

**THE EFFECT OF CHICKEN FEATHER FIBER
LOADING AND CHEMICAL MODIFICATION OF
RECYCLED HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE/
NATURAL RUBBER/ CHICKEN FEATHER FIBER
COMPOSITES**

MOHAMMED IZZUDEEN BIN MOHD YAZID

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

2014

© This item is protected by original copyright



**THE EFFECT OF CHICKEN FEATHER FIBER
LOADING AND CHEMICAL MODIFICATION OF
RECYCLED HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE/
NATURAL RUBBER/ CHICKEN FEATHER FIBER
COMPOSITES**

by

MOHAMMED IZZUDEEN BIN MOHD YAZID

1230410752

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science (Materials Engineering)

School of Materials

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

Year

2014

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

DECLARATION OF THESIS

Author's full name :

Date of birth :

Title :

.....

.....

Academic Session :

I hereby declare that the thesis becomes the property of Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) and to be placed at the library of UniMAP. This thesis is classified as :

CONFIDENTIAL (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)*

RESTRICTED (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)*

OPEN ACCESS I agree that my thesis is to be made immediately available as hard copy or on-line open access (full text)

I, the author, give permission to the UniMAP to reproduce this thesis in whole or in part for the purpose of research or academic exchange only (except during a period of _____ years, if so requested above).

Certified by:

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR

(NEW IC NO. / PASSPORT NO.)

NAME OF SUPERVISOR

Date : _____

Date : _____

NOTES : * If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with period and reasons for confidentiality or restriction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, thank god for His graciousness and mercifulness has gave me the strength and ability to complete this thesis successfully.

I would like to express my utmost appreciation to my respectful supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Supri A. Ghani for his never ending guidance and support throughout the course of this work. His enthusiasm inspires me throughout the research period to strive as a student, researcher and also as a human. I also would like to extend my gratitude to my co-supervisor, Dr Luqman Musa for his cared and guidance on my study.

Special thanks to Dr. Khairrel Rafezi Ahmad, Dean of School of Materials Engineering for his support in completion my research and thesis. My sincere thanks also go to all the staff, technicians and PLV of School of Materials Engineering for their generous effort and assistance in the laboratory's work. I also want to thank to all my postgraduates colleagues for helping me to get through the difficult times, and for all the emotional support they provided. My days of research and thesis writing were easier with them around.

Last but not least, I record my gratitude to my lovely family especially my parents for their supports, understanding, loveliness and providing the financial support. I am also grateful to Universiti Malaysia Perlis for giving me the opportunity to be one of their MSc program students. Thank you very much.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
THESIS DECLARATION	i
ACKKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF SYMBOLS, ABBREVIATIONS OR NOMENCLATURE	xii
ABSTRAK	xvi
ABSTRACT	xvii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	7
1.3 Objectives	8
1.4 Scope of study	9
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Thermoplastic Elastomers (TPEs)	10
2.1.1 Styrenic Block Copolymers	11
2.1.1.1 Multi-Block Copolymers	12
2.1.1.2 Hard Polymer-Elastomer Combination	13
2.1.1.3 Graft Copolymers	14
2.1.1.4 Ionomers	14

2.1.1.5	Core-Shell Morphologies	15
2.1.2	Thermoplastic Polyolefin Blends (TPOs)	16
2.1.3	Thermoplastic Vulcanizates (TPVs)	17
2.1.4	Thermoplastic Natural Rubber (TPNR)	18
2.2	Composites	20
2.2.1	Polymer Matrix Composites (PMC)	21
2.3	Recycled Plastic	22
2.3.1	Polyethylene	26
2.3.2	High Density Polyethylene (HDPE)	27
2.3.2.1	Properties of High Density Polyethylene (HDPE)	28
2.3.2.2	Application of High Density Polyethylene	29
2.4	Natural Rubber (NR)	31
2.4.1	Properties of Natural Rubber (NR)	32
2.4.2	Applications of Natural Rubber	33
2.5	Fillers	35
2.6	Natural Fibers	37
2.6.1	Natural Fiber Composites	38
2.6.2	Chicken Feather	40
2.7	Interaction between Natural Fiber and Polymer Matrix	46
2.7.1	The Interphase and Interface in Composites	47
2.7.2	Wetting, Adhesion, and Dispersion	48
2.8	Factors Influencing Natural Fibers in Polymer Composites	50
2.9	Compatibilization	51
2.10	Coupling Agent	52
2.10.1	Benzyl urea	53
2.10.2	ϵ -Caprolactam	53
2.11	Chemical Modification	56
2.11.1	Ethanol	57
2.11.2	Methyl Methacrylate	58

CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1	Materials	61
3.2	Preparation of Chicken Feather Fiber	62

3.3	Treatment of Chicken Fiber Feathers with Ethanol and Methyl Methacrylate	62
3.4	Compounding of RHDPE/NR/CFF Composites with Coupling Agent	63
3.5	Compounding of RHDPE/NR/CFF _{Eth} Composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} Composites	65
3.6	Compression Molding	65
3.7.	Testing and Characterizations	66
3.7.1	Tensile Test	66
3.7.2	Swelling Behavior	67
3.7.3	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	67
3.7.4	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	68
3.7.5	Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)	68
3.7.6	Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)	68

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Properties of HDPE/NR/CFF Composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF Composites	70
4.1.1	Tensile Properties	70
4.1.2	Swelling Behavior	73
4.1.3	Morphology Analysis	75
4.1.4	Thermal Degradation of the Composites Using TGA	77
4.2	Effect of Benzyl Urea on Properties of RHDPE/NR/CFF Composites	79
4.2.1	Tensile Properties	79
4.2.2	Swelling Behavior	82
4.2.3	Morphology Analysis	83
4.2.4	Spectroscopy Infrared Analysis	85
4.2.5	Thermal Degradation of the Composites Using TGA	88
4.2.6	Thermal Properties of DSC	90
4.3	Effect of ϵ -Caprolactam on Properties of RHDPE/NR/CFF Composites	92
4.3.1	Tensile Properties	92
4.3.2	Swelling Behavior	96
4.3.3	Morphology Analysis	97

4.3.4 Spectroscopy Infrared Spectroscopy	99
4.3.5 Thermal Degradation of the Composites Using TGA	101
4.3.6 Thermal Properties of DSC	103
4.4 Effect of Chemical Treatment on Properties of RHDPE/NR/CFE Composites	106
4.4.1 Tensile Properties	106
4.4.2 Swelling Behavior	110
4.4.3 Morphology Analysis	112
4.4.4 Thermal Degradation of the Composites Using TGA	114
4.4.5 Thermal Properties of DSC	116
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	
5.1 Conclusion	120
5.2 Suggestions	121
REFERENCES	122
APPENDICES	140

LIST OF TABLES

NO.		PAGE
2.1	Most commonly recycled polymers and their applications	23
2.2	Common thermoplastic and their applications	24
2.3	Classification of polyethylene according to ASTM D 883-00	27
2.4	Different types of fillers	37
2.5	Amino acid content in chicken feather	42
3.1	Ingredients of chicken feather fiber	62
3.2	The formulations of HDPE/NR/CFF composites, RHDPE/NR/CFF composites, RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} composites	64
3.3	The formulations of RHDPE/NR/CFF _{Eth} composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} composites	65
4.1	Weight swell percentage of HDPE/NR/CFF and RHDPE/NR/CFF composites were immersed in toluene at room temperature for 46 hours	75
4.2	Data the weight loss at 500°C (%) and residual mass (%) of HDPE/NR/CFF and RHDPE/NR/CFF composites from thermogravimetry analysis	79
4.3	Weight swell percentage of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} composites were immersed in toluene at room temperature for 46 hours	83
4.4	The weight loss at 500°C (%) and residual mass (%) of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} composites from thermogravimetry analysis	89
4.5	The thermal parameter of DSC for RHDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} composites at different fiber loading	92
4.6	Weight swell percentage of RHDPE/NR/CFF and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} composites were immersed in toluene at room temperature for 46 hours	96
4.7	The weight loss at 500°C (%) and residual mass (%) of RHDPE/NR/CFF and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} composites from thermogravimetry analysis	103

4.8	The thermal parameter of DSC for RHDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} composites at different fiber loading	105
4.9	Weight swell percentage of RHDPE/NR/CFF _{Eth} composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} composites were immersed in toluene at room temperature for 46 hours	111
4.10	The weight loss at 500°C (%) and residual mass (%) of RHDPE/NR/CFF _{Eth} composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} composites from thermogravimetry analysis	116
4.11	The thermal parameter of DSC for RHDPE/NR/CFF _{Eth} composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} composites at different fiber loading	119

© This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF FIGURES

NO.		PAGE
2.1	Morphology of multi-block polymers with crystalline hard segments	13
2.2	Polymer chain of graft copolymer	14
2.3	Chemical structures of (a) ethylene and (b) polyethylene	26
2.4	Schematic of (a) linear and (b) branched arrangement	28
2.5	Isoprene units in natural rubber	31
2.6	Common fillers particle shape	36
2.7	A contour feather	41
2.8	A schematic diagram of chicken feather	44
2.9	Chicken feather keratin (a) fiber structures and (b) surface structure	44
2.10	Contact angle for a liquid drop on a solid surface	50
2.11	The chemical structure of benzyl urea	53
2.12	The chemical structure of ϵ -Caprolactam	54
2.13	Synthesis of ϵ -Caprolactam from 1,3-butadiene	54
2.14	Chemical structure of methyl methacrylate	58
4.1	Tensile strength vs fiber loading of HDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF composites	71
4.2	Young's modulus vs fiber loading of HDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF composites.	72
4.3	Elongation at break vs fiber loading of HDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF composites.	73
4.4	SEM morphology of the tensile fracture surface of HDPE/NR/CFF and RHDPE/NR/CFF composites, (a) HDPE/NR/CFF-1, (b) HDPE/NR/CFF-5, (c) HDPE/NR/CFF-10, (d) RHDPE/NR/CFF-1, (e) RHDPE/NR/CFF-5, and (f) RHDPE/NR/CFF-10.	77
4.5	TG thermogram of HDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF composites with different fiber loading	78

4.6	Tensile strength vs fiber loading of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} composites.	80
4.7	Young's modulus vs fiber loading of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} composites	81
4.8	Elongation at break vs fiber loading of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} composites	82
4.9	SEM morphology of the tensile fracture surface of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} composites, (a) RHDPE/NR/CFF-1, (b) RHDPE/NR/CFF-5, (c) RHDPE/NR/CFF-10, (d) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} -1, (e) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} -5, and (f) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} -10.	85
4.10	Infrared spectroscopy spectra of (a) RHDPE/NR, (b) RHDPE/NR/CFF-5 composites, and (c) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} -5 composites.	86
4.11	Proposed interaction of benzyl urea with chicken feather fibers and RHDPE/NR matrix	87
4.12	TG thermogram of RHDPE/NR/CFF composite and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} composites at different fiber loading	89
4.13	DSC thermograms of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites at different fiber loading.	91
4.14	DSC thermograms of RHDPE/NR/CFF _{BU} composites at different fiber loading	91
4.15	Tensile strength vs fiber loading of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} composites	93
4.16	Young's modulus vs fiber loading of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} composites	94
4.17	Elongation at break vs fiber loading of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} composites.	95
4.18	SEM morphology of the tensile fracture surface of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} composites, (a) RHDPE/NR/CFF-1, (b) RHDPE/NR/CFF-5, (c) RHDPE/NR/CFF-10, (d) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} -1, (e) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} -5, and (f) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} -10.	98
4.19	Infrared spectroscopy spectra of (a) RHDPE/NR, (b) RHDPE/NR/CFF-5 composites, and (c) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} -5 composites.	100
4.20	Proposed interaction of ϵ -Caprolactam with chicken feather fibers and	101

	RHDPE/NR matrix	
4.21	TG thermogram of RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} composites for different fiber loading.	102
4.22	DSC thermogram of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites at different fiber loading	104
4.23	DSC thermogram of RHDPE/NR/CFF _{CL} composites at different fiber loading	105
4.24	Tensile strength vs fiber loading of RHDPE/NR/CFF _{Eth} composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} composites.	107
4.25	Young's modulus vs fiber loading of RHDPE/NR/CFF _{Eth} composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} composites.	109
4.26	Elongation at break vs fiber loading of RHDPE/NR/ CFF _{Eth} composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} composites	110
4.27	SEM morphology of the tensile fracture surface of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites, RHDPE/NR/CFF _{Eth} composites and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} composites, (a) RHDPE/NR, (b) RHDPE/NR/CFF-1, (c) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{Eth} -1, (d) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} -1, (e) RHDPE/NR/CFF-5, (f) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{Eth} -5, (g) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} -5, (h) RHDPE/NR/CFF-10, (i) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{Eth} -10, and (j) RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} -10	114
4.28	TG thermogram of RHDPE/NR/CFF, RHDPE/NR/CFF _{Eth} and RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} composites for different fiber loading	115
4.29	DSC thermogram of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites at different fiber loading	117
4.30	DSC thermogram of RHDPE/NR/CFF _{Eth} composites at different fiber loading	118
4.31	DSC thermogram of RHDPE/NR/CFF _{MMA} composites at different fiber loading	118

LIST OF SYMBOLS, ABBREVIATIONS OR NOMENCLATURE

ABS	Acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene
AE	Acoustic emission
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
AT	Attapulgate
Br	Bromine
CFF	Chicken feather fiber
CMC	Ceramic matrix composites
CNT	Carbon nanotube
COPE	Copolyester
Cu	Copper
DSC	Differential scanning calorimetry
EB	Electron-beam
EPDM	Ethylene propylene diene monomer
EPM	Ethylene propylene rubber
EVA	Ethylene vinyl acetate
Ff	Feather fiber
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

HDPE	High density polyethylene
HIPS	High-impact polystyrene
iPP	Isotactic polypropylene
Kf	Retted kenaf bast fiber
LDPE	Low density polyethylene
LLDPE	Linear low density polyethylene
LNR	Liquid natural rubber
MA-g-PP	Maleic anhydride-grafted-polypropylene
MANR	Maleic anhydride grafted natural rubber
MAPE	Maleic anhydride-grafted-polyethylene
MAPP	Maleated polypropylene
MDPE	Medium density polyethylene
MMA	Methyl methacrylate
MMA-g-LR	Methyl methacrylate-grafted latex rubber
MMC	Metal matrix composites
MNR	Maleated natural rubber
MRPRA	Malaysian Rubber Producers' Research Association
MWNT	Multiwall carbon nanotubes
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide

Nf	Recycled news pulp fiber
NR	Natural rubber
NRP	Natural rubber powder
OA	Oleic acid
PBS	Polybutylene succinate
PCBs	Printed circuit boards
Pf	Recycled kraft pulp fiber
Phr	Part per hundred resins
PLA	Poly (lactic acid)
PMC	Polymer matrix composites
PMMA	Poly (methyl methacrylate)
PP	Polypropylene
PVA	Poly (vinyl alcohol)
PVC	Poly (vinyl chloride)
RHDPE	Recycled high density polyethylene
RTR	Reclaimed tire rubber
SBC	Styrenic block copolymers
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SNR	Synthesized polystyrene-modified natural rubber

T_d	Decomposition temperature
T_g	Glass transition temperature
TGA	Thermogravimetric analysis
TiO ₂	Titanium dioxide
T_m	Melting temperature
TPE	Thermoplastic elastomer
TPNR	Thermoplastic natural rubber
TPO	Thermoplastic polyolefin elastomers
TPU	Thermoplastic polyurethane
TPV	Thermoplastic vulcanizates
UHMWPE	Ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene
VPP	Virgin isotactic polypropylene
WPC	Wood polymer composite

Kesan Muatan Serat Bulu Ayam dan Modifikasi Kimia pada Komposit Polietilena

Ketumpatan Tinggi Kitar Semula/Getah Asli/Serat Bulu Ayam

ABSTRAK

Komposit polietilena ketumpatan tinggi kitar semula/getah asli/serat bulu ayam (RHDPE/NR/CFF) telah disediakan menggunakan Brabender Plasticorder pada suhu 160°C dan kelajuan rotor 50 rpm. Kesan kandungan CFF dan ejen gandingan ke atas sifat-sifat tegangan, sifat pembengkakan, morfologi, analisis spektroskopi inframerah (FTIR), pemeteran kalori pengimbasan kebezaan (DSC) dan analisis pemeteran graviti haba (TGA) komposit RHDPE/NR/CFF telah dikaji. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa penambahan CFF telah mengurangkan kekuatan tegangan, pemanjangan pada takat putus dan darjah penghabluran, manakala modulus Young, peratus pembengkakan berat dan kestabilan terma komposit pula telah meningkat. Ejen gandingan seperti benzil urea dan ϵ -Kapolaktam telah digunakan, dimana kesan positif pada sifat-sifat tegangan dan sifat pembengkakan komposit RHDPE/NR/CFF telah dihasilkan. Kehadiran benzil urea dan ϵ -Kapolaktam telah meningkatkan kekuatan tegangan, modulus Young, dan kestabilan terma tetapi telah mengurangkan darjah penghabluran. Di samping itu, komposit RHDPE/NR/CFF juga telah dirawat menggunakan etanol dan metil metakrilat. Komposit RHDPE/NR/CFF dengan rawatan etanol mempunyai kekuatan tegangan, modulus Young, dan kestabilan terma yang lebih tinggi tetapi pemanjangan pada takat putus, peratus pembengkakan berat dan darjah penghabluran pula lebih rendah jika dibandingkan dengan komposit RHDPE/NR/CFF dengan rawatan metil metakrilat. Mikroskop penskanan elektron (SEM) permukaan patahan tegangan untuk komposit modifikasi kimia dengan benzil urea, ϵ -Kapolaktam, etanol dan metil metakrilat menunjukkan bahawa interaksi antara muka di antara CFF dengan adunan RHDPE/NR adalah lebih baik dibandingkan dengan komposit kawalan RHDPE/NR/CFF.

**The Effect of Chicken Feather Fiber Loading and Chemical Modification of
Recycled High Density Polyethylene/ Natural Rubber/ Chicken Feather Fiber
Composites**

ABSTRACT

Recycled high density polyethylene/ natural rubber/ chicken feather fiber (RHDPE/NR/CFF) composites had been prepared using Brabender Plasticorder at temperature 160°C and rotor speed of 50 rpm. The effect of CFF content and coupling agent on tensile properties, swelling behavior, morphology, spectroscopy infrared (FTIR) analysis, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites were studied. The result showed that the addition of CFF reduced the tensile strength, elongation at break and degree of crystallinity, whereas the Young's modulus, weight swell percentage, and the thermal stability of composites increased. Coupling agent such as benzyl urea and ϵ -Caprolactam were used, which resulted in positive effect on mechanical properties, and swelling behavior of RHDPE/NR/CFF composites. The presence of benzyl urea and ϵ -Caprolactam, have increased the tensile strength, Young's modulus, and thermal stability but the degree of crystallinity decreased. In addition, RHDPE/NR/CFF composites were treated using ethanol and methyl methacrylate. The ethanol treated RHDPE/NR/CFF composites have higher tensile strength, Young's modulus, and thermal stability but lower elongation at break, weight swell percentage and the degree of crystallinity compared to the methyl methacrylate treated RHDPE/NR/CFF composites. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) micrographs of tensile fracture surfaces for the composites with chemical modification of benzyl urea, ϵ -Caprolactam, ethanol and methyl methacrylate indicated that the interfacial interaction between CFF and RHDPE/NR blends were better than the control RHDPE/NR/CFF composites.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Thermoplastic elastomers (TPEs) are rubbery materials with an equivalent performance in terms of elasticity as the conventional thermoset rubbers. Due to the favorable manufacturing methods and environmental considerations, replacement of conventional vulcanized rubber by TPEs for industrial applications is growing rapidly.

The major advantage in some cases is that the middle range properties are better than those showed by either of the single materials. Moreover, some adjustments in terms of, cost, processing characteristics and durability are achievable through polymer blending. Thermoplastic elastomers (TPEs) can be fabricated from polymer blends made up of thermoplastic polymers, non-vulcanized rubber and vulcanized rubber (Nevatia et al., 2002). The resulting TPEs exhibit rubbery characteristics while retaining its thermo plasticity. The excellent properties of TPEs make them cover a wide range of applications in many industries, particularly in automotive industry such as body side moldings, interior skin and airbag covers. Other major applications are weather stripping, wire insulation, food wear and general mechanical goods such as hose and tube.

In addition, the TPEs have a lot of commercial significance, which is fabricated without having vulcanization process, while available for reprocessing work to avoid the waste (Kahar et al., 2012). Among the various types of TPEs, the blending of natural rubber (NR) and polyolefin (Polyethylene) physically had caught respectable attention because of the uncomplicated preparation and less effort to achieve the needed technical properties. Furthermore, its environmental friendly approach has gain a lot of reputation since TPEs is recyclable. It has the potential to be recycled again and again without suffering losing the substantial properties (Grigoryeva et al., 2004).

Recycled polyethylene is the largest amount plastic manufactured in the world and extensively used polyolefin. Recycled polyethylene is produced in numerous polymeric forms, varying by their linearity and molecular weight, or branches, or presence of irregularities and many more. The density of the polymer is used as the principals classification features of polyethylene (Klyosov, 2007). Polyethylene exhibits a range of tensile strength and flexibilities, is generally tough, can be readily extruded or molded, and is relatively inexpensive. These characteristics guarantee that the various families of PE find major use as a commodity polymer.

© The post-consumer plastics recycling stays one of the desired recycling options for ecological and energy reasons, as long as it stays economically profitable. The recycled post-consumer plastics are low in cost, due to the rising number of plastic waste produced daily in large cities around the world. Furthermore, the post-consumer plastics recycling offer the solution for landfill problem. The municipalities are becoming more concerned about the increasing of plastic waste generation every year (increasing at 25% per year) when the landfill area only capable to increase at 7.5% per year. It is estimated that by 2015, there will be out of disposal options for plastic waste.

High density polyethylene (HDPE) is a famous plastic type due to its versatility, having a wide range of applications such as consumer goods, containers and furniture. Post-consumer HDPE from bottles is a fascinating source of recycled material because, on one hand, it cannot be used again in alimentary applications and, on the other hand, its high melting viscosity makes direct transformation via injection moulding very difficult. Recycled HDPE can be used in an increasing amount of potential applications, as long as the mechanical, impact, and thermal properties of the material (recycled-virgin) is not far from each other (Maspoch et al., 2005).

Natural rubber (NR) is an elastomer acquired from rubber tree latex that consist of 93–95% cis-1, 4-polyisoprene. NR has various outstanding properties, such as high strength, superior resilience, and good processability. The good properties, especially resilience, play an important role among other elastomers (Harper, 2000). The applications of NR include automotive tire, tire tread, gloves, and mechanical goods.

Polymer composites are the combinations of materials consisting reinforcing phase (fibers, particles or sheets) and the matrix phase (polymer, ceramic or metal). Leading elements in a fiber-reinforced composite material are the matrix and reinforcing fibers. The main idea of filler into the composites is to improve certain properties and lower the cost of the composites (Manchado & Arroyo, 2002).

Proper decision of the fiber length, fiber type, fiber orientation and fiber volume fraction is very crucial, since it influences the density, cost, and the composite properties such as tensile properties (Cao et al., 2012), thermal properties (Singha & Thakur, 2009), mass swell resistance (Abu Bakar et al., 2010). The strength and the stiffness of the composites are influenced by disorientation of fibers, fibers of nonuniform strength, discontinuous fibers, Interfacial conditions and the residual

stresses. For example, composites strength and stiffness will be reduced when the fibers are not parallel to the loading direction.

The application of natural fibers for the composites reinforcement has caught growing attention. It holds many significant rewards over synthetic fibers (Begum & Islam, 2013). At this moment, numerous types of natural fibers have been studied for use in composites such as jute straw (Liu & Dai, 2007), hemp (Placet et al., 2012), flax (Bourmaud et al., 2013), rice husk (Ndazi et al., 2007), wood (Coutinho & Costa, 1999), wheat (Panthapulakkal et al., 2006), sugarcane (Lu et al., 2006), grass (De et al., 2004), kenaf (Feng et al., 2001), ramie (Goda et al., 2003), reeds (Han et al., 2001), sisal (Nair et al., 2000), coir (Rout et al., 2001), water hyacinth (A. G. Supri & Lim, 2009), kapok (G. V. Reddy et al., 2008), banana fiber (Pothan et al., 2003), pineapple leaf fiber (J. George et al., 1997) and papyrus (Nishino et al., 2007).

Natural fibers are extensively separated into three classes depending on their source: animal based, plant based, and mineral based. Plant-based fibers are ligno-cellulosic in nature consisted of lignin, hemicellulose and cellulose. On the other hand, animal based fibers are of proteins such as wool and silk. Generally, a mineral based composite is asbestos fiber and is only a naturally occurring mineral fiber (silicate based mineral). Natural fiber-reinforced polymer composites have captivated many research pursuits due to their possibility to replace synthetic fiber composites such as carbon or glass fiber composites (Bledzki & Gassan, 1999). This happens because of natural fibers exceed synthetic fibers in term of less damage to processing equipment, lower weight, lower cost, good relative mechanical properties, better surface finish of moulded parts composite and renewable resources (Corbiere-Nicollier et al., 2001; Joshi et al., 2004). However, despite the potential of replacing the synthetic fibers, natural fibers do have

some problems at high fiber content due to the fiber-fiber interaction and fiber dispersion problems.

Many researchers reported that the mechanical properties for polymer composites reinforced with natural fiber are largely dependent on the matrix-fiber interface adhesion (Chin & Yousif, 2009; Rosa et al., 2009). This is primarily because natural fibers are abundant in hemicellulose, celluloses, lignin and pectin, which are hydroxyl groups. Natural fibers have the tendency to be hydrophilic and strong polar materials while polymers are hydrophobic. As a result, there are obvious problems of the matrix-fiber incompatibility, which weakens natural fiber-matrix interface area. However, many researchers suggested that chemical treatments namely alkali, acetylation, and bleaching treatment may enhance the interfacial adhesion between the matrix and the fiber (Alawar et al., 2009; Cantero et al., 2003; Haque et al., 2009; Hepworth et al., 2000; Saha et al., 2010). These chemical treatments clean the fibers surface from impurities thus increases the fiber surface roughness and interrupting the moisture absorption process by withdrawing the OH groups in fiber (Shalwan & Yousif, 2013).

ϵ -Caprolactam ($C_6H_{11}NO$, CPL) is used as a coupling agent in this research. It is a popular industrial organic chemical material that is used widely in the manufacture of polyamide engineering plastics and fiber. The final product properties depend on the purity of ϵ -Caprolactam. Water is widely occurring impurity in ϵ -Caprolactam, and it is usually eliminated by distillation. ϵ -Caprolactam is heat-sensitive substance, thus, the triple-effect evaporation process is widely used in the manufacture of high-quality caprolactam (Lin et al., 2012). Recently, Zhu et al. (2010) and Li et al. (2010) synthesized crosslinked PVA and other polymer membranes for the PV separation of CPL-water solution. The researchers reported that the decreasing crystallinity of the membrane's active layer will increased the flux.