



**Production of Self-Compacting Concrete Containing
Limestone Powder with the Effect of Internal and
External Salts**

by

**Duha Abdalla Najm Al-Gburi
(1330410816)**

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science in Materials Engineering

**School of Materials Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah for the strengths and His blessing in completing this thesis. All problems and the difficulties encountered during completing this thesis could be settled with His consents.

Special appreciation goes to my mother, Muneera Abdul Rahman Hasan for her constant support and also her kindness throughout the duration of my studies in the School of Materials, Universiti Malaysia Perlis. Her invaluable help of constructive guidance and suggestions throughout the thesis works have contributed to the success of this research.

I would also like to express my thanks to Prof. Dr, Azmi Bin Rahmat, my supervisor, Dr. Noor Zahan Begum and for my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Khairul Nizar Bin Ismail.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
THESIS DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
ABSTRAK	xvii
ABSTRACT	xviii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Research Objectives	4
1.4 Research Significance	4
1.5 Scope of Study	5
1.6 Chapter Organization	5

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Basic Principles for SCC	7
2.2	What Advantages Expected from Using SCC	10
2.3	Mechanism for Achieving Self-compatibility	11
2.4	Selection Materials Used in SCC Mix	12
2.4.1	Cement	13
2.4.2	Aggregate	16
2.4.2.1	Fine Aggregate	16
2.4.2.2	Coarse Aggregate	16
2.4.3	Chemical Admixtures	17
2.4.4	Fillers	20
2.5	Mix Design	22
2.5.1	Mix Design Method	22
2.5.1.1	Common Design Methods for SCC	22
2.5.1.2	Japanese Design Method	24
2.5.1.3	Rational Mix Design Method	25
2.5.1.4	EFNARC Approaches	26
2.6	Adjustment of the Mix	27
2.7	Test Methods for Fresh SCC	27
2.7.1	Slump Flow Test and T50cm Test	29
2.7.2	V- funnel test and V- funnel Test at T 5 Minutes (T5)	29
2.7.3	U -box Test Method	30
2.7.4	L- box Test Method	30
2.8	Workability Criteria for the Fresh SCC	31

2.9	Chemical Attack	31
2.9.1	Mechanism of Sulphate Attack	32
2.9.2	Chloride Attack	33
2.9.2.1	Mechanism of the Action of Calcium Chloride on Cement	33
2.10	Mechanical Properties of SCC	34
2.10.1	Compressive Strength Measurement	34
2.10.2	Flexural Strength	35
2.10.3	Splitting Tensile Strength	37
2.10.4	Modulus of Elasticity	37
2.11	Non-destructive Test	38
2.11.1	Ultrasonic Plus Velocity	38
2.12	Summary	38

CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	40
3.2	Materials	40
3.2.1	Cement	41
3.2.2	Fine Aggregate	42
3.2.3	Coarse Aggregate	43
3.2.4	Water	43
3.2.4.1	Water Solution	44
3.2.4.2	Sulfates	44
3.2.5	Super plasticizer	44
3.2.6	Limestone Powder (LP)	45

3.3	Determining of Mix Design Method	46
3.3.1	Mix Proportions	46
3.3.2	Concrete Mixes	47
3.3.3	Mixing Procedure	47
3.4	Fresh Concrete Test Methods	48
3.4.1	Slump Flow Test and T50cm Slump Flow Test	48
3.4.2	V-Funnel and V-Funnel at T5 Minutes Tests	50
3.4.3	L-Box Test	51
3.4.4	U-Box Test	52
3.5	Testing of Hardened Concrete	53
3.5.1	Destructive Tests	54
3.5.1.1	Compressive Strength	54
3.5.1.2	Splitting Tensile Strength	54
3.5.1.3	Flexural Strength	54
3.5.1.4	Static Modulus of Elasticity	56
3.6	Non-Destructive Test	57
3.6.1	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity Test	57

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	58
4.2	Self-Compatibility Tests	58
4.2.1	Slump Flow and T50cm Test	59
4.2.2	V-funnel Test	61
4.2.3	L-box Test	63

4.2.4	U-box Test	66
4.3	Hardened SCC Properties	67
4.3.1	Compressive Strength	68
4.3.2	Splitting Tensile Strength (f_t)	77
4.3.3	Flexural Strength (f_r)	81
4.3.4	Static Modulus of Elasticity	86
4.4	Non-Destructive Tests	90
4.4.1	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity	90
4.5	Summary	94
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCHES		
5.1	Conclusions	99
5.2	Summary	99
5.3	Suggestions for Further Researches	99
REFERENCES		100
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		105

LIST OF TABLES

NO.		PAGE
2.1	Range of mix constituents	23
2.2	List of test methods for workability properties of self-compacting concrete	29
2.3	Acceptance criteria for self-compacting concrete	31
3.1	Cement chemical composition	41
3.2	Cement physical properties	41
3.3	Fine aggregate grading	42
3.4	Fine aggregate physical properties	42
3.5	Coarse aggregate grading	43
3.6	Coarse aggregate physical properties	43
3.7	Types and amount of salts in external exposure	43
3.8	Chemical analysis of the limestone powder	45
3.9	Concrete mix proportion	46
3.10	Mixes sets and description	47
3.11	Quality of concrete given by BS, 1881:1983 as a function of ultrasonic pulse velocity.	57
4.1	Slump flow tests	60
4.2	V-funnel tests	62
4.3	L-box tests	64
4.4	U-box tests	66

4.5	Compressive strength (MPa) for 150mm cube (f_{cu})	69
4.6	Compressive strength (MPa) for (150x300) mm cylinder ($f_{c'}$)	71
4.7	Ratio of ($f_{cu}/ f_{c'}$)	71
4.8	Indirect tensile splitting strength (Mpa) results	80
4.9	Ratio of indirect tensile splitting /compressive strength ($f_t/ f_{c'}$)	81
4.10	Flexural strength (MPa)	82
4.11	Ratio of flexural /compressive strength (f_r/f_{cu})	85
4.12	Modulus of elasticity E (GPa)	87
4.13	Ratio of E / ($f_{c'}$) ^{0.5}	87
4.14	Ultrasonic pulse velocity tests (UPV) (km/sec)	93

©This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF FIGURES

NO.		PAGE
2.1	Basic principles for the production of self-compacting concrete	8
2.2	Determination of the mix	9
2.3	Methods for achieving self-compatibility	12
2.4	Excess paste theory	15
3.1	Slump flow test	49
3.2	V-funnel and V-funnel at T5 minutes tests	50
3.3	L-box test	52
3.4	U-box test	53
3.5	Flexural strength test	55
3.6	Apparatus for ultrasonic pulse velocity test consisting of electrical pulse generator with electronic timing device and a pair of transducers.	56
4.1	Slump flow diameter D (mm).	60
4.2	Time required to pass (50cm Diameter) circle (T50)	61
4.3	Graph of V-funnel flow time (Tv) sec	62
4.4	Graph of Tv5 (sec)	63
4.5	Graph of T20 (sec)	64
4.6	Graph of T40 (sec)	65
4.7	Graph of blocking ratio	65
4.8	Graph of filling height	67

4.9	Graph of U-box test ΔH (mm)	68
4.10	Graph of Compressive Strength of Cubes (fcu) Internal Sulphates at 28 days	72
4.11	Graph of Compressive Strength of Cubes (fcu) Internal Sulphates at 60 days	72
4.12	Graph of Compressive Strength of Cubes (fcu) Internal Sulphates at 90 days	73
4.13	Graph of Compressive Strength of Cubes (fcu) Internal Sulphates at 180 days	73
4.14	Graph of Compressive Strength of Cubes (fcu) External Salts	74
4.15	Graph of Compressive Strength of Cylinder (fc') Internal Sulphates at 28 days	74
4.16	Graph of Compressive Strength of Cylinder (fc') Internal Sulphates at 60 days	75
4.17	Graph of Compressive Strength of Cylinder (fc') Internal Sulphates at 90 days	75
4.18	Graph of Compressive Strength of Cylinder (fc') Internal Sulphates at 180 days	76
4.19	Graph of Compressive Strength of Cylinder (fc') for External Salts	76
4.20	Graph of Tensile Strength (ft) for Internal Sulphates at 28 days	78
4.21	Graph of Tensile Strength (ft) for Internal Sulphates at 60 days	78
4.22	Graph of Tensile Strength (ft) for Internal Sulphates at 90 days	79
4.23	Graph of Tensile Strength (ft) for Internal Sulphates at 180 days	79
4.24	Graph of (ft) Tensile Strength for External Salts	80
4.25	Graph of Flexural Strength (fr) for Internal Salts at 28 days	83

4.26	Graph of Flexural Strength (fr) for Internal Salts at 60 days	83
4.27	Graph of Flexural Strength (fr) for Internal Salts at 90 days	84
4.28	Graph of Flexural Strength (fr) for Internal Salts at 180 days	84
4.29	Graph of Flexural Strength (fr) for External Sulphates	85
4.30	Graph of Modulus of Elasticity (E) for Internal Sulphates at 28 days	88
4.31	Graph of Modulus of Elasticity (E) for Internal Sulphates at 60 days	88
4.32	Graph of Modulus of Elasticity (E) for Internal Sulphates at 90 days	89
4.33	Graph of Modulus of Elasticity (E) for Internal Sulphates at 180 days	89
4.34	Graph of Modulus of Elasticity (E) for External Salts	90
4.35	Graph of (UPV) for Internal Sulphates at 28 days	91
4.36	Graph of (UPV) for Internal Sulphates at 60 days	91
4.37	Graph of (UPV) for Internal Sulphates at 90 days.	92
4.38	Graph of (UPV) for Internal Sulphates at 180 days	92
4.39	Graph of (UPV) for External Salts	93

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

NCCLR	National Centre for Construction Laboratories and Research
SCC	Self-Compacting Concrete
UPV	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity
ACI	American Concrete Institute
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BS	British Standard
CANMET	Canada Centre for Mineral and Energy Technology
CM	Control Mix
CV	Conventional Concrete
EFNARC	European Federation of National Associations Representing for Concrete
EN	European Standard
EPG	The European Project Group
FA	Fly Ash
HVCR	High Volume Cement Replacement
LP	Limestone Powder
OPC	Ordinary Portland Cement
MK	Metakaolin
MPa	Mega Pascal
NVC	Normal Vibrated Concrete

PC	Portland Cement
SP	Super Plasticizer
W/C	Water /Cement ratio
W/P	Water/powder ratio
fcu	Cube Compressive Strength
fc'	Cylinder Compressive Strength
C	Cement
P	Powder
S	Sand
L1	Reference mix without internal or external Salts
L2	Mix with internal sulphate 3.4% of sand weight
L3	Mix with internal sulphate 2.3% of sand weight
L4	Mix with internal sulphate 1.3% of sand weight
L5	Mix with internal sulphate 1.2% of sand weight
L6	Mix with external salt NaCl ₂ (1.4%)
L7	Mix with external salt NaSO ₄ (0.97 %)
L8	Mix with external salt MgSO ₄ (0.97%)
L9	Mix with external salt CaCl ₂ (1.4%)

LIST OF SYMBOLS

%	Percent
<	Less than
>	Greater than
≥	Greater than or equals to
±	Plus or minus
°C	Degree Centigrade
μm	Micronmeter
3CaO.Al ₂ O ₃	Tricalcium aluminate
3CaO.Al ₂ O ₃ .CaCO ₃	Calcium carboaluminate
C ₂ S	Dicalcium silicate
C ₃ A	Tetracalcium aluminoferrite
C ₃ S	Tricalcium silicate
CaO	Calcium oxide
CaCO ₃	Calcium carbonate
CH	Calcium hydroxide
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
cm ²	Square centimeter
g	Gram
kg	Kilogram
km	Kilometer
L	Liter
mm	Millimeter

m^2	Square meter
m^3	Cubic meter
nm	Nanometer
SiO_2	Silicon dioxide
$CaSO_4$	Calcium sulfate
SO_4	Sulfate

©This item is protected by original copyright

Penghasilan Konkrit Mampatan Sendiri yang Mengandung Serbuk Batu Kapur dengan Kesan Garam Dalaman dan Luaran

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan menyiasat pengaruh perbezaan kandungan sulfat dalaman (CaSO_4) dan pengaruh perbezaan garam luaran ke atas sifat konkrit mampatan sendiri dan kesan kandungan garam dalaman dan luaran. Tiga set campuran (No.1), (No.2) dan (No.3) iaitu campuran rujukan, dicampurkan dengan sulfat dalaman dan campuran ini didedahkan kepada garam luaran masing-masing yang direka dengan pembahagian campuran yang sama dan maksimum saiz agregat sebanyak 10mm. Bagi menentukan sifat kebolehpadatan retak sendiri, ujian yang berbeza digunakan seperti Aliran Turunan, Saluran-V, Kotak-L, dan ujian Kotak-U. Ujian ini dijalankan bagi memastikan campuran tersebut menepati keperluan SCC dan menentukan keberkesanan sulfat dalaman ke atas keupayaan pengisian, laluan, dan rintangan pemisahan SCC. Sifat-sifat mekanikal yang dikaji ialah kekuatan mampatan, kekuatan pembelahan, kekuatan lenturan, dan modulus anjalan. Kajian ini disiasat dibawah keberkesanan terhadap serangan garam dan proses pembasahan dan pengeringan. Tambahan pula, kaedah ujian tidak musnah digunakan, yang mana halaju denyutan ultrasonik digunakan untuk menilai ciri-ciri dinamik konkrit. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa tidak mustahil untuk menghasilkan konkrit mampatan sendiri (SCC) daripada bahan tempatan bagi memenuhi kehendak konkrit jenis ini, dan boleh dikatakan bahawa penghasilan konkrit mampatan sendiri ini dipengaruhi oleh serangan garam dan kesannya melalui proses pembasahan dan pengeringan, tetapi disebalik fakta ini, SCC masih mempunyai ciri-ciri yang bagus. Pada 28 hari, kekuatan mampatan untuk semua campuran adalah diantara (23.81 - 44.09) Mpa bagi piawai kiub berukuran 150mm dan (16.56 – 25.95) Mpa untuk silinder 150 x 300mm, kekuatan mampatan pada 60 hari, diantara (23.51 – 36.57) dan (13.24–30.87) MPa bagi kiub dan silinder masing-masing. Daripada keputusan kajian, kekuatan mampatan campuran dengan kandungan garam luaran adalah lebih besar daripada kandungan campuran dengan garam dalaman sulfate untuk 28, 60, 90, 180 dari masing-masing kecuali pada 180 hari campur L5 adalah paling tinggi. Kekuatan pembelahan (ft) untuk sifat konkrit mampatan sendiri menunjukkan kekuatan pembelahan untuk campuran garam luaran adalah lebih tinggi daripada keputusan kekuatan pembelahan bagi garam dalaman sulfate. Kekuatan luaran untuk kebolehdapatan retak sendiri menunjukkan pada 28 hari, nilai-nilai kekuatan luaran untuk campuran sulfate dalaman lebih tinggi daripada keputusan kedua – dua garam luaran dan rujukan campuran (LI), manakala pada 60 dan 90 hari keputusan garam dalaman sulfate adalah lebih tinggi daripada garam luaran dan rujukan campur (LI), pada 180 hari keputusan rujukan campur (LI) adalah lebih tinggi. Modulus anjalan untuk kebolehdapatan retak sendiri konkrit menunjukkan pada 28, 60, 90, dan 180 hari modulus anjalan bagi campuran-campuran dengan garam luaran adalah lebih tinggi berbanding dengan keputusan untuk kedua-dua campuran dengan garam dalaman sulfate dan rujukan samput (LI), walaubagaimanapun pada 90 hari, campuran dengan garam dalaman sulfate (L2) adalah paling tinggi. Nilai halaju denyutan bertambah dengan peringkat kekuatan mampatan dan pengurangan apabila kekuatan mampatan berkurangan, dimana keputusan pengurangan apabila kekuatan mampatan berkurangan, dimana keputusan halaju denyutan untuk.

Production of Self-Compacting Concrete Containing Limestone Powder with the Effect of Internal and External Salts

ABSTRACT

This study aims at investigating the influence of different amounts of internal sulphate (CaSO_4) and external salts on the properties of Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC). Three sets of mixes (No.1), (No.2) and (No.3) which are reference mix, mixes with internal sulphate and mixes exposed to external salts respectively are designed with the same mix proportion and maximum size of aggregate 10 mm. In order to determine the self-compacting concrete, different tests are adopted such as Slump flow, V-funnel, L-box and U- box test. These tests are carried out to ensure that the mixes satisfy the requirement of SCC and to determine the effect of internal sulfate on the filling ability, passing and segregation resistance of SCC. The mechanical properties studied are the compressive strength, splitting strength, flexural strength, and modulus of elasticity. These tests are to be investigated under the effect of salt attack . Furthermore, a non-destructive test method is used, which is ultrasonic pulse velocity used to assess the dynamic properties of concrete. At age 28 days, compressive strength for all mixes ranges between (23.81-44.09) MPa for standard cubes measuring 150 mm and (16.56-25.95) MPa for cylinders 150x300 mm, the compressive strength at 60 days, ranges between (23.51-36.57) and (13.24-30.87) MPa for cubes and cylinder respectively. It appears from the results that the compressive strength of mixes with external salts is larger than the mixes with internal sulphates for ages 28,60 and 90 respectively except that at age 180 days the mix L5 is the highest. The results of splitting strength (ft) of SCC show clearly that the results of splitting tensile strength for the mixes with external salts are higher than the results of splitting tensile strength for internal sulphates and mix L1. The results of flexural strength (fr) of self-compacting concrete show clearly that at 28 days, the values of flexural strength of mixes with internal sulphates are higher than the results of both external salts and reference mix (L1), while at the ages 60 and 90 days, the results of external salts are higher than the results of internal sulphates and reference mix (L1), at the age 180 days the results of reference mix (L1) are the highest. The results of static modulus of elasticity (E) of self-compacting concrete show clearly that at 28 ,60 and180 days the static modulus of mixes with external salts are higher than the results of both mixes with internal sulphates and reference mix (L1), despite that at age 90 days the mix with internal sulphates(L2) is the highest. The values of pulse velocity increases with the increase in compressive strength and decreases when the compressive strength decreases.

Based on the results obtained from this work, it is clear that it is possible to produce self-compacting concrete (SCC) from local material which satisfies this type of concrete, and it can be stated that the produced self-compacting concrete is affected by salts attack , but despite this fact SCC still has good properties. In this investigation, the self compacting properties were found to be good for cement replacement by limestone powder. Addition of limestone powder increases the compressive strength and increases the sulphates resistance. Quarry dust not only reduces the cost of construction but also the impact on environment by consuming the material generally considered as a waste product with few applications.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Concrete has many qualities which contributed in making it the best commonly used construction material in civil engineering and building, such as cost-effectiveness, versatility, and above all durability. However, the production of concrete causes an environmental and conservation problems owing to its primary component production, which is the Portland cement (PC). This is because PC production is one of the main contributors to the carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions moreover it consumes large quantities of fossil fuel and natural resources (Meyer, 2009).

Two significant studies carried out around mid 1980s and 1990s resulted in the inventions of self-compacting concrete (SCC) and high volume cement replacement (HVCR) strategy, which produces a major effect on the technique where concrete can be prepared (Okamura & Ouchi, 2003). The reason is that SCC is responsible for a variety of chances to make use of natural and by-product materials as cement substitutes, while the strategy of HVCR not just decreases the use of cement considerably but permits the production of concrete at a low cost as well.

SCC is a creative concrete which fill the formwork due to its capability to flow under its own weight completely, even in the dense reinforcement presence, with no necessity for vibration, while preserving homogeneity (EFNARC, 2002). The self-

compacting ability is accomplished by means of large paste volume made possible by mixing cement with mineral additives for instance limestone powder (LP), fly ash (FA), silica fume (SF), ground-granulated blast-furnace slag (GGBS), rice husk ash (RHA), meta-kaolin (MK), etc (Elahi, Basheer, Nanukuttan, & Khan, 2010). Combining mineral additives in SCC turned out to be capable of not merely regulating the cement content but also improving the fresh state properties (Nehdi, Pardhan, & Koshowski, 2004).

The background study determines CO₂ emissions caused by concrete and cement productions as the recent issue is facing construction industry. One method of solving the issue is by substituting cement with byproduct materials such as LP. In this respect, SCC is able to offer varied opportunities to use such materials efficiently. However, the research problems that need to be resolved include SCCs higher material cost compared with traditional concrete, the additives low optimal level of cement replacement with respect to the incorporation of LP in SCC due to supply and properties issue (De Schutter, 2011).

The necessity to move away from the entire dependence on cement in the production of concrete had motivated the studies to employ mineral additives, particularly industrial wastes, as cement replacement materials. Several experts proposed that to enhance the usage of such materials in the production of concrete, concrete must progress. One way in the progression is in the direction of SCC which employs mineral time, cost and quality are the three important factors, which are assumed of significance in construction due to their impact on the industry as a whole.

Any developments, which have positive impact on the factors mentioned above, are always in the interest of civil engineering construction. When these developments have direct, desirable impact on social obligation of the industry, although analysis of pros and cons has to be done, all efforts needed to implement the concept in field of construction are essential (Chen & Liu, 2008). To achieve SCC properties, the concrete mix should contain lower volume of coarse aggregate (Shankar H. Sanni, 2007). SCC requires higher powder content, lesser quantity of coarse aggregate, high range Superplasticizer provide stability and fluidity to the concrete mixes. Substitution of 10% of cement with Quarry limestone powder (QLP) Improved the compressive strength of cement pastes (Burak Felekoglu, 2007), which can be accepted as a positive factor in utilization of QLP in self-compacting paste applications.

1.2 Problem Statement

Due to its most major ingredient, Portland cement, concrete industry has suffered from an image of being environmentally unfriendly, because it is associated with high consumption of fossil fuel energy and high emissions of CO₂ into the atmosphere (Glavind, Mathiesen, & Nielsen, 2005). In order to improve its current image, concrete production method may have to progress towards lowering cement usage by replacing with more eco-friendly and cheaper materials such as industrial and agro byproducts. One way leading to this progress is towards second, a new type of concrete that offers wide opportunities to make use of byproduct materials not only to improve its fresh state properties but also to regulate the cement content.

Nevertheless, the problem with SCC is that it is likely more expensive than traditional concrete due to its SP requirement and high paste content. Incorporating mineral additives at their optimal levels, which are between 10-20% mostly (Surabhi, Soman, & Prakash, 2009), is insufficient at any cost increase incurred. Fortunately, most mineral additives have more than one beneficial characteristic which when mixed enable them to produce shared effects that are useful to the SCC, so at the very least they could increase the levels of cement replacement considerably.

The additive of LP in this study is described to be able to decrease cost and environmental load due to cement production and to improve all engineering properties (De Weerdt, 2011). They have a preference to use materials which are easily available and have been effectively used in real structural applications, such as LP, FA and SF and where bulk supply is assured.

1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of this research are:

1. To produce SCC according to the requirement of the fresh and mechanical properties of concrete.
2. To study the effect of internal and external salts on the properties of SCC.

1.4 Research Significance

The aim in this work is to produce SCC from locally available materials, with an investigation of fresh and mechanical properties, such as compressive strength, splitting

tensile strength, modulus of elasticity and flexural strength since the production of this type of concrete is limited and need to be investigated.

In this study, the effect of internal and external salts is investigated. Ordinary Portland cement, normal coarse aggregate, fine aggregate, admixture, and fillers were tested and evaluated. The tests are conducted in order to view the differences in behavior during the fresh state as well as the hardened state of concrete.

1.5 Scope of Study

The scope of this study is to produce SCC characterized to be extremely soft and fluid concrete. This study investigates the influence of using super plasticizer and filler limestone with the concrete mixes to enhance its fresh and mechanical properties. This study investigates the effect of diverse amount of internal sulfate (CaSO_4) and external salts on the SCC hardened state properties. Tests on hardened concrete are carried out using destructive and non-destructive methods together with related physical characteristic such as elastic modulus.

1.6 Chapter Organization

The current study includes five chapters which are introduction, literature review, experimental programs, results and discussion, and conclusion and recommendations.

Chapter one contains the background study of the research theme, research problem statement, research objectives, significance, scope, and chapter organization.

Chapter two analyses minor data from former researches and practices related to the bases of this study. In this chapter, the different mineral additives effects for instance fly ash, limestone powder, metakaolin, silica fume and rice husk ash, ground granulated blast furnace slag, etc., on self-compacting concrete fresh and hardened state properties were reviewed.

Chapter three states the SCC ingredients list and define the present research approach. Self-compacting ability of the fresh SCC were tested before the definite production. Afterwards, it was casted into cubic and prismatic molds and water cured for 28, 60, 90 and 180 days. Fresh and engineering properties were achieved by using appropriate test techniques in line with BS or BS EN standards and ASTM.

Chapter four analyzes, reports, discusses and compares main information acquired from the investigational research. Fresh state properties disclose the effects of diverse mineral additives on the requirements of SCC for water, SP and workability levels they produced. Engineering properties comprise mechanical (compressive, flexural strengths, splitting tensile strength and static modulus of elasticity) and physical (ultrasonic pulse velocity).

Chapter five sums up the study results with regard to the fresh and hardened state properties list. Several recommendations made for future study themes that might improve important data for the advance of the current study.