



**PROPERTIES AND CHARACTERIZATION OF
GROUND GRANULATED BLAST FURNACE SLAG
GEOPOLYMER AT HIGH TEMPERATURE
EXPOSURES**

by

**IKMAL HAKEM A AZIZ
(1540411791)**

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

**School of Material Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2018

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

DECLARATION OF THESIS

Author's Full Name : IKMAL HAKEM A AZIZ
Title : PROPERTIES AND CHARACTERIZATION OF GROUND GRANULATED BLAST FURNACE SLAG GEOPOLYMER AT HIGH TEMPERATURE EXPOSURES

Date of Birth : 17 NOVEMBER 1989

Academic Session : 2015/2016

I hereby declare that this thesis becomes the property of Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) and to be placed at the library of UniMAP. This thesis is classified as:

- CONFIDENTIAL (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1997)*
RESTRICTED (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)*
OPEN ACCESS I agree that my thesis to be published as online open access (Full Text)

I, the author, give permission to reproduce this thesis in whole or in part for the purpose of research or academic exchange only (except during the period of _____ years, if so requested above)

Certified by:

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR

ASSOC. PROF. DR. MUSTAFA AL BAKRI ABDULLAH

(NEW IC NO. /PASSPORT NO.)

NAME OF SUPERVISOR

Date:

Date:

NOTES : * If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with the period and reasons for confidentiality or restriction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

“In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Compassionate”

The work presented in this thesis was carried out under the supervision of Assoc. Prof. Mohd. Mustafa Al Bakri. I extend my deepest sense of gratitude for his perseverance, constructive advice and continual encouragement throughout Ph.D study and related research. My humblest thanks to Dr. Heah Cheng Yong for his constant attention and technical assistance by sharing his expertise and valuable suggestions.

Further acknowledgements to the Center of Excellence Geopolymer & Green Technology (CEGeoGTech) for allowing me to access the laboratories and facilities with deep gratefulness to all colleagues in this center who were involved in various ways. My sincere thanks to the School of Materials Engineering, Dr. Firdaus Omar as school dean and all other management staff, thank you all for helping me get established in this project, not forgetting the entire laboratory team for helping me out with experimental works.

My immense appreciation to MyBrain15 for providing me with a scholarship to pursue my studies. Last but not least, thank you to my family for their continuous support and encouragement throughout my entire study. Their endless love and precious moral support have given me the strength and encouragement towards the completion of this thesis and in my journey of education.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION OF THESIS	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
ABSTRAK	xviii
ABSTRACT	xix
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	18
1.1 Research Background	18
1.2 Problem Statement	21
1.3 Objectives of Study	22
1.4 Research Scope	23
1.5 Thesis Outline	25
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	26
2.1 Introduction	26
2.2 Geopolymer	26
2.2.1 Geopolymer Terminology	28
2.2.2 Constituent of Geopolymer	31

2.3	Raw Materials	31
2.4	Alkali Activator Solution	34
2.5	Geopolymerization	36
2.5.1	Geopolymerization Reaction	37
2.6	Mechanism Ca-Poly (Alumino-Sialate)	40
2.7	Compressive Strength of Geopolymer	44
2.8	Characterization of Geopolymer	45
2.8.1	Microstructural Properties	45
2.9	Functional Group Identification Properties	47
2.10	Mineralogical Properties	50
2.11	Thermal Properties	51
2.12	Factor Affecting Properties of Geopolymer	53
2.13	Sodium Hydroxide Concentration	54
2.14	Solid-to-Liquid Ratios	55
2.15	Sodium Silicate-to-Sodium Hydroxide Ratios	56
2.16	Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Gepolymers	58
2.17	Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Limtation of Geopolymer Research	60
2.18	Design of Experiment of Selected Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	61
2.19	High Temperature Exposed of Geopolymer Material	62
2.20	Summary of Literature Review	65
2.21	Summary of Researchs Gap	65
CHAPTER 3 : METHODOLOGY		67
3.1	Introduction	67
3.2	Experimental Flow Chart	68
3.3	Materials	70

3.3.1	Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag	70
3.3.2	Sodium Hydroxide	72
3.3.3	Liquid Sodium Silicate	72
3.4	Preparation of Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	73
3.5	Parameters Used for Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	73
3.5.1	Preparation of Sodium Hydroxide Solution	74
3.5.2	Preparation of Alkali Solution	74
3.5.3	Mixing Process	75
3.5.5	Curing Process	75
3.6	Mix Details of Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	75
3.6.1	Effect of Sodium Hydroxide Concentration on Gound Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	76
3.6.2	Effect of Solid-to-Liquid Ratios on Gound Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	77
3.6.3	Effect of Sodium Silicate-to-Sodium Hydroxide Ratios on Gound Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	78
3.7	Compressive Test of Gound Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	79
3.8	Density Measurement of Gound Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	80
3.9	Chemical Composition Characterization	81
3.10	Microstrcutural Characterization	81
3.11	Thermal Analysis	82
3.12	Microstrcutural Characterization	82
3.13	Functional Group Identification	82
3.14	Design of Experiment	83
3.15	High Temperature Exposures	84
CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS & DISCUSSION		85
4.1	Introduction	85

4.2	Analysis of Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag	85
4.2.1	Chemical Composition Analysis	85
4.2.2	Particle Size Analysis	87
4.2.3	Microstructural Analysis	88
4.2.4	Phase Analysis	89
4.2.5	Functional Group Identification	91
4.3	Effect of Sodium Hydroxide Concentration on Gound Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	92
4.3.1	Compressive Strength	92
4.3.2	Density	95
4.3.3	Microstructural Analysis	96
4.3.4	Functional Group Identification Analysis	99
4.4	Effect of Solid-to-Liquid Ratios on Gound Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	102
4.4.1	Compressive Strength	102
4.4.2	Density	104
4.4.3	Microstructural Analysis	105
4.4.4	Functional Group Identification Analysis	109
4.5	Effect of Sodium Silicate-to-Sodium Hydroxide Ratios on Gound Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	113
4.5.1	Compressive Strength	114
4.5.2	Density	116
4.5.3	Microstructural Analysis	117
4.5.4	Functional Group Identification Analysis	121
4.6	Summary Characterization of Gound Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	125
4.7	Gound Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers Compressive Strength via Analysis of Variance	126

4.7.1	Surface Plot	131
4.7.2	Prediction and Optimization	134
4.7.3	Summary of DOE Analysis for Gound Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	136
4.8	High Temperature Exposure of Gound Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers	137
4.8.1	Physical Appearance	137
4.8.2	Compressive Strength	139
4.8.3	Density	140
4.8.4	Microstructural Analysis	142
4.8.5	Thermal Analysis	145
4.8.6	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy Analysis	147
4.8.7	Phase Analysis	149
4.8.7	Summary of Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymers at High Temperature Exposure	153
CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSION		154
5.1	Introduction	154
5.2	Recommendation	155
REFERENCES		157
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		175

LIST OF TABLES

		PAGE
Table 3.1	Properties of Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag	70
Table 3.2	Sodium hydroxide flakes specification	72
Table 3.3	Specification of liquid sodium silicate	73
Table 3.4	Details of mixing conditions for GGBFS geopolymer paste with various NaOH concentration	76
Table 3.5	Details of mixing conditions for GGBFS geopolymer paste with various solid-to-liquid ratios	77
Table 3.6	Details of mixing conditions for GGBFS geopolymer paste with various sodium silicate-to-sodium hydroxide ratios	78
Table 4.1	Chemical compositions of ground granulated blast furnace slag	86
Table 4.2	Summary on assignment of FTIR absorption bands of GGBFS geopolymers at various SL ratio	101
Table 4.3	Peak height of geopolymer bonding in terms of percentage transmittance of GGBFS geopolymers for various NaOH concentrations	101
Table 4.4	Summary on assignment of FTIR absorption bands of GGBFS geopolymers at various SL ratios	112
Table 4.5	Peak height of geopolymer bonding in terms of percentage transmittance of GGBFS geopolymers for various SL ratios	112
Table 4.6	Summary on assignment of FTIR absorption bands of GGBFS geopolymers at various Na ₂ SiO ₃ /NaOH ratios	124
Table 4.7	Peak height of geopolymer bonding in terms of percentage transmittance of GGBFS geopolymers for various Na ₂ SiO ₃ /NaOH ratios	125
Table 4.8	Summary of model significance terms for each of the response for GGBFS geopolymers	126
Table 4.9	The ANOVA values for the model GGBFS geopolymers compressive strength	128
Table 4.10	The validity data of predicted and acquired value of GGBFS geopolymers compressive strength	135

©This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF FIGURES

		PAGE
Figure 2.1	Terminology of poly(sialate) geopolymers (Davidovits, 2002)	30
Figure 2.2	Schematic diagram of geopolymer formation (Davidovits, 1999)	37
Figure 2.3	Schematic outline of geopolymerization reaction (Provis & Van Deventer, 2007)	38
Figure 2.4	Chemical structure of Ca-di-siloxonate and (K,Ca)-ortho-sialate (Davidovits, 2011)	41
Figure 2.5	Chemical structure of (K,Ca)-cyclo-ortho (sialate-disoloxo) and calcium silicate hydrate (Davidovits, 2011)	42
Figure 2.6	Chemical structure of tobermorite (Davidovits, 2011)	43
Figure 2.7	Chemical mechanism of Ca-poly (alumino-sialate) (Davidovits, 2011)	43
Figure 2.8	SEM micrographs of ground granulated blast furnace slag (Wan, Shui & Lin 2004)	46
Figure 2.9	SEM micrograph of geopolymer paste (80% metakaolin and 20% of ground slag) (Yip, Lukey & van Deventer, 2005)	47
Table 2.10	FTIR spectra of slag/fly ash system (Ismail, Bernal, Provis et al. 2013)	48
Figure 2.11	FTIR of alkali activated slag paste after exposure to elevated temperatures (Rovnaník, Bayer, & Rovnaníková, 2013)	49
Figure 2.12	X-ray diffractogram slag and based geopolymers produced at different conditions (SG-W denotes SL ratio, N denotes NaOH concentration, S denotes SiO ₂ concentration) (Maragos, Giannopoulou, & Panyas, 2009)	50
Figure 2.13	XRD pattern of geopolymer mortars before and after exposure at elevated temperatures (Ye, Zhang, & Shi, 2014)	51
Figure 2.14	TGA and DTG of fly ash geopolymer paste (Kong & Sanjayan, 2010)	52
Figure 2.15	TG and DTG curves of metakaolin-fly ash based geopolymer paste (Zhang, Kodur, Wu et al., 2016)	53
Figure 2.16	Chemical structure of slag (Runtti, Luukkonen, Niskanen et al., 2016)	59

Figure 3.1	Experimental flow chart	69
Figure 3.2	XRD pattern of fly ash, metakaolin, and ground granulated blast furnace slag raw materials	71
Figure 4.1	Particle size distribution of GGBFS	88
Figure 4.2	Morphology of GGBFS raw material	89
Figure 4.3	XRD diffractogram of GGBFS; calcite (C), gehlenite (G), quartz (Q), akermanite (A)	90
Figure 4.4	FTIR spectrum of GGBFS	91
Figure 4.5	Compressive strength of GGBFS geopolymer at different of NaOH concentration	93
Figure 4.6	The ground granulated blast furnace slag geopolymers NaOH activation	95
Figure 4.7	Density of GGBFS geopolymers for various NaOH concentrations	96
Figure 4.8	SEM analysis of GGBFS geopolymer on various NaOH concentration with x1000 magnification; (a) GGBFS raw, (b) 6M/1.0SL/2.5SN (c) 8M/1.0SL/2.5SN (d) 10M/1.0SL/2.5SN (e) 12M/1.0SL/2.5SN and (f) 14M/1.0SL/2.5SN	97
Figure 4.9	FTIR spectra of GGBFS geopolymers for various designs synthesized at different NaOH concentrations	99
Figure 4.10	Compressive strength of GGBFS geopolymer at various solid-to-liquid ratios	103
Figure 4.11	The formation of calcite during the geopolymerization of ground granulated blast furnace slag geopolymers	104
Figure 4.12	Density GGBFS geopolymer with various solid-to-liquid ratios	105
Figure 4.13	SEM micrograph of GGBFS geopolymers with x1000 magnification; (a) 6M/2.0SL/2.5SN (b) 8M/2.0SL/2.5SN (c) 10M/2.0SL/2.5SN (d) 12M/2.0SL/2.5SN and (e) 14M/2.0SL/2.5SN	106
Figure 4.14	SEM micrograph of GGBFS geopolymers with x1000 magnification; (a) 6M/3.0SL/2.5SN (b) 8M/3.0SL/2.5SN (c) 10M/3.0SL/2.5SN (d) 12M/3.0SL/2.5SN and (e) 14M/3.0SL/2.5SN	107
Figure 4.15	FTIR spectra of GGBFS geopolymers for 1.0SL/2.5SN with various NaOH concentrations	109

Figure 4.16	FTIR spectra of GGBFS geopolymers for 2.0SL/2.5SN with various NaOH concentrations	110
Figure 4.17	FTIR spectra of GGBFS geopolymers for 3.0SL/2.5SN with various NaOH concentrations	110
Figure 4.18	Compressive strength of GGBFS geopolymers with various sodium silicate-to-sodium hydroxide ratios	115
Figure 4.19	The formation of ground granulated blast furnace slag geopolymers activated by alkali activator	116
Figure 4.20	Density GGBFS geopolymer with various $\text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3/\text{NaOH}$ ratios	117
Figure 4.21	SEM micrograph of GGBFS geopolymers with x1000 magnification; (a) 6M/3.0SL/1.5SN (b) 8M/3.0SL/1.5SN (c) 10M/3.0SL/1.5SN (d) 12M/3.0SL/1.5SN and (e) 14M/3.0SL/1.5SN	119
Figure 4.22	SEM micrograph of GGBFS geopolymers with x1000 magnification; (a) 6M/3.0SL/2.0SN (b) 8M/3.0SL/2.0SN (c) 10M/3.0SL/2.0SN (d) 12M/3.0SL/2.0SN and (e) 14M/3.0SL/2.0SN	120
Figure 4.23	FTIR spectra of GGBFS geopolymers for 3.0SL/1.5SN with various NaOH concentrations	121
Figure 4.24	FTIR spectra of GGBFS geopolymers for 3.0SL/2.0SN with various NaOH concentrations	122
Figure 4.25	FTIR spectra of GGBFS geopolymers for 3.0SL/2.5SN with various NaOH concentrations	122
Figure 4.26	The Pareto chart of the standardize effects	129
Figure 4.27	Main effect plot for compressive strength of GGBFS geopolymers	130
Figure 4.28	Interaction plot for compressive strength of GGBFS geopolymers	131
Figure 4.29	Surface plot of compressive strength versus solid-to-liquid ratios and NaOH concentrations	133
Figure 4.30	Surface plot of compressive strength versus sodium silicate-to-sodium hydroxide ratios and NaOH concentrations	133
Figure 4.31	Surface plot of compressive strength versus sodium silicate-to-sodium hydroxide ratios and solid-to-liquid ratios	134

Figure 4.32	The physical appearance of GGBFS geopolymers at elevated temperature exposure; (a) unexposed-elevated temperature, (b) 200 °C exposed, (c) 400 °C exposed, (d) 600 °C exposed, (e) 800 °C exposed and (f) 1000 °C exposed	138
Figure 4.33	Compressive strength and strength loss of unexposed and exposed GGBFS geopolymers at elevated temperatures	139
Figure 4.34	Density measurement and density loss of unexposed and exposed GGBFS geopolymers at elevated temperatures	141
Figure 4.35	SEM micrographs of unexposed GGBFS (a) and temperature exposed GGBFS geopolymers at 200 °C (b), 400 °C (c), 600 °C (d), 800 °C (e), and 1000 °C (f)	143
Figure 4.36	TGA-DSC curves of GGBFS geopolymers	145
Figure 4.37	DTG curves of GGBFS geopolymers	146
Figure 4.38	FTIR spectra of GGBFS, unexposed and exposed GGBFS geopolymers at elevated temperatures	148
Figure 4.39	XRD diffractogram of GGBFS raw and GGBFS geopolymers at elevated temperatures, C-Calcite (PDF no.00-005-0586), CSH-Calcium silicate hydrate (PDF no. 00-051-0092), A-Akermanite (PDF no. 00-035-0592), G-gehlenite (PDF no. 00-035-0755), T-tricalcium magnesium orthosilicate (PDF no. 00-035-0592), M-Mayenite (PDF no. 00-009-0413)	150
Figure 4.40	The formation of calcite in GGBFS geopolymers	151

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Material
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
CASH	Calcium Aluminate Silicate Hydrate
CaCO ₃	Calcite
Ca(OH) ₂	Calcium Hydroxide
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
CSH	Calcium Silicate Hydrate
DOE	Design of Experiment
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy
K	Potassium
KOH	Potassium Hydroxide
LOI	Loss of Ignition
Na	Sodium
Na ₂ SiO ₃	Sodium Silicate
NaOH	Sodium Hydroxide
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
SL	Solid-to-Liquid ratios
SN	Sodium Silicate-to-Sodium Hydroxide
XRD	X-ray Diffraction
XRF	X-ray Fluorescence

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Area
F	Force
n	Number of moles
M	Concentration
M_w	Molecular Weight
σ	Compressive Strength
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	Degree Celsius
Θ	Theta
kV	Kilovolt

©This item is protected by original copyright

Sifat dan Pencirian Geopolimer Relau Letupan Sanga Tanah Pada Pendedehan Suhu Tinggi

ABSTRAK

Proses pengeopolimeran menggunakan geopolimer relau letupan sanga tanah (GGBFS) sebagai sumber aluminosilikat telah dijalankan. GGBFS adalah bahan alternatif yang boleh diperbaharui bagi menggantikan Portland biasa. Ia berkembang mengikut kesedaran global isu-isu alam sekitar yang sohor kini. Kajian terhadap GGBFS sebagai pengikat dalam penghasilan geopolimer adalah terlalu kurang di serata dunia. Oleh yang demikian, kajian ini sangat penting bagi menilai pencirian fizikal dan mekanikal GGBFS yang berpotensi digunakan dalam bidang pembinaan. Pes geopolimer dihasilkan daripada pencampuran serbuk GGBFS, larutan natrium hidroksida dan natrium silikat. Pes buburan dipadatkan dalam plastik akrilik dan diawetkan pada suhu bilik selama 28 hari. Masa pengerasan yang lebih singkat akan menyebabkan kereaktifan GGBFS lebih tinggi dan ia merupakan kekangan utama kajian ini. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji kesan kepekatan natrium hidroksida, nisbah pepejal kepada cecair dan nisbah natrium silikat kepada natrium hidroksida terhadap kekuatan mampatan geopolimer GGBFS. Hasil keputusan menunjukkan bahawa kepekatan natrium hidroksida sebanyak 10M, nisbah pepejal kepada cecair sebanyak 3.0, dan natrium silikat kepada natrium hidroksida sebanyak 2.5 pada suhu bilik dan diawetkan sehingga 28 hari adalah pencampuran yang optimum untuk sintesis geopolimer GGBFS. Kekuatan mampatan tertinggi geopolimer GGBFS dicapai pada 168.71 MPa. Kalsit (CaCO_3) dan Kalsit Silikat Hidrat (C-S-H) muncul dalam geopolimer GGBFS selepas tindak balas pengeopolimeran seperti yang ditentukan oleh analisis fasa. Pengenalpastian kumpulan berfungsi menunjukkan pembentukan lebih banyak ikatan geopolimer dalam geopolimer GGBFS pada reka bentuk pencampuran optimum tersebut. Bagi menentukan perkaitan parameter pemprosesan dalam pembentukan geopolimer GGBFS, statistik reka bentuk eksperimen telah dijalankan. Analisis varians (ANOVA) menunjukkan pembolehubah nisbah pepejal kepada cecair adalah factor yang paling tinggi pemprosesan geopolimer GGBFS dengan bacaan 0.00 berbanding variabel kepekatan natrium hidroksida dan variabel nisbah natrium silikat kepada natrium hidroksida. Pes geopolimer GGBFS didedahkan pada suhu tinggi sehingga 1000 °C. Sampel geopolimer GGBFS yang terdedah menunjukkan kemerosotan kekuatan mampatan sebanyak 8.9 MPa. Sebaliknya sampel geopolimer GGBFS mengalami peningkatan kekuatan mampatan sehingga 19.8 MPa selepas terdedah pada 1000 °C iaitu pada pembentukan fasa pengkristalan. Selain peningkatan kekuatan mampatan, sampel geopolimer GGBFS mengekalkan bentuk kiubnya tanpa berlakunya kerapuhan struktur apabila terdedah pada suhu tinggi. Tambahan pula, pembentukan fasa gehlenit, mayenit, dan larnit mempamerkan permukaan geopolimer yang kasar dan kemunculan gua. Tuntasnya, kajian ini memberikan pemahaman yang lebih baik mengenai sifat-sifat dan ciri-ciri geopolimer GGBFS. Bagi penyelidikan masa depan, adalah dicadangkan untuk menggunakan bahan GGBFS mortar, konkrit ringan dan geopolimer busa untuk analisis prestasi pada persekitaran suhu yang tinggi.

Properties and Characterization of Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymer at High Temperature Exposure

ABSTRACT

Geopolymerization process using ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS) as aluminosilicate sources was performed. The utilization of GGBFS as an alternative and renewable material for Portland cement is growing due to global awareness towards environmental issues. Research on ground granulated blast furnace slag as a sole binder in geopolymer production is limited throughout the world. Hence, this study is essential to evaluate the physical and mechanical characterizations of GGBFS for the potential use in construction fields. The geopolymers paste was produced from the mixing of GGBFS powder, sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate solution. The slurry pastes were compacted in acrylic plastic and cure at room temperature for 28 days. Shortened setting times leads to higher reactivity of GGBFS, thus becomes the major limitation in this study. The aim of this study is to investigate the effect of NaOH concentration, solid-to-liquid ratio and $\text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3/\text{NaOH}$ ratio on GGBFS geopolymer compressive strength. The results exhibited that 10 M of NaOH concentration, solid-to-liquid ratio of 3.0, and sodium silicate-to-sodium hydroxide ratio of 2.5 at room temperature and cured until 28 days were the optimum mixing conditions for GGBFS geopolymers synthesis. The highest compressive strength of GGBFS geopolymer was achieved at 168.71 MPa. Calcite (CaCO_3) and calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) appeared in GGBFS geopolymers after the geopolymerization reaction as determined by phase analysis. Functional group identification shows the formation of more geopolymer bonding in GGBFS geopolymer at optimal mixing designs. In order to determine the correlation of the processing parameters in the formation of GGBFS geopolymer, a statistical design of experiment (DOE) was approach. The analysis of the experiment results through ANOVA revealed that solid-to-liquid ratios was the highest influenced with the result of 0.00 compared to NaOH concentration and sodium silicate-to-sodium hydroxide ratios. The GGBFS geopolymer paste was exposed to elevated temperatures up to 1000 °C. The exposed GGBFS geopolymers samples indicated a compressive strength degradation of 8.9 MPa. In contrast, the GGBFS geopolymers samples had an increasing of compressive strength up to 19.8 MPa after being exposed at 1000 °C with the formation of crystallization phases. Despite strength increment, GGBFS geopolymer samples remained in cubic shape with no spalling occurred when exposed at high temperature. Furthermore, the formation of gehlenite, mayenite, and larnite phases exhibited rugged geopolymer surface with cavern appearance. As a conclusion, this study provides a better understanding of the properties and characteristic of GGBFS geopolymers. Hence, for future research, it is suggested to apply the GGBFS material in mortar, lightweight concrete and geopolymer foam in order to analyze the performance at high temperature environments.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Steel is the vital raw material used in the engineering and machinery industries, automotive equipment, manufacturing sectors, and as major component for infrastructure works. Steel production has a huge boost effect in the economy through increased activities in other related fields. The level per capita of steel consumption is considered as one of the most valuable indicators of socio-economic development and living standards of the consumer. In 2017, Malaysia became the world's eighteenth-largest steel importer. Malaysia imported 7.6 million metric tons of steel in 2017, a 15 % lower than 8.9 million metric tons in 2016 (Iron & Federation, 2017). Otherwise, based on the Malaysia Iron and Steel Industry Federation, Malaysia has over 100 steel manufacturing and processing companies which are the total steel-making capacity of 10.7 million metric tons produced in 2016. The structure of Malaysia's iron and steel industries leads to 2.9% of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in 2016 and has the promising potential to develop up to 6.5% of GDP growth in 2020. However, the production of iron and steel generated a huge solid waste during the processing steps in steel plants. The solid wastes caused a crucial environmental pollution that must be discarded.

Over the last decade, the slag and sludge produced by integrated steel plants were known as "waste" but in present are classified as a "by-product" due to the intensive re-utilization and managing of the solid wastes (Pappu, Saxena, & Asolekar, 2007). The "4 Rs" (reduce, reuse, recycle and restore) was proposed as the viable solution for solid waste management in the steel industry. Recycling and reusing the by-

product management during the steel making process were applied in targeting a green, clean and zero waste technology pertaining to the sustainable development of the steel industry (Sarkar & Mazumder, 2015). Sources of solid wastes generated in steel industries are such as coke oven by product plants, refractory materials, basic oxygen furnace, rolling mill and blast furnace. An enormous amount of solid wastes in the steel industry were namely coal dust, mill scrap, refractory waste and blast furnace slag (Das, Prakash, Reddy et al., 2007).

One of the solid wastes in the steel industry, blast furnace slag was applied for the manufacturing of road base, lightweight concrete blocks, high performance concretes, admixtures and the manufacturing of Portland cement (Chaurand, Rose, Briois et al., 2007). Hence, there is an expanding interest on the beneficial use of alternative materials with pozzolanic activity for comparable properties to Portland cement in order to produce valuable products from economical and technical point of view for the profitability of the recycling process (Angulo-Ramírez, de Gutiérrez, & Puertas, 2017). The utilizing of alternative materials and renewable materials for Portland cement is growing due to global awareness towards the environmental issues (da Luz Garcia & Sousa-Coutinho, 2013).

Geopolymer have attracted spotlight over from various researches with its performance (chemical, and fire resistance, fast setting, and long term durability) and potentially build up market demands comparable with Portland cement (Davidovits, 2002). Theoretically, geopolymer technology can be applied in numerous fields such as aerospace, automobile, foundry, and metallurgy, plastic industries and construction. Geopolymer chemistry consists of aluminosilicate sources material, mostly are naturally

existing, industrial wastes or by-product of those that require relatively less energy to manufacture (Sabir, Wild, & Bai, 2001). Geopolymer are well-known as having great volume stability, high mechanical properties, and good thermal characteristics. The production of geopolymer releases no greenhouse gases and with low energy consumption and production cost (Davidovits, 1994a).

In current studies, various research works have been performed on the performance of environmental friendly construction materials at elevated temperature exposure. Instead of utilizing conventional cement as binding component, geopolymer technology was proposed as an alternative to cement binder due to the excellent thermal behaviour. Kong et al. (2007) found that fly ash geopolymer developed 6% of strength after being exposed at 800 °C attributed by highly dispersed pores in the geopolymer matrix. Otherwise, the blended geopolymer (50/50 of slag/metakaolin) obtained 86% strength degradation when exposed above 1000 °C. This was caused by some partial melting of the geopolymer binders that suffered the structural changes at high temperature exposure (Sarker, Kelly, & Yao, 2014).

As Portland cement, most of the calcium oxide (CaO) obtained in GGBFS was tied up as calcium aluminate, calcium silicate, and calcium aluminosilicate (Rabbani, Daghigh, Atrechian et al., 2012). Research on geopolymer using ground granulated blast furnace as main aluminosilicate sources was very limited. Moreover, most of the studies focused on thermal performance of fly ash, metakaolin and/or slag incorporated geopolymers (Bernal, Rodríguez, De Gutiérrez et al., 2011; Guerrieri & Sanjayan, 2010; Rashad, Bai, Basheer et al., 2012). Hence, this research is focused on investigating the

physical and mechanical properties of ground granulated blast furnace slag geopolymer at high temperature exposure.

1.2 Problem Statement

There has been an increasing trend on recycling waste materials worldwide. The incorporation of ground granulated blast furnace slag in the manufacturing of geopolymer concrete has been applied since the last century (Deb, Nath, & Sarker, 2014; Kim, Jun, Lee et al., 2013; Kumar, Kumar, & Mehrotra, 2010). However, the use of ground granulated blast furnace slag as the sole binder in the production of geopolymer is not common throughout the world. Ground granulated blast furnace slag attracts less attention among researches due to the shortened setting times during the curing period (Lachemi, Şahmaran, Hossain et al., 2010; Mounanga, Khokhar, El Hachem et al., 2011; Xu, Gong, Syltebo et al., 2014). This shortened setting times contributes to the higher reactivity of ground granulated blast furnace slag and becomes the major limitation in the study.

The possibility of ground granulated blast furnace slag is used as cement replacement material contributing several advantages such as reducing the natural resources exploration, decreasing environment burdens of waste accumulation and recycle for profitable materials (Dos Anjos, Sales, & Andrade, 2017). Ground granulated blast furnace slag has the potential to attain satisfactory mechanical performance by replacing the Portland cement in order to develop new economic and green environmental low cost materials. Accordingly, it is necessary to characterize the physical and mechanical properties of ground granulated blast furnace slag for its potential use in the construction fields.

In earlier studies, the incorporation of slag in geopolymers exhibited strength deterioration towards to elevated temperature exposure. The increasing of large pores and decomposition induced to the strength loss due to cracking after exposed up to 1000 °C (Ye, Zhang, & Shi, 2014). The performance of alkali activated slag concrete (AASC) in the range of 400 C to 800 C similar to ordinary Portland cement (OPC) contributes to the strength deterioration at elevated temperatures. The remaining strength of AASC was 10 % after exposed up to 800 °C and was believed due to the absence of Ca(OH)_2 (Guerrieri, Sanjayan, & Collins, 2009). Nevertheless, the use of solely GGBFS in geopolymer as aluminosilicate source at high temperature exposure has not been studied. It is substantial to study on the fundamental material to address the GGBFS applied alone in the production of geopolymers.

As less literature has been reported on the mechanical and material characterization of ground granulated blast furnace slag based geopolymer, it is necessary to improve the fundamental theory in the geopolymer field. The chemical composition, such as sodium concentration, solid-to-liquid ratio, and alkali activator ratio was also investigated in order to achieve the possibility to enhance the manufacturing of ground granulated blast furnace slag towards geopolymerization reaction. Hence, this research is being escalated to produce economic ground granulated blast furnace slag geopolymer with possibly greater engineering application bases.

1.3 Objective of Study

This research proposed to study the utilization of ground granulated blast furnace slag in geopolymer synthesis. The properties and characteristic of ground

granulated blast furnace slag at various mixing compositions were developed. The objectives of study are:

- 1) To formulate the optimum mixing composition based on compressive strength and analyze the correlation of the processing parameter in the formation of ground granulated blast furnace slag geopolymers.
- 2) To validate the physical and characterization of ground granulated blast furnace slag geopolymers.
- 3) To evaluate the performance of ground granulated blast furnace slag geopolymers towards high temperature exposure.

1.4 Research Scope

Scope of this research is to consolidate on the study of the characterization, mechanical properties, and the performance of ground granulated blast furnace slag determined through the compressive strength, density, and the durability at high temperature environment. Additionally, materials characteristic will be evaluated based to the mass ratio of NaOH concentration, solid-to-liquid ratios, and sodium silicate-to-sodium hydroxide ratios by morphology and mineralogical analysis at laboratory UniMAP.

A number of specimens were prepared in the laboratory to determine the optimum mixing ratio according to mixing variations of parameters and mechanical

properties of ground granulated blast furnace slag geopolymer. There are three main series of GGBFS geopolymer mix designs that were casted. Each series was designed based on the parameters (NaOH concentration, solid-to-liquid ratios, and sodium silicate-to-sodium hydroxide ratio) affected on the compressive strength of GGBFS geopolymers. The ratios of NaOH concentration was 6 M, 8 M, 10 M, 12 M, and 14 M. The solid-to-liquid ratios and sodium silicate-to-sodium hydroxide ratios were 1.0 to 3.0 and 1.5 to 2.5, respectively.

The hardened paste was taken out from the mould after 24 hours of casting and cured at ambient temperature with the fixed curing period of 28 days for all samples. The weight measurement and compressive strength test were conducted after 28 days of curing period followed by materials characterizations. Compressive strength of Ground granulated blast furnace slag geopolymer was tested using Instron machine to assess the success of the geopolymerization reaction. X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) and fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy were used to determine the phase identification and functional group of Ground granulated blast furnace slag geopolymer. Moreover, scanning electron microscope (SEM) was performed to evaluate the microstructural changes and to observe the different degrees of the geopolymerization reaction. The data collection for the proper investigation into strength characteristics of GGBFS geopolymer is obtained and discussed in the following chapter. Meanwhile, high temperature exposure will be studied and discussed after the tests are completed by obtaining the optimum mixing ratios.