MODELLING OF CURRENT TRANSFORMER FOR THE APPLICATION OF ERROR ESTIMATION, ESTIMATION FACTOR AND RATIO ERROR

© This item is protected by original copyright

by

KHAIRUL ANUAR BIN MOHD NOR
(0830910321)

A thesis submitted
In fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of
Master of Science (Electrical Systems Engineering)

School of Electrical Systems Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

2013

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

DECLARATION OF THESIS

Author's full name : KHAIRUL ANUAR BIN MOHD NOR

Date of birth : 20 MARCH 1985

Title : Modelling of Current Transformer for the Application of Error Estimation, Estimation Factor and Ratio Error.

Academic Session : 2009-2011

I hereby declare that the thesis becomes the property of Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) and to be placed at the library of UniMAP. This thesis is classified as:

☐ CONFIDENTIAL {Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972}

☐ RESTICTED {Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done}

☐ OPEN ACCESS I agree that my thesis is to be made immediately available as hard copy or on-line open access (full text)

© This item is protected by original copyright

I, the author, give permission to the UniMAP to reproduce this thesis in whole or in part for the purpose of research or academic exchange only (except during a period of ___ years, if so requested above).

Certified by:

_____ _____

SIGNATURE SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR

Khairul Anuar bin Mohd Nor Prof. Dr. Ismail Bin Daut

IC. NO: 850320-03-5773

Date: Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah and all thanks are to God for the opportunity and the strength He gave me to study towards my masters. The author expresses his gratefulness first foremost to the superb mentor and would like to state his boundless appreciation and warmest gratitude to his supervisor Prof. Dr. Ismail bin Daut and co-supervisor Mr. Indra Nisja for their valuable supervision, continuous encouragement, inspiring suggestion, and guidance in the research and in preparation of this thesis. They provided the author with great opportunity and allowed him to go in depth in the areas of current transformer and harmonic. Their advice, motivation, encouragement and many discussions have helped the author for the completion of the research and this master's thesis.

I would like to extend his unlimited appreciation to my mother Che Yah Che Ahmad, my father Mohd Nor Bakar, my wife Nik Zakiah Awang and my son Nik Khairul Qayyeem whom are truely inspired and supported in finishing this project. They have been good advisor, financier and motivator that have always been at author side along his study. Not forgetting the technicians, fellow masters and PhD companion in the Electrical Energy and Industrial Electronic Systems Research Cluster and laboratory that involve in my experiments. They have opened their hearts, shared important knowledge and donating their time in accomplish my research. My stay at the laboratory has indeed provided me wonderful moments and memories as well as adequate information.

I thank to my family for being the pillar of strength and a constant source of encouragement in my quest to pursue my peculiar endeavours. I apologize for those

© This item is protected by original copyright

who may not be mentioned here and thanks to everyone who have been a part of my success.

© This item is protected by original copyright

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
APPROVAL AND DECLARATION SHEET		ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT		iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS		v
LIST OF TABLES		x
LIST OF FIGURES		xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xvi
LIST OF SYMBOLS		xvii
ABSTRAK		xviii
ABSTRACT		xix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION		
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Aims and Objectives	3
1.4	Scope of the Project	3
1.5	Thesis Outline	4
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Critical Review of Other Researcher	5
2.2.1	Current Transformer and Harmonic Relationship	6
2.2.2	Effect of Harmonics on Current Transformer	9
2.2.3	Current Transformer Saturation	13

© This item is protected by original copyright

2.3 Summary 16

CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction 18

3.2 Research Framework 19

3.3 Background Study 20

3.3.1 Current Transformer Characteristics 20

3.3.1.1 Current Transformer Ratio 21

3.3.1.2 Current Transformer Polarity 22

3.3.1.3 Types of Current Transformer 22

3.3.1.4 Current Transformer Operation 23

3.3.1.5 Effect of Magnetizing Current 25

3.3.1.6 Current Transformer Class 26

3.3.1.7 Nonlinear Model of Current Transformer 27

3.3.1.8 Characterization of CT in the presence of Harmonic Distortion 31

3.3.2 Saturation of Current Transformer 32

3.3.2.1 Saturation with Symmetrical Fault Current 33

3.3.2.2 Saturation with Asymmetrical Fault Current 33

3.3.2.3 Saturation Knee Point 34

3.3.2.4 Methods to Reduce CT Saturation 34

3.3.3 Harmonic Characteristics 36

3.3.3.1 The Main Harmonic Source 36

3.3.3.2 Effective Value of a Distorted Wave 38

3.3.3.3 Crest Factor and Total Harmonic Distortion 39

© This item is protected by original copyright

3.3.3.4 Harmonics and Sequence Components 40
3.3.3.5 Harmonic and Circuits 41
3.3.3.6 Nonlinear Loads 41
3.3.4 Impact of Secondary Burden 43
3.3.5 Recommended Correction for Harmonic Distortion Problems 44
3.3.5.1 Other Methods to Decrease Harmonic Distortion Limits 46
3.3.6 Mathematical Study 48
3.3.6.1 Current Transformer Mathematical Study 48
3.3.6.2 Current Transformer Parameter Calculation 50
3.3.6.3 Harmonic Mathematical Study 52
3.3.6.4 Fourier Series 53
3.3.6.5 Current Transformer Ratio Error Calculation 57
3.3.6.6 Current Transformer Phase Angle Error 57
3.4 PSCAD Design Modeling of Current Transformer 58
3.4.1 Introduction of PSCAD 59
3.4.2 Current Transformer Models in PSCAD 59
3.4.3 Current Transformer Simulation with Different THDi 60
3.4.4 Current Transformer Simulation with Different Magnetizing Impedance 63
3.4.5 Current Transformer Simulation with Different Burden 63
3.5 Experimental Setup 64
3.5.1 Current Transformer Testing with Linear Loads 64
3.5.2 Current Transformer Testing with Non-Linear Loads 67
3.5.3 Phase Angle Error Testing 67
3.5.4 Current Transformer Testing with Different Percentage of THDi 68

© This item is protected by original copyright

3.5.5 Current Transformer Testing with Different Burden 69

3.5.6 Current Transformer Testing with Different Ratio 69

3.5.7 Current Transformer Testing with Different Class 69

3.5.8 Current Transformer Testing with High AC Current 70

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Simulation of PSCAD Design 72

4.1.1 Current Transformer Simulation with Different THDi 72

4.1.2 Current Transformer Simulation with Different Magnetizing Impedance 83

4.1.3 Current Transformer Simulation with Different Burden 94

4.1.4 Comparative Studies 96

4.2 Experimental Result 99

4.2.1 Current Transformer Testing with Linear Loads 99

4.2.2 Current Transformer Testing with Non-Linear Loads 102

4.2.3 Current Transformer Phase Angle Error 105

4.2.4 Current Transformer Testing with Different Percentage of THDi 108

4.2.5 Current Transformer Testing with Different Secondary Burden 114

4.2.6 Current Transformer Testing with Different Ratio 116

4.2.7 Current Transformer Testing with Different Class 118

4.2.8 Current Transformer Testing with High AC Current 120

4.3 Dominant Harmonic Spectrum 121

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATION

5.1 Conclusion 126

© This item is protected by original copyright

5.2 Future Recommendation 129

REFERENCES 130

APPENDICES 136

© This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF TABLES

Tables No.		Page
Table 3.1	Sequence of Harmonics	40
Table 3.2	Details of the current transformer	66
Table 3.3	Value of THDi(%) tested	68
Table 4.1	Simulation Results for CT 15/1A with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	82
Table 4.2	Simulation Results for CT 15/1A with magnetizing impedance 2.1Ω	93
Table 4.3	Testing Results for CT 7.5/1A connected with linear load	100
Table 4.4	Testing Results for CT 7.5/1A connected with non-linear loads	103
Table 4.5	Current Transformer 15/1A Class 1 Data	118
Table 4.6	Current Transformer 15/1A Class 3 Data	119
Table 4.7	Harmonic current for CT 15/1A with 40% THDi	122

© This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures No.		Page
Figure 3.1	Research Framework	19
Figure 3.2	Current transformer connection diagram	24
Figure 3.3	Current transformer equivalent circuit	25
Figure 3.4	Phasor diagram	25
Figure 3.5	Equivalent circuit	28
Figure 3.6	Typical minor hysteresis loop	29
Figure 3.7	Saturation knee point	34
Figure 3.8	Harmonic distortion	36
Figure 3.9	Nonlinear load connected to the source	42
Figure 3.10	Typical non-linear load	42
Figure 3.11	The current drawn	43
Figure 3.12	Spectrum of the current drawn	43
Figure 3.13	Distortions in secondary current dependent on the CT burden	44
Figure 3.14	Current transformer model circuit	48
Figure 3.15	Current transformer simplify circuit	49
Figure 3.16	Phasor diagram of the current transformer	50
Figure 3.17	Harmonic waveforms	52
Figure 3.18	Current Transformer Models	60
Figure 3.19	Harmonic Injection Model	61
Figure 3.20	Different Total Harmonic Distortion injected to investigate the current transformer performance	62
Figure 3.21	PSCAD Window Display to enable saturation	64

© This item is protected by original copyright

Figure 3.22	Experiment Layout to test Linear and Non-Linear Loads on Current Transformer	65
Figure 3.23	SES current transformer	66
Figure 3.24	Current Transformers with different ratio and class	70
Figure 4.1	Waveform results for THDi 5% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	73
Figure 4.2	Waveform results for THDi 10% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	74
Figure 4.3	Waveform results for THDi 15% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	75
Figure 4.4	Waveform results for THDi 20% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	76
Figure 4.5	Waveform results for THDi 25% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	77
Figure 4.6	Waveform results for THDi 30% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	78
Figure 4.7	Waveform results for THDi 40% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	79
Figure 4.8	Waveform results for THDi 50% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	80
Figure 4.9	Waveform results for THDi 70% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	81
Figure 4.10	Graph THDi against Percentage Ratio Error for magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	83
Figure 4.11	Waveform results for THDi 5% with magnetizing impedance	84

© This item is protected by original copyright

2.1Ω

Figure 4.12 Waveform results for THDi 10% with magnetizing impedance 2.1Ω 85

Figure 4.13 Waveform results for THDi 15% with magnetizing impedance 2.1Ω 86

Figure 4.14 Waveform results for THDi 20% with magnetizing impedance 2.1Ω 87

Figure 4.15 Waveform results for THDi 25% with magnetizing impedance 2.1Ω 88

Figure 4.16 Waveform results for THDi 30% with magnetizing impedance 2.1Ω 89

Figure 4.17 Waveform results for THDi 40% with magnetizing impedance 2.1Ω 90

Figure 4.18 Waveform results for THDi 50% with magnetizing impedance 2.1Ω 91

Figure 4.19 Waveform results for THDi 70% with magnetizing impedance 2.1Ω 92

Figure 4.20 Graph THDi against Percentage Ratio Error for magnetizing impedance 2.1Ω 94

Figure 4.21 Current waveform for burden 0.5Ω 95

Figure 4.22 Current waveform for burden 10Ω 95

Figure 4.23 Current waveform for burden 25Ω 96

Figure 4.24 Waveform results from University of Manitoba with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω 97

Figure 4.25 Waveform results from University of Manitoba with magnetizing 98

© This item is protected by original copyright

impedance 2.1Ω

Figure 4.26 Graph primary current against secondary current for linear loads 101

Figure 4.27 Total harmonic distortion current against percentage ratio error for linear loads 102

Figure 4.28 Graph harmonic source against current transformer ratio 104

Figure 4.29 Graph primary total harmonic distortions current against percentage ratio error 104

Figure 4.30 Primary and secondary current for THDi 6.849% 106

Figure 4.31 Primary and secondary current for THDi 11.07% 106

Figure 4.32 Primary and secondary current for THDi 16.402% 107

Figure 4.33 Primary and secondary current for THDi 19.436% 107

Figure 4.34 Primary Current against Secondary Current for THDi 5% and 10% 109

Figure 4.35 Primary Current against Secondary Current for THDi 15% and 20% 110

Figure 4.36 Primary Current against Secondary Current for THDi 25% and 30% 111

Figure 4.37 Primary Current against Secondary Current for THDi 40%, 50% and 70% 112

Figure 4.38 Primary Current against Secondary Current for all level of THDi 113

Figure 4.39 CT 15/1A Class 3 with burden 25Ω 114

Figure 4.40 CT 15/1A Class 3 with burden 10Ω 115

Figure 4.41 CT 15/1A Class 3 with burden 0.5Ω 116

Figure 4.42 CT 7.5/1A Class 3 with burden 25Ω 117

Figure 4.43 Percentage ratio error for CT 15/1A class 1 and class 3 120

Figure 4.44 Harmonic spectrum for Ip = 3.9589A. 123

© This item is protected by original copyright

Figure 4.45 Harmonic spectrum for Ip = 15.835A. 123

Figure 4.44 Harmonic spectrum for Ip = 29.502A. 124

© This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CT	Current Transformer
THD	Total Harmonic Distortion
PSCAD	Power Systems Computer Aided Design
JA	Jiles Atherton
EMTP	Electromagnetic Transient Program
KCL	Kirchhoff's Current Law
RCF	Ratio Correction Factor
H	Magnetic Field
B	Magnetic Induction
IGBT	Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor
RMS	Root Mean Square
E	Sinusoidal Voltage
W	Active Power
VAR	Reactive Power
IEEE	Institute Electric and Electronic Engineering
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
MMF	Magnetomotive Force
AC	Alternating Current

© This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Ω	Ohm
Δ	Magnetic core hysteresis and eddy current losses
β	Phase angle error
ℓ	Magnetic core path length
λ	Peak value
ε	Ratio error

© This item is protected by original copyright

PEMODELAN TRANFORMER ARUS UNTUK APLIKASI ANGGARAN RALAT, ANGGARAN FAKTOR DAN RALAT NISBAH

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini menyumbang dalam penyelidikan mengenai prestasi transformer arus dengan gangguan harmonik. Selainb itu, kajian ini juga menyumbang dalam meningkatkan kecekapan transformer arus dengan pengiraan kesalahan sudut fasa dan kesalahan nisbah. Dalam tesis ini, faktor pembetulan juga telah ditaksir bagi meningkatkan prestasi dan ketepatan transformer arus. Melalui projek ini, transformer arus 15/1A dan 7.5/1A diuji dengan peratus jumlah gangguan harmonik yang berbeza. Bahagian pertama ujikaji dilakukan menerusi simulasi perisian PSCAD apabila transformer arus dimodelkan bersama beban yang berbeza. Perbandingan dijalankan oleh PSCAD dari aspek arus masukan dan keluaran, arus harmonik, nisbah transformer arus dan beban. Dengan menggunakan PSCAD, peratus jumlah arus gangguan harmonik yang berbeza disalurkan bagi menguji pelaksanaan transformer arus. PSCAD juga digunakan untuk menjalankan simulasi bagi melihat keadaan ketepuan transformer arus apabila beroperasi dengan nilai impedans magnetik yang berbeza. Unsur kajian perbandingan telah dimasukkan ke dalam sebahagian simulasi dengan melakukan perbandingan dengan model dari Universiti Manitoba dan penyelidik lain. Bahagian kedua projek adalah perbandingan yang dilakukan di makmal iaitu perbandingan antara beban linear dan tidak linear yang digunakan bersama transformer arus. Dalam bahagian ini, mentol-mentol digunakan sebagai beban linear manakala komputer-komputer peribadi sebagai beban tidak linear. Tindak balas dan kecekapan transformer arus diuji apabila disambung dengan kedua-dua jenis beban itu dan arus masukan dinaikkan. Meter Analisa Kuasa (PM300) dan perisian VPAS disambung ke litar bagi mendapatkan bentuk gelombang arus dan mengukur kesalahan sudut fasa. Perbandingan seterusnya adalah antara transformer arus kelas 1 dan kelas 3 yang diuji dengan beban 0.5 ohm, 10 ohm and 25 ohm kerana ketersediaan yang dimiliki oleh bank perintang. Daripada keseluruhan eksperimen baik perisian mahupun ujian makmal, keputusan menunjukkan bahawa peratus harmonik yang berbeza akan membawa kesan kepada transformer arus dari aspek kecekapan, kesalahan sudut fasa, dan kesalahan nisbah. Kesan-kesan ini juga diambil kira dari nilai bebanan di bahagian primer. Kesan harmonik ke atas transformer arus diuji sehingga terhasilnya ketepuan dalam transformer arus itu. Hal ini disebabkan oleh kenaikan arus primer, komponen DC, harmonik dan perubahan frekuensi. Selain itu, kesilapan dalam transformer arus juga sangat dipengaruhi oleh ketaklelurusan arus kemagnetan. Akhir sekali, kajian dalam bidang ini menyumbang ke arah peningkatan prestasi transformer arus dalam sistem kualiti kuasa.

© This item is protected by original copyright

MODELLING OF CURRENT TRANSFORMER FOR THE APPLICATION OF ERROR ESTIMATION, ESTIMATION FACTOR AND RATIO ERROR

ABSTRACT

The research contributed in the investigation of current transformer performance with harmonic distortion. Besides that, the research also contributed in enhances the current transformer efficiency by estimation the phase angle and ratio errors. In this thesis, the correction factors have been estimated to work up the current transformer performance and accuracy. Throughout this project, the current transformer 15/1A and 7.5/1A are tested with different percentages of total harmonic distortion. The first part of testing is done with software simulation using PSCAD when current transformer modeled with different burden. The PSCAD simulation is compared in terms of primary and secondary current, harmonic current, current transformer ratio and burden. Different percentage of total harmonic distortion current is supplied to test the current transformer performance by using PSCAD software. PSCAD also simulate to see the current transformer saturation when operates with different values of magnetizing impedance. Element of comparative studies was included in the simulation part by doing the comparison with the model from University of Manitoba and other researcher. The second part is the hardware comparison between the linear and non-linear loads used in current transformers. In this part, the bulbs used as linear loads and personal computers as non-linear loads. Reaction and efficiency of current transformer are tested when connected with both types of loads and increasing the input current. Power meter analyzer (PM300) and VPAS software connected to the circuit to plot current waveform and measured phase angle error. The next comparison is between current transformer class 1 and class 3 tested with burden 0.5ohm, 10 ohm and 25 ohm due to the availability of the resistor banks. From the overall experiment of software and hardware, results shows that different percentage of harmonics bring effects to the current transformer in terms of efficiency, phase angle error and ratio error. The effect also counted on the values of secondary burden. The harmonic effects on the current transformer tested presence until the current transformer saturate. These are due to the increment of primary current, DC component, harmonic and frequency changed. Otherwise, current transformer error is strongly influenced by the non-linearity of the magnetizing current. Finally, the study in this field is contributed to enhance current transformer performance in power quality system.

© This item is protected by original copyright

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

A Current Transformer (CT) is a type of transformer that is designed to measure high current in a power system. CT are basically of two general type, those are used for over current, relay protection applications and those are used for metering applications. In protection applications one is concerned about the performance and errors of the CT (B.S. Guru & H.R. Hiziroglu).

The performance and accuracy of the current transformer can be analyzed when excited with non sinusoidal currents. When the CT operates under non sinusoidal condition, two errors are known to occur that are phase angle error and ratio error. These errors can affect the CT accuracy and performance (Emanuel A.E & Orr J.A, 2007).

The CT with a non linear load will have harmonic distortion. This harmonic distortion gave a major effect to the transformer those increasing transformer losses (Said D.M & Nor K.M, 2008).

This thesis is a concern of the research work that titled “Modelling of Current Transformer for the Application of Error Estimation, Estimation Factor and Ratio Error”. The first aim of this research is to use the PSCAD software in modeling the characteristics of the current transformer. The research will also investigate the effects of harmonic distortion to the current transformer applied in the single phase and three

© This item is protected by original copyright

phase system. Moreover, the study will estimate the correction factor due to level of harmonic distortion by adjusting the THDi.

1.2 Problem Statement

Installation of current transformer for transformer protection purpose in the distribution system with nonlinear load will have some error at current transformer itself. The problems are current ratio error, phase angle error and accuracy error. These errors will affect the differential relay for transformer protection which differential relay will trip even though no fault. These errors will cause the current transformer goes to saturate. Saturation of current transformer under fault conditions produces harmonics in the secondary circuits. As the CT saturation increases, the secondary harmonics will also increase before CT goes into a completely saturated mode (J.Das, 2002).

The main problem are the effects of harmonic to the current transformer performance when the harmonic distortion is supplying over limit or range that is set in current transformer. This harmonic distortion will increase the transformer losses and may cause transformer core to go into saturation. Meanwhile, these effects will increase the temperature and sound level resulting core overheating and transformer damaging (S.P. Kennedy & C.L.Ivey). So, the main point for the problem statement that need to solve is to reduce the harmonic effects on the current transformer in terms of loads and burdens choosing. Then, to select better utilization of current transformer in ratio and class aspects. This problem will be briefly discussed in Chapter Three.

© This item is protected by original copyright

1.3 Aims and Objectives

This project is to study the effects of harmonic distortion on the current transformer used for differential transformer protection. Overall, the research objectives are :

- To model and simulate current transformer using PSCAD software to implement the current transformer performance in harmonic condition.
- To estimate ratio and phase angle error in increasing the current transformer efficiency
- To estimate the correction factor due to level of harmonic distortion to work up the current transformer performance and accuracy.

© This item is protected by original copyright

1.4 Scope of the Project

The project begins with the development of current transformer model using PSCAD software. Investigation of the effect of harmonic distortion to the current transformer is conducted prior to the model development. The project requires the understanding of current transformer and harmonic distortion characteristics. The relationship and the effects of harmonic distortion to the CT also need to be understood. Basically this project will develop within the scope; software model of a current transformer characteristics using PSCAD, mathematically calculation of the current transformer, harmonic and its effects especially in terms of current transformer ratio error and phase angle error. The testing is including the measurement of current transformer performance use as protection element in single phase and three phase systems with different total harmonic distortion (THD).

1.5 Thesis Outline

The thesis is broken down into five chapters. Chapter one provides the introduction of the project which includes general introduction, problems statement, aims and objectives, scopes and thesis outline.

Chapter Two discusses the literature review and characteristics of current transformer and harmonic. This chapter also produce theoretical point of view of the harmonic effects to the current transformer in protection system and other related information with critical review from other researchers.

Next chapter, Chapter Three presents the research methodology. This describes the mathematical modeling, current transformer modeling using PSCAD software and testing and experiment process in the laboratory.

Contents for Chapter Four are the results and discussion. This chapter discusses the results according to the simulation and hardware testing which explain current transformer modeling and performance with non linear load and harmonic distortion in single and three phase systems.

Finally, Chapter Five consists of the conclusion and recommendation for future improvement in the current transformer performance.

© This item is protected by original copyright

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a survey that is conducted to review the current transformer characteristics, harmonic characteristics and effects of harmonic to the current transformer performance. In addition, this chapter also covered the critical review from other researchers.

© This item is protected by original copyright

2.2 Critical Review of Other Researcher

A lot of researchers around this world have conducted their own experiments with different materials and techniques either to determine the current transformer performance within the harmonic distortions or to obtain the effects of harmonic pollution to the current transformer or to determine the current transformer's efficiency. Harmonic issued is very much debated these days because of their impacts on the equipments and measurements system. Therefore, the current transformer used as the protection tools in protecting the equipments from over current and harmonic distortion. However, harmonics injection also gives the change in current transformer performance. Many of the researcher's works in the current transformer and harmonics have been simplified as below;