CLASSIFICATION OF STAINLESS STEEL AND MILD STEEL USING VIBRATION TECHNIQUE

INTAN MAISARAH BINTI ABD RAHIM

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS 2011



Classification of Stainless Steel and Mild Steel Using Vibration Technique

Intan Maisarah binti Abd Rahim (0831410259)

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science (Mechanical Engineering)

School of Mechatronic Engineering UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

2011

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

| DECLARATION OF THESIS | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| Author's full name | : | Intan Maisarah binti Abd Rahim | |
| Date of birth | : | 24 July 1985 | |
| Title | : | Classification of Stainless Steel and Mild Steel Using Vibration | |
| | - | Technique | |
| | | | |
| | | 2010 / 2011 | |
| Academic Session | : | 2010/2011 | |
| I hereby declare that t | the thesis | becomes the property of Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) and to be placed | |
| at the library of UniMA | AP. This th | nesis is classified as : | |
| CONFIDENTI | AL | (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972) | |
| | | 101 | |
| RESTICTED | | (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where | |
| | | research was done) | |
| OPEN ACCES | SS | I agree that my thesis is to be made immediately available as hard | |
| | copy or on-line open access (full text) | | |
| I, the author, give per | rmission t | the UniMAP to reproduce this thesis in whole or in part for the purpose of | |
| research or academic | exchange | e only (except during a period of years, if so requested above). | |
| × × × | 12 | | |
| \odot | | Certified by: | |
| \smile | | | |
| SIGN | ATURE | SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR | |
| 85072 | 24-02-55 | 98 Mrs. Fauziah binti Mat | |
| (NEW IC NO. | / PASSP | ORT NO.) NAME OF SUPERVISOR | |
| Date : 06/04/2 | 2012 | Date : 06/04/2012 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

NOTES: * If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with period and reasons for confidentially or restriction.

Dengan nama Allah yang Maha Pemurah agi Maha Penyayang. To my beloved and supportive family, my younger sisters, and Nor Muzakar Nor Ayob.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise to Allah, the Cherisher and Sustainer of the worlds. With His blessings I have completed this thesis.

My deep appreciation and gratitude goes to my supervisor, Mrs. Fauziah bt Mat, for her kindness, constant endeavour, guidance and the numerous moments of attention she devoted throughout this work. My heartfelt gratitude goes to my co-supervisor, Prof. Dr. Sazali b. Yaacob, Dr. Rakhmad Arief Siregar and Dr. Khairul Azwan Ismail (Research and Development) for the helpful suggestions, endless supports and encouragement that have greatly helped me in finishing this research.

I extend my gratitude to my Intelligent Signal Processing Research Cluster's members who have spent a lot of their precious time for their guidance, advices, supports and all the experiences and joyful moments that we have shared together. Special thanks go to Nor Muzakkir Nor Ayob for being there by my side and lending hands during the experiments and data collections. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my dearest family for their love and their endless support in any possible way. This research would have never reached to this point if not because of their support and patience. My success will always be their success too.

Last but not least, thanks to those who have helped me in one way or another throughout the whole duration of my research period.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| APPROVAL AND DECLARATION SHEET | i |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | ii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | iv |
| LIST OF TABLES | ix |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xvi |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xvii |
| ABSTRAK | xviii |
| ABSTRACT CHAPTER 1:INTRODUCTION | xix |
| 1.1 Project Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Scope of Research | 2 |
| 1.3 Problem Statement | 3 |
| 1.4 Objectives | 4 |
| 1.5 Expected Output | 4 |
| 1.6 Thesis Outline | 6 |

CHAPTER 2:RESEARCH BACKGROUND REVIEW

| 2.1 | Introduction | 7 |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|
| 2.2 | Type of Material Classification | 8 |

| 2.3 | Vibration Test | ing | 9 |
|-----|----------------------|---------------------------------|----|
| | 2.3.1 | Impact Hammer Testing | 10 |
| | 2.3.2 | Shaker Testing | 14 |
| 2.4 | Components of | f FRF Signal | 14 |
| | 2.4.1 | Natural Frequency | 16 |
| | 2.4.2 | Mode Shape | 16 |
| | 2.4.3 | Damping Ratio | 18 |
| 2.5 | Mechanical Provident | opertiesof Material | 19 |
| | 2.5.1 | Mild Steel (Carbon Steel) | 24 |
| | 2.5.2 | Stainless Steel | 25 |
| 2.6 | Experimental N | Modal Analysis | 25 |
| | 2.6.1 | Modal Parameter Extraction | 26 |
| | 2.6.2 | Generating FRF Signal | 30 |
| 2.7 | Classifier Algo | orithm | 32 |
| | 2.7.1 | k-Nearest Neighbor (k-NN) | 32 |
| | 2.7.2 | Artificial Neural Network (ANN) | 34 |
| 2.8 | Prior Research | in Classifying Material | 36 |
| 2.9 | Summary | | 45 |

CHAPTER 3: VIBRATION EXPERIMENT AND MEASUREMENT SETUP

| 3.1 | Introduction | | 47 |
|-----|--------------|--------------------------------|----|
| 3.2 | Experimental | Setup of Impact Hammer Testing | 49 |
| | 3.2.1 | Impact Hammer | 51 |
| | 3.2.2 | Accelerometer | 53 |

| 3.3 | Vibration Me | thod Verification | 56 |
|-----|---------------|--|----|
| | 3.3.1 | Auto Power Hammer (Input Power Spectrum) | 57 |
| | 3.3.2 | Coherence in FRF Signal | 58 |
| | 3.3.3 | Leakage in FRF Signal | 61 |
| | 3.3.4 | Exponential Windowing | 61 |
| 3.4 | Conversion of | f Time Domain to Frequency Domain | 64 |
| 3.5 | Control Paran | neters for Vibration Testing | 66 |
| | 3.5.1 | Impact and Measurement Points | 66 |
| | 3.5.2 | Natural Frequency of FRF Signal | 68 |
| | | 3.5.2.1 Rigid Body Mode | 70 |
| | 3.5.3 | Amplitude of FRF Signal | 72 |
| | 3.5.5 | Boundary Condition | 74 |
| 3.6 | Summary | | 75 |
| | · · · · · | | |

54

CHAPTER 4:FEATURE EXTRACTION, VALIDATION AND CLASSIFICATION ON MATERIALS

| 4.1 | Introduction | | 76 |
|-----|---------------|------------------------------|----|
| 4.2 | Data Validati | on | 78 |
| | 4.2.1 | Reciprocity of FRF Signal | 78 |
| | 4.2.2 | Modal Analysis of FRF Signal | 81 |
| 4.3 | Feature Extra | ction | 90 |
| | 4.3.1 | Mode 1 - Bending | 93 |

| | 4.3.2 | Mode 2 - Torsion | 93 |
|-----|----------------|--|-----|
| | 4.3.3 | Mode $3 - 2^{nd}$ Degree Bending | 94 |
| 4.4 | Feature Select | tion | 95 |
| 4.5 | Random Seed | l de la constante de | 97 |
| 4.6 | FRF Signal P | re-Processing | 98 |
| | 4.6.1 | Normalization of Data Sets | 99 |
| | 4.6.1 | Randomization of Data Sets | 100 |
| 4.7 | Classifying A | lgorithms | 101 |
| | 4.7.1 | k-Nearest Neighbor | 102 |
| | 4.7.2 | Artificial Neural Network | 103 |
| | | 4.7.2.1 Levenberg-Marquardt Backpropagation | 104 |
| 4.8 | User Interface | e Scheme | 105 |
| 4.9 | Summary | , o ^{ter} | 108 |
| | | .591 | |
| CHA | PTER 5:RESU | LT AND ANALYSIS | |
| 5.1 | Introduction | | 109 |
| 5.2 | Classification | Performance | 109 |
| | 5.2.1 | k-Nearest Neighbor Classifier | 110 |
| | 5.2.2 | Artificial Neural Network Classifier | 115 |
| 5.3 | Comparison c | of Results | 119 |
| 5.4 | Summary | | 120 |

CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS

| 6.1 Conclusion | 121 |
|----------------|-----|
|----------------|-----|

| 6.2 Research Findings | 123 |
|--|-----|
| 6.3 Recommendation for Future Works | 124 |
| REFERENCES | 126 |
| APPENDIX A - Frequency Response Function (FRF) Signal for Material | |
| Structure | 130 |
| APPENDIX B - Coherence Signal for Material Structure | 144 |
| APPENDIX C - Accelerometer, model: 3035B1G from Dytran Instrument | |
| Datasheet | 158 |
| APPENDIX D - Impact Hammer, model: 5800B2 from Dytran Instrument | |
| Datasheet | 160 |
| APPENDIX E - LMS Scadas Mobile Analyzer Datasheet | 162 |
| APPENDIX F - List of Publications | 163 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Chapters | | Page |
|-------------------------|---|------|
| Chapter 2: | | |
| Table 2.1 | Description of the marks in modal analysis process | 27 |
| Chapter 3: Table 3.1 | Distance from impact and measured points | 68 |
| Chapter 4: | orienca | |
| Table 4.1 | Average value of natural frequencies for each material | |
| | structure | 92 |
| Table 4.2 | Natural frequencies and amplitude without normalization | 99 |
| Table 4.3 | Natural frequencies and amplitude with normalization | 100 |
| Table 4.4 | Callback function used in the development of GUI | 107 |
| Chapter 5: | | |
| Table 5.1 | Overall average for $k = 3$ until $k = 10$ | 114 |
| Table 5.2 | Accuracy rate comparison of each algorithm | 119 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Chapters | | Page |
|-------------|---|------|
| Chapter 2: | | |
| Figure 2.1 | Experiment setup for the impact hammer testing | 11 |
| Figure 2.2 | Example of satisfactory combination of coherence, | |
| | FRF and input force spectrum | 12 |
| Figure 2.3 | Example of unsatisfactory combination of coherence, | |
| | FRF and input force spectrum | 12 |
| Figure 2.4 | Flowchart of the experiment in determining the FRF | |
| | signal | 12 |
| Figure 2.5 | Transfer functions of the FRF signal | 15 |
| Figure 2.6 | Movement of each mode shape | 17 |
| Figure 2.6 | Example of the damping ratio gained from | |
| . er | experimental modal analysis | 18 |
| Figure 2.8 | True Stress-Strain graph | 20 |
| Figure 2.9 | Stabilization chart obtained with (a) time-domain | |
| Ŭ | least-squares estimator. | 26 |
| Figure 2.10 | The correlation and LS error of modal synthesis | 29 |
| Figure 2.11 | (a) (b) (c) Frequency Response Function Synthesis | 31 |
| Figure 2.12 | Example of the material surface used in image | |
| | processing classifying method | 37 |
| Figure 2.13 | EDXRF Spectrometer | 39 |
| Figure 2.14 | Schematic of back-reflection technique | 43 |

| Figure 2.15 | Laser ultrasound with glass prism as detector | 44 |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 2.16 | Schematic diagram of the ultrasonic laser | |
| | point-source-point-receiver method | 45 |

| Chapter | 3 | : |
|---------|---|---|
|---------|---|---|

| | Figure 3.1 | Flowchart of the research methodology | 48 |
|---|-------------|---|----|
| | Figure 3.2 | Real image of experimental setup | 50 |
| | Figure 3.3 | Connection of the vibration experimental setup | 50 |
| | Figure 3.4 | Impact hammer - 5800B2 model from Dytran Instrument | 51 |
| | Figure 3.5 | Types of the tips | 52 |
| | Figure 3.6 | Coherence signal with suitable selection of tip | 53 |
| | Figure 3.7 | Accelerometer used in measuring the output | |
| | | signal – 3035BIG | 54 |
| | Figure 3.8 | LMS Scadas Mobile 4 Channels analyzer | 55 |
| | Figure 3.9 | Tri-Spectrum averaging loop | 56 |
| (| Figure 3.10 | Example of input power spectrum signal | 57 |
| 6 | Figure 3.11 | Satisfactory coherence of the Mild Steel with thickness | |
| | | of 5mm | 60 |
| | Figure 3.12 | Satisfactory coherence of the Stainless Steel with | |
| | | thickness of 3mm | 60 |
| | Figure 3.13 | Unacceptable coherence signal | 61 |
| | Figure 3.14 | Example of Exponential window | 63 |
| | Figure 3.15 | Example of Force window | 64 |

| | Figure 3.16 | Conversion from the time domain to the frequency | |
|---|-------------|---|----|
| | | Domain | 65 |
| | Figure 3.17 | Dimension and point of measurement of the plate | |
| | | structure | 67 |
| | Figure 3.18 | Relations of measurement and impact points | 67 |
| | Figure 3.19 | FRF signal for mild steel with 5mm thickness | 69 |
| | Figure 3.20 | Block diagram of FRF transfer functions | 70 |
| | Figure 3.21 | Rigid body modes at mild steel with thickness of | |
| | | 5mm | 71 |
| | Figure 3.22 | Rigid body modes at mild steel with thickness of | |
| | | 6mm | 71 |
| | Figure 3.23 | Rigid body modes at stainless steel with thickness of | |
| | | 3mm | 72 |
| | Figure 3.24 | Amplitude from the FRF signal | 72 |
| | Figure 3.25 | Amplitude at Point 1 | 73 |
| | Figure 3.26 | Amplitude at Point 7 | 73 |
| (| Figure 3.27 | Experiment setup for the free-free boundary condition | 74 |
| | | | |

Chapter 4:

| Figure 4.1 | Flowchart of the research methodology | 77 |
|------------|---|----|
| Figure 4.2 | Matrix function of the reciprocity technique | 79 |
| Figure 4.3 | FRF signal gained when excite at Point 1, measured at | |
| | Point 2 | 80 |

| | Figure 4.4 | FRF signal gained when excite at Point 2, measured at | |
|---|-------------|---|----|
| | | Point 1 | 80 |
| | Figure 4.5 | Modal analysis of the FRF signal | 82 |
| | Figure 4.6 | FRF signal for Point 1 | 83 |
| | Figure 4.7 | FRF signal for Point 2 | 83 |
| | Figure 4.8 | FRF signal for Point 3 | 84 |
| | Figure 4.9 | FRF signal for Point 4 | 84 |
| | Figure 4.10 | FRF signal for Point 5 | 85 |
| | Figure 4.11 | FRF signal for Point 6 | 85 |
| | Figure 4.12 | FRF signal for Point 7 | 86 |
| | Figure 4.13 | FRF signal for Point 8 | 86 |
| | Figure 4.14 | FRF signal for Point 9 | 87 |
| | Figure 4.15 | Real part of FRF signal | 88 |
| | Figure 4.16 | Imaginary part of FRF signal | 88 |
| | Figure 4.17 | Magnitude component of FRF signal | 89 |
| | Figure 4.18 | Phase component of FRF signal | 90 |
| 6 | Figure 4.19 | Natural frequencies of FRF signal | 91 |
| | Figure 4.20 | Deformation pattern in Mode 1 | 93 |
| | Figure 4.21 | Deformation pattern in Mode 2 | 94 |
| | Figure 4.22 | Deformation pattern in Mode 3 | 94 |
| | Figure 4.23 | Neural network structure for feature selection purpose | 96 |
| | Figure 4.24 | Percentage of the features efficiency tested using neural | |
| | | network | 96 |

| Figure 4.25 | Percentage of the features efficiency tested using k-NN | 97 |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.26 | Percentage on combination of seed = 1, hidden neuron = 8 | 98 |
| Figure 4.27 | Selection of data with and without the randomization | 101 |
| Figure 4.28 | Block Diagram of FRF Signal Processing and Analysis | 102 |
| Figure 4.32 | Multi-layer perceptron neural network | 103 |
| Figure 4.33 | The GUI developed as the interface of the user to the | |
| | system | 106 |
| Chapter 5: | , color | |
| Figure 5.1 | The accuracy percentage for $k = 3$ | 110 |
| Figure 5.2 | The accuracy percentage for $k = 4$ | 111 |
| Figure 5.3 | The accuracy percentage for $k = 5$ | 111 |
| Figure 5.4 | The accuracy percentage for $k = 6$ | 112 |
| Figure 5.5 | The accuracy percentage for $k = 7$ | 113 |
| Figure 5.6 | The accuracy percentage for $k = 8$ | 113 |
| Figure 5.7 | The accuracy of testing for $k = 3$ until $k = 20$ | 114 |
| Figure 5.8 | The percentage of seed for random number generator $= 0$ | 116 |
| Figure 5.9 | The percentage of seed for random number generator = 1 | 116 |
| Figure 5.10 | The percentage of seed for random number generator $= 2$ | 117 |
| Figure 5.11 | The percentage of seed for random number generator $= 3$ | 117 |
| Figure 5.12 | The percentage of seed for random number generator = 4 | 118 |
| Figure 5.13 | The percentage of seed for random number generator $= 5$ | 119 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- FRF Frequency Response Function
- NDT Non-Destructive Testing
- FFT Fast Fourier Transform
- NN
- ANN
- k-NN
- LM
- GUI
- UTM
- DTS
- ...arquardt .raphical User Interface Universal Testing Machine Dynamic Touch Sensor fulti Layer Pr MLPNN
- American Society for Testing and Materials ASTM o this item

LIST OF SYMBOL

- requency a Damping ratio Constant Damping constant a Constant Critical damping constant b Constant Critical damping constant Critical damping constant Critical damping cons

ABSTRAK

Pengeluaran bahan dalam industry mestilah memenuhi piawaian yang telah ditetapkan seperti piawaian oleh American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) International. Keperluan dan syarat untulk piawaian bahan ini amat penting terutama dalam sesetengah bidang yang kritikal seperti aero angkasa, kejuruteraan dan automotif. Kajian ini juga memperkenalkan pembangunan skim ujian tidak musnah keatas bahan dalam menentukan jenis sesuatu bahan. Pengkelasan sesuatu bahan amat berguna dalam pengesahan pasca produksi. Terdapat banyak kaedah telah dibangunkan untuk mencapai piawaian dalam produksi sesuatu bahan. Kaedah ujian terhadap sifat mekanikal sesuatu bahan menggunakan teknik getaran dan kaedah ini boleh menentukan frekuensi asli, nisbah redaman dan mod bentuk sesuatu struktur. Kaedah ujian terhadap bahan yang dilaksanakan untuk kajian ini adalah ujian paluan impak. Signal Fungsi Tindakbalas Frekuensi diperolehi dari ujian ini dan frekuensi asli untuk sesuatu bahan diekstrak dari Signal Fungsi Tindakbalas ini. Dalam kajian ini, ciri-ciri yang dipertimbangkan sebagai data masukan yang diperlukan untuk latihan algoritma adalah frekuensi asli untuk sesuatu bahan dan juga amplitudnya. Kemudian, data masukan yang diperolehi akan dikelaskan menggunakan algoritma Rangkaian Neural Buatan (ANN) dengan teknik Levenberg-Marquardt Backpropagation dan juga algoritma Jiran Terdekat k (k-NN). Setiap algoritma pengelasan akan menghasilkan kadar pengelasan yang berbeza bergantung kepada keupayaan data masukan yang dilatih. Keputusan dari skim pengelasan menunjukkan k-NN memberikan ketepatan sebanyak 99.69% dengan nilai k = 3. Sementara Levenberg-Marquardt Backpropagation memberi ketepatan kelas sebanyak 99.43%. Thisit

Classification of Stainless Steel and Mild Steel Using Vibration Technique

ABSTRACT

The production of material in industry must attain some standard such as the standard required by American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) International. The requirement of the material standard is important in some crucial field such as aerospace, engineering and automotive. This research presents a development of a material classification scheme with non-destructive testing on the material to classify the material type. The classification of the material can be useful in post-production verification. Many testing methods have been developed to reach the standard of the material production. The testing of the material mechanical properties using vibration technique could determine the natural frequencies, the damping ratio and mode shapes of the structure. The testing method chose to be implemented in this research is impact hammer testing. Frequency Response Function (FRF) signals obtained from the testing and natural frequencies of the materials are extracted from FRF signals. In this research, the features considered as the input data for the algorithm training are the natural frequencies of the material and its amplitude. Later, the input data obtained are classified using Artificial Neural Network (ANN) with Levenberg-Marquardt Backpropagation and k-Nearest Neighbor (k-NN). Each of the classifier produced a different classification rate depending on the performance of the training input data set. The result from the classification system shows that k-NN is giving the accuracy of 99.69% with the k value of 3. While, Levenberg-Marquardt Backpropagation is giving the best classification rate of 99.43%. Thisitem

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

The classification of the material can be useful in post-production verification process. In industry, there are some standards need to be achieved in order to release the production to the market place. One of the popular testing is the impact testing. The natural frequencies gained from the testing used to determine the durability and usefulness of the material. For example, mild steel always used as one of the construction materials such as concrete backbone. The toughness and durability of this material need to be verified as it stands a crucial part of the construction. Vibrations that happened in environment will contribute to the damage of the material. In order to overcome the vibration from external forces that happened in environment, the material need to have higher natural frequencies.

The advance in vision system has developed some researches in classifying the material using image processing. The classification of material using image processing technique developed by Caputo et al., (2010) focused on the materials that possess obvious apparent on the material's surface. It is challenging for some material as the surface appearance for some metal is almost similar even with different composition. However, the value of natural frequencies for the material is different depends on the parameter of the structure. In order to verify the suitable natural frequencies for the material tested, two specimens used for each material type. The data gained shows only

slight difference happened to the natural frequency and its amplitude values. Impact testing applied in this research to gain Frequency Response Function (FRF) signal for each of the material types. From FRF signal, three important modal parameters obtained which are natural frequencies and their amplitude, mode shape and also damping ratio. The natural frequencies and their amplitudes then used to differentiate the type of material using the classifying scheme developed.

With the improvement that could be made to the system, according to Neubauer (1991), the image processing could be used to detect damages happened to the structure. By using the natural frequencies and their amplitudes, the damages of the structure can also be detected. The difficult part in detecting the damages using the natural frequencies is in determining the location of the damages and how bad the damage is. However, the scope of this research is only to classifying the type of different material. protecte

1.2 Scope of Research

The scope of this research is to implement the classification algorithms in classifying the material structure. Each of the material holds the different mechanical properties and thus, the difference in the properties can distinguish the material structure. The materials used in the research had different composition and thickness but the length and wide dimension is the same which is 400mm x 300mm. In this research, the features that had been investigated limited to the changes in the natural frequencies as the mechanical properties for the material changed. The impact testing used as the method in capturing the FRF signals that contain the information on the natural frequencies of the structure.

1.3 Problem Statement

This purpose of the research is to implement the non-destructive vibration testing in classifying the type of different materials. The material properties of the material are very important considering the various usage of the material in critical area such as aerospace, buildings and vehicles. In current practice, material properties are mostly determined manually which requires human skills and expertise such as Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) technique. Recently, non-destructive testing is getting more attention in classifying the material properties in post-production processes. With regards of its advantages in reducing cost and waste during testing, the vibration testing with implementation of smart classification algorithm is benefiting in material identification problem. It offers faster and easier solution for real problem application. Listed below are the solutions for this research:

- Selecting the accelerometer for vibration measurement is very critical. The important criteria include choosing the accelerometer with minimum weight as possible and with optimized bandwidth. The sensor with more weight eventually influences the acceleration and displacement of the structure.
- ii. The fixed boundary condition for experimental setup needed more complicated mathematical solution. For this experiment, the free-free condition is used as this method is easily verifiable than the more complex mathematical solution for the fixed boundary condition.

iii. The FRF signals obtained from the experiment provide information such as natural frequency, damping ratio and mode shape. For classification process, it is enough using the natural frequency as the features for the system. This will decrease the computation resources that will improve the response of the system.

1.4 Objectives

The objectives of the research are described as below:

- To determine the FRF Signal from the experiment using Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) of vibration method for different material. The useful information then extracted from the FRF signal and used as features in the classifier algorithms.
- To implement classification algorithms to determine the types of material, either mild steel or stainless steel.
- To develop a Graphical User Interface (GUI) that helps to interface the users to classify different type of materials.

1.5 Expected Output

The expectation from this research is to develop a system that has the capability of classifying different type of the material. This can be accomplished by development of classification system using classifier algorithms which are ANN and *k*-NN. The system interfaced to the users using the GUI developed afterward.