	145
4.1	Questionnaire Distribution and Percentage	148
4.2	Skewness and Kurtosis	154
4.3	Inter-constructs correlations for multicollinearity test	155
4.4	Independent t-test	156
4.5	Demographic Profile of Respondents	160
4.6	Descriptive Analysis of Constructs	163
4.7	Convergent Validity and Reliability Analysis	170
4.8	Discriminant Validity (Fornell-Lacker Criterion)	171
4.9	Coefficient of determination (R-square)	172
4.10	Structural Model output (Direct Relationships)	175
4.11	Assessment of the Effect Size (F-Square)	179
4.12	Predictive Relevance (Q-Square)	180
4.13	Results of mediation effects test	181
4.14	Result of moderation effect test	183
4.15	Summary of Hypotheses Testing Results	184

LIST OF FIGURES

NO		PAGE
1.1	Top 10 Places of Origin of International Students in Malaysia	15
2.1	Four Stage of Loyalty	51
3.1	Schematic diagram of research framework	109
4.1	Two-Step Process of PLS-SEM Path Assessment	165
4.2	PLS measurement model	166
4.3	PLS Structural model	174

©This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AVE	Average Variance Extraction
CC	Co-Creation
CL	Customer Loyalty
CMV	Common Method Variance
CRM	Customer Relationship Management
CS	Customer Satisfaction
DART	dialogue, access, risk and transparency
DL	Destination Loyalty
F ²	Effect Size
FP	Foundamental Premise (FP1-10)
GD	Goods Dominant
GoF	Goodness of fit
HEdPERF	Higher Education Performance
HEIs	Higher Education Institutions
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
PI	Perceived Image
PLS	Partial least square
PR	Personal Reasons
PV	Perceived Value
PhD	Doctor of Philosophy
PLS	Partial Least Square
Q ²	Cross Validated Redundancy Measure

R ²	Coefficient of Determination
RM	Relationship Marketing
SEM	Structural Equation Modeling
SERVQUAL	Service Quality
SERVPERF	Service Performance
SD	Service Dominant
SET	Social Exchange Theory
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
SS	Students' Satisfaction
UK	United Kingdom
USA	United States of America
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UniMAP	Universiti Malaysia Perlis

©This item is protected by original copyright

Implikasi Kepuasan Pelajar dan Penciptaan Bersama pada hubungan Faktor-faktor Tingkahlaku dan Kesetiaan Destinasi: Bukti dari para Pelajar Antarabangsa di Universiti Awam (UA) Malaysia

ABSTRAK

Memandangkan peningkatan kadar keciciran pelajar-pelajar antarabangsa pada destinasi belajar yang disebabkan oleh peningkatan terhadap pemasaran pendidikan, pengurangan alokasi belanjawan awam, globalisasi, pengantarabangsaaan dan persaingan sengit, kajian ini menumpukan pengisian ruang dengan mencadangkan dan mengesahkan model kesetiaan destinasi, juga menghasilkan paradigma baru hubungan pemasaran dalam sektor pengajian tinggi. Merangka daripada konsep inovasi pemasaran baru daripada logik perkhidmatan dominan [Service Dominant (SD)] dan teori penukaran sosial, kajian ini memeriksa kesan penciptaan bersama pada hubungan antara factor-faktor tingkahlaku dan kesetiaan destinasi. Seramai 498 siswazah dan pasca-siswazah antarabangsa daripada Universiti Awam (UA) Malaysia terlibat dalam kaji selidik kajian ini. Keputusan analisis Pendekatan Kuasa Dua Terkecil Separa (PLS) menyokong semua keputusan, tetapi dua pemboleh ubah dalam hipotesis mempunyai hubungan langsung kepada kepuasan pelajar dan kesetiaan destinasi. Dengan kata lainnya, kualiti servis, penerimaan imej dan nilai yang diterima secara signifikannya mempengaruhi kepuasan pelajar. Pembolehubah pengantara iaitu kepuasan pelajar dan penciptaan bersama juga menunjukkan hubungan yang signifikan terhadap kesetiaan destinasi. Walaubagaimanapun, penerimaan imej tidak signifikan kepada kesetiaan destinasi. Alasan peribadi juga tidak signifikan dengan kepuasan pelajar. Ketepatan keputusan terhadap kepuasan pelajar sebagai penyederhana adalah signifikan kecuali alasan peribadi. Keputusan analisis turut mencadangkan bahawa penciptaan bersama telah menyederhanakan hubungan antara kepuasan pelajar, dan kesetiaan destinasi. Keputusan kajian ini memberi sokongan empirikal kepada pandangan bahawa nilai penciptaan bersama antara pengguna dan penyedia perkhidmatan akan memberikan daya saing dalam hubungan perkhidmatan. Oleh itu, pengetahuan tentang FP-4 didalam logik SD akan membantu pengurusan universiti mengembangkan profil pelajar mereka untuk kelebihan berdaya saing yang mampan. Adalah disyorkan bahawa setiap destinasi yang baharu muncul dapat menumpukan perhatian kepada penyediaan perkhidmatan yang unik. Secara khususnya, Universiti Awam (UA) Malaysia perlu memberi fokus kepada pelaksanaan penciptaan bersama untuk mendapatkan kesetiaan pelajar dan meningkatkan pelajar antarabangsa ke negara ini untuk faedah pengantarabangsaaan mampan.

Kata kunci: Kesetiaan destinasi, logik perkhidmatan dominan (SD), alasan peribadi, penciptaan bersama.

Effects of Students' Satisfaction and Co-Creation on the Relationship between Attitudinal Factors and Destination Loyalty: Evidence from International Students in Malaysian Public Universities

ABSTRACT

In view of the ever increasing attrition rate of international students at study destinations occasioned by increased education marketization, reduced public budgetary allocation, globalization, internationalization and stiff competition, this study aimed to fill research vacuum by proposing and validating destination loyalty model using new paradigm of relationship marketing in higher education sector. Drawing from a new marketing innovation concept of service dominant (SD) logic and social exchange theory, this study examines the effects of students' satisfaction and Co-Creation on the relationship between attitudinal factors and destination loyalty. A total of 498 undergraduate and post graduate international students from Malaysian public universities participated in the survey. The result of partial least square (PLS) path analysis supported all but two variables in the hypothesized direct relationship to students' satisfaction and destination loyalty. Specifically, attitudinal factors of service quality, perceived value and personal reasons were found to have significant relationship with destination loyalty. Similarly, service quality, perceived image and perceived value significantly influenced students' satisfaction. The intervening variables of students' satisfaction and Co-creation also show significant relationship with destination loyalty. However, perceived image was not significant to destination loyalty. Personal reason was also not significant with students' satisfaction. The bootstrapping result of students' satisfaction as mediator was significant except with personal reasons. The results of the analysis also suggest that Co-Creation moderates the relationship between students' satisfaction and destination loyalty. Taken together, the findings of this study lend empirical support to the view that joint creation of value between consumers and service providers will confer competitive advantage in service relationship. Hence, knowledge of SD logic will assist universities management to grow their student's profile for sustainable competitive advantage. It is recommended that emerging destinations should concentrate on retention through unique service provision. Malaysian public universities particularly should focus on the implementation of students' satisfaction and Co-Creation to earn students' loyalty and increase inbound international students for sustainable internationalization benefits.

Keywords: Destination loyalty, service dominant (SD) logic, personal reasons, Co-Creation.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

The impacts of globalization on the twenty-first century economy have been paradoxically positioned in dual perspectives: first, consumers are confronted with plausible choices that yield less satisfaction; second, top management are strategically laded with increasing options that yield less value (Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2015). These observations conceptually cut across all spheres of human endeavors including education (Arambewela & Hall, 2009). The mechanics of globalization exerts pressure on tertiary institutions to adapt internationalization policies including marketization of international education to augment global reduction in education budget (Wu & Naidoo, 2016; Altbatch & Knight, 2007; Abubakar & Mokhtar, 2015b). The international education industry is apparently and inherently competitive due to the burgeoning demand for higher education across nations (Lian, 2011; Oplatka & Hemsley-Brown, 2010).

In addition to global decrease in education financing, transformation from manufacturing to knowledge-based economy and adoption of consumerist approach to higher education make retention/loyalty strategies imperatives for countries' survival in the international education market (Wu & Naidoo, 2016; Abubakar & Mokhtar, 2015a; Bowden, 2013; Sheu, 2011; Kusumawati, Yanamanram & Perera, 2010; Maringe & Carter,

2007). Consequently, increasing demand for international higher education services underscores the necessity for the comprehension of contemporary multifaceted determinants of international student's choice and destination loyalty, considering the decisional precedence of nation over institution (Kusumawati et al., 2010; Arambewela & Hall, 2009; Cubillo, Sanchez & Cervino, 2006).

As regards the looming choice of educational destination, macro-economic variables such as security, cost of living, GDP, per capital income, infrastructure, visa and immigration, culture, religion, geographical location, friends/ relatives resides, amongst others are cardinal factors worthy of due individual deliberation (Veloutsou, Paton & Lewis, 2005; Arambewela, 2003). In the selection of institution, micro-economic variables such as cost of study, learning environment, quality of service, recognition of certificate, employment, program availability, prestige of the institution are some of the germane factors of plausible consideration (Veloutsou et al., 2005; Arambewela, 2003; Ahmad & Nordin, 2013).

In alliance with contemporary business ambience, the introduction of marketing theories into higher education as part of survival strategy to cope with government disinvestment in education pose some global institutional challenges (Wu & Naidoo, 2016; Moore & Bowden-Everson, 2012; Hemsely-Brown & Oplatka, 2006). The challenges resulting from international student's mobility across the globe mandates each country to design coping strategies such as robust students' support schemes, reduced tuition fee, financial aids, course waivers, conducive environment and advertising/market approaches, not only to attract but importantly to retain students at the destination (Abubakar, 2015; Hashim, Abdullateef & Sarkindaji, 2015; Arambewela & Hall, 2009; Naidoo & Wu, 2014). Essentially, the target is to gain and sustain competitive advantage in the market which is a

function of operant resources advocated in fundamental premises (PF-4) of service-dominant (SD) logic (Vargo & Lusch, 2004).

Another critical assumption of SD logic is the view that providers are service providers, and service is the fundamental basis for exchange (Zhang & Chen, 2008). Service is the use of resources for the benefit of another party, which forms the basis for all exchange (Vargo & Lusch, 2004). The key resources for competitive advantage are competences, skills and knowledge, and are usually applied to other resources for the benefits of the relational parties (Vargo, Maglio & Akaka, 2008). Under this innovative marketing concept, there is no value until an offering is used to essentially determine value through experience and perception via service system (Vargo & Lusch, 2008). Service system in SD logic is an arrangement of resources (people, technology, and information) connected to other systems by value propositions. In this manner, the system makes use of its own resources and resources of others to improve its circumstances and also those of others (Vargo et al., 2008).

Hemsley-Brown and Oplatka (2006) observed that country that wishes to open her border to international students must acknowledge the necessity of marketing both country and the institutions in an ambience of international competition. The key strategy is to first understand factors that trigger prospective student's choice of a country and then higher education institution (Mazzarol & Soutar, 2002). Interestingly, the market for international education is getting more and more competitive with increased number of countries but less satisfaction and loyalty (Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2004; Cubillo et al., 2006; Bowden, 2013). Furthermore, as the demand increases so does the supply, the more countries join the booming international education market, with little or no unification, the more the

complexity inherent in student's mobility and which in turn affect their loyalty behavior (UNESCO, 2013; Bowden, 2011; Mazzarol & Soutar, 2008).

In line with the new marketing innovation assumptions of SD logic, destination loyalty through value Co-Creation, unique student experience would be a measure recommended to destination countries to differentiate themselves from other competitors (Othman & Jelahni, 2013; Edvardsson, Tronvoll & Gruber, 2011; Olins, 2002). In SD logic, operant resources (Knowledge, competency and skills) are the key resources for competitive advantage as stated in FP-4 (Vargo et al., 2008). However, the issues surrounding destination loyalty from country perspective as education destination has not been explored using these premises (Mazzarol & Soutar, 2012; Hemsely-Brown & Oplatka, 2006). Industrial survival in a competitive environment particularly education services industries, efforts must be geared towards creating and maintaining loyalty with existing students (Bowden, 2013; McMullan & Gilmore, 2008). Loyalty in service will not only increase business performances but will also create unique customer experiences thereby retaining existing students and attract potential students (Vargo & Lusch, 2008; Keiningham, Aksoy, Cooil & Andreasen, 2008).

Based on marketing theories related to education services (Hill, 1995; Brookes, 2003; Sheu, 2011) destination loyalty has been established to enhance performance through increase in price premium, referral and growth in revenue, while it reduces switching cost, lower marketing cost and attrition rate (McMullan & Gilmore, 2003, 2008; Rowley, 2005; Gounaris & Stathakopoulos, 2004; Moore & Bowden-Everson, 2012). Surprisingly, destination loyalty is fast declining despite its importance for sustainable competitive advantage in business to customer (B2C) relationship (Gounaris & Stathakopoulos, 2004) and in tertiary education services (Naidoo, 2007; Abubakar & Mokhtar, 2015a; Sheu, 2011;

Gummesson & Gronroos, 2012; Mazzarol & Soutar, 1999; Jamaludin et al., 2016). Some of the reasons advanced for declining loyalty are volatile stability at international education destinations and insatiable demands from the students (Chen, 2016; Jamaludin et al., 2016; Arambewela & Hall, 2009).

Generally, developing and sustaining destination loyalty is one of the biggest challenges in the rising competition market (Gummesson & Gronroos, 2012; Punniyamoorthy & Raj, 2007; Wu, 2015). While other services industries like hotel, tourism, transportation, medical have studies conducted on provider/customer loyalty behavior (Suhartanto, 2011; Sumeadia et al., 2012; Chi, 2012; Chen & Tsai, 2007), less work have been conducted on determinants of destination loyalty in education industry using SD logic (Abubakar, 2015; Hashim et al., 2015; Peralt & Ribes, 2013).

In tourism and other related service industries, destination loyalty is rarely examined solely, the antecedents and intervening variables are often considered together, since the ultimate target of a service provider is to keep old customers and win new customers (Ali, Hussain, Naira & Ragavan, 2016; Chi, 2012). The focus of previous researches has been on choice factors or selection criterion for destination country or institution (Soutar & Turner, 2002; Binsardi & Ekwulugo, 2003; Price, Matzdorf, Smith & Agahi, 2003; Shanka, Quintal & Taylor, 2005; Yamamoto, 2006; Maringe & Carter, 2007; Bodycott, 2009; Baharun, Awang & Padlee, 2011; Wilkins, Shams & Huisman, 2012). Considering the importance of destination loyalty in competitive business environment (Suhartanto, 2011), exploring the determinants of destination loyalty from country perspective will enhance the performance of international education service industry, particularly for emerging destinations (Abubakar, 2015; Ali et al., 2016). Interestingly, satisfaction leads to both attitudinal and behavioral loyalty, thus integrating Co-Creation into the relationship between satisfaction

and loyalty is capable of conferring competitive advantage in an organization (Giner & Rillo, 2015). However, Co-creation is a relatively new research focus with many opportunities and expanding possibilities (Mathis, 2013; Carvilho & Mota, 2010). Some of the opportunities include: unique service experience, assessment of value-in-use, conferring competitive advantage and preposition that value is determined by the beneficiary.

Contextually, emerging destinations like Malaysia was hitherto known as one of the sending Nations, turned to be a destination country as part of the measure to mitigate the once prevailing economic challenges and to key into the social-economic benefits of this booming market within Asian continent (Mazzarol & Soutar, 2001; Akiba, 2008; UNESCO, 2013). The major source countries world over for international education has being China and Indian while the world major host countries are America and Britain (Altbach& Knight, 2007). The percentage contribution of major destination countries are: USA 19%, UK 10%, Germany 7%, France 7%, Australia 7% and Canada 5.5% (OECD, 2010, 2012). However, new destinations have emerged especially from Asia and the pacific. The emerging destinations are Russia, Japan, Korea, New Zealand, China, Singapore, Malaysia, Thailand, India and Hong Kong (Hendarman, 2013). Most Asian countries like Singapore, Thailand, China, Indian and Malaysia begin to expand international education after the Asian financial crisis of 1990s (UNESCO, 2013).

The emergence of Malaysia as education destination was a result of government deliberate efforts aimed at attracting international students to the country since late 90s (Akiba, 2008). To a large extent, appreciable progress has been made as the country registered over 100,000 international students from more than 100 countries of the world (MOHE, 2016; EMGS, 2015). Malaysia was ranked 11th most preferred study destination in the world and has global market share of 2% (EMGS, 2015; UNESCO, 2013). The

enabling environment provided by the government through various Acts enacted increased the number of institutions and enrolment drastically, yet the quest to expand the market horizon to dominate the sub-region as educational hub was not attained because the desired number of international students were not recorded. The total number of international students' enrolment in both public and private institutions was 131,407 (public 26,405 and private 87,002) at the fall of 2015 (MOHE, 2016).

The proliferation of private institutions and Malaysian government policy of 5% international students for undergraduates at public universities could account for increased enrollment in private institutions (MOHE, 2014). Moreover, previous efforts of Malaysia were targeted towards recruiting new students with little effort made to keep them loyal to the country as education destination (Akiba, 2008). Peralt and Ribes (2013) highlighted the significance of Co-Creation in their findings as a strong indicator for education institutions to gain and sustain competitive advantage over others through satisfaction and loyalty. Interestingly, Reicheld (1996) concluded that 5% increases in customer retention generate 25-95% profit growth across a range of industries. Hence, the country will profit more by creating unique student's experience through strategic marketing innovations such as Co-Creation and other management capabilities that could influence international student's loyalty (Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2004).

Invariably, the simultaneous experimentation of international education liberalization policy by other Asian countries triggers competition at regional and continental levels thereby retarding the growth and global market share of Malaysia (Othman & Jelahni, 2013). Thus, Malaysia had to develop robust strategy through value-in-use rather than value-in-exchange to compete with countries like Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam, countries identified as threats to its regional share (Edvardsson et al., 2011). Giner and Rillo

(2015) found Co-Creation as an emerging strategy that can be applied to highly volatile market situation as the case of Malaysia with imminent threat from neighbors. Based on this argument, the liberalization policy was a strategy build on premise that recruitment could lead to retention (Chi, 2012; Abubakar, 2015). However, there is need to move beyond recruitment strategies to retention capabilities of international students not only to make them continue to study but also to recommend the destination.

In preparation to liberalization policy, Malaysian government passed three important Acts to strengthen the education sector (Akiba, 2008). The Acts were aimed at addressing the needs of an increasingly complex social order and increase the range of choices for students in the market-based policies (Lee, 2004), these Acts are: (1) the National Council on Higher Education Act (1996), (2) the Private Higher Education Institutions Act (1996) and (3) the National Accreditation Board Act which gave birth to Malaysian Qualification Agency (2007). Education was identified as key player on human capital development in the 9th Malaysian plan (2006-2010). Hence, these laws provided the framework for booming education market in Malaysia (Akiba, 2008) as noticed in the number of institutions and international student's enrolment in the country (Ming, 2010). However, specific targets were been set at intervals to encourage all concerned agencies to pursue with vigour the realization of the country's goals (Bahrun et al., 2011).

To achieve the country's target, various institutions adopted strategic marketing and recruitment policies to show case the institution and the image of the country (Padlee & Yaakop, 2013). While private institutions established a full-fledged department or unit to market and recruit international students, the public institutions enjoyed government supports in terms of infrastructural development and funding (Morshidi, 2005). Unfortunately, at the fall of 2010, the first target was not realized (MOE, 2012). However,

another target of 200,000 was included in the 10th Malaysian plan (2012) for the year 2020. By setting the first and second target, Malaysia has joined the league of countries that engaged in international education market globally, irrespective of some concessions like subsidy in tuition fee, research assistance, teaching assistance, graduate assistance and other forms of rebate granted to some students (Akiba, 2008; Fernandez, 2010). Diaz-Mendez & Gummeson (2012) advocated for a paradigm shift in education service industry, suggesting a shift from university serving education to student to a new innovation, of students actually Co-Creating education services with the university through the integration of operant and operand resources in academic and non-academic engagements.

Internationalization was part of the manifestation of the world as a global village in which institutions need to imbibe. While private institution is flexible and hunt for profits, public universities enjoy government supports and funding, but in keeping with the internationalization policy, they must recruit and retain certain percentage of international students as part of pre-requisite for universities rating and ranking (Akiba, 2008). Thus, public universities with wide range of courses/programs and good funding from the government stands a better chance to promote Malaysia as education destination. Moreover, students/parents are conscious of global university rating and ranking when making a choice decision, Malaysian public universities are the most visible institutions at these annual statistical publications. In addition, the scope of public universities in Malaysia encourages Co-Creation in view of segmentation into research universities, focus universities and comprehensive universities (Ali, Hussain & Ragavan, 2014; Fernandez, 2010; MOHE, 2016).

Thus, it becomes imperative for destination promoters in Malaysia to understand the factors influencing the purchase intention of prospective students and the nature of

the relationship among other factors (Cubillo et al., 2006). This is because international students can manifest their loyalty to a country (in this case Malaysia) in several ways: they may choose to stay and complete their program, and they may re-enroll for next degree or even both, thus generating higher revenue for the country (Chen, 2016). They may also become ambassadors of the country concerned, by playing a powerful role in the decision making of others, thus reducing the country's marketing communication costs and also increase alumni participation (Ali et al., 2016; Moisescu, 2014; Abubakar, 2015). The expected sequence of students' loyalty should be from Bachelor degree to Master; from Master to doctoral degree; and from Doctoral to post-doc program within the country. However, exceptional cases of students taking more than one bachelor degree or masters in different fields were also encouraged to enhance loyalty to destination.

1.2 Malaysia International Higher Education Experience

In Malaysia the major providers of higher education services are public and private Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) as does globally (Rounsaville, 2011). Due to their relatively long history and support from the state, public universities are often perceived as more prestigious institutions (as compared to private institutions) and admission is frequently limited and very competitive (Altbach, Reisberg & Rumbley, 2009). Most public universities are not yet completely marketized entities, as are most private institutions, but rather still operate under combined public-private funding, although they now often have to compete for some types of funds, such as research grants, project support fund, industry/institution collaboration (Turner & Robson, 2008).

However, both public and private HEIs generate income from tuition fees, research output, selling university-related products, and offering consulting services (Altbach et al., 2009). More so, Malaysian government generates revenue from transnational and other international education activities like recruiting international students (Knight, 2004; Marginson, 2004; Chadee & Naidoo, 2009). International student recruitment is an important part of the internationalization and revenue-generating strategies of many public and private higher education institutions world over, including Malaysia (Becker & Kolster, 2012; Bolsmann & Miller, 2008; Arokiasamy, Ismail, Ahmad & Othman, 2009).

Prior to the introduction of liberalization policy in education in the 90s, Malaysia used to “push” away many students to study overseas due to limited access to higher education in the home country (Baharun, Awang & Padlee, 2011; Ghazali & Kassim, 2003). During this period, the country expended millions of Ringgits in training her citizens in some major destinations such as Australia, United Kingdom, Egypt, USA and neighboring countries like Indonesia, Taiwan and China (MOH, 2010). The focus of their studies was in line with the 9th MP which recommended education for human capital development in strategic areas such as medicine, engineering, law and commerce (Akiba, 2008). The impact of globalization and internationalization changed the consumption and pattern of flow whereby source countries become destination country to international students from same region and elsewhere in the world (Becker & Kolster, 2012; Mazzarol & Soutar, 2012). Malaysia, India, Singapore, China and host of other Asian countries benefited from this revolution (Ooi, 2004; Odin, Odin & Valette-Florence, 2001).

However, the impact of Asian financial crisis (1997) made Malaysia to change strategy for two important economic reasons: (1) to save cost incurred on foreign training and (2) to generate revenue from the liberalization policy of education sector (Morshidi,