

**DEPLOYMENT OF WIRELESS SENSOR
NETWORK (WSN) IN AGRICULTURAL
ENVIRONMENT IN NORTHERN MALAYSIA**

AZIZI BIN HARUN

© This item is protected by original copyright

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

2014



**DEPLOYMENT OF WIRELESS SENSOR
NETWORK (WSN) IN AGRICULTURAL
ENVIRONMENT IN NORTHERN MALAYSIA**

by

**AZIZI BIN HARUN
(1040610509)**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Mechatronic Engineering)

**School of Mechatronic Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2014

© This item is protected by original copyright

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful. I am grateful to Him for providing the strength and means to complete this thesis. Praise be to Him Most High.

I would like to thank my main supervisor, Professor Dr. Ali Yeon Bin Md. Shakaff for his guidance and support. His endless encouragement and advice was imperative in helping me to acquire research experiences.

Special thanks to my co-supervisor, Dr. David L. Ndzi for his guidance, support, advice and his facilitation which helped me acquire the specific technical acumen in the related field. Also thanks to my other co-supervisor Professor Dr. Abdul Hamid Bin Adom for support and advice throughout the study.

Many thanks go to my colleagues and co-authors of some of my publications and all team members at Centre of Excellent for Advanced Sensor Technology (CEASTech) with who experiments were designed, data were collected and also for moral support rendered.

I would like to thank Associate Professor Dr. Mahmad Noor Bin Jaafar and his team at Institute of Agrotechnology for providing facilities and samples for studies in their precision farms and greenhouses.

Many thanks and gratitude goes to Ministry of Higher Education MoHE, and Malaysian government for financially sponsoring the study through MyBrain15 Program.

I would also like to thank my soul mate Surayya Hanim Binti Ahmad Pakri and all my children for love and care during all those years. Their loves and support have been very critical at some of the turning points in the works towards completing this thesis.

This is also a chance for me to express my gratitude to my beloved parents Harun bin Husin and Rohmah Binti Bakar and my parent-in-laws Ahmad Pakri Bin Awang and Hatiah Binti Musa for their support and understanding throughout my prolonged studies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
THESIS DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
ABSTRAK	xix
ABSTRACT	xix
CHAPTER 1 BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Research Objectives	2
1.4 Methodology	4
1.5 Benefits and Contributions of This Thesis	7
1.6 Layout of Thesis	8
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORIES	
2.1 Introduction	10
2.1.1 WSN Application in Agriculture	11
2.1.2 WSN Systems and Technologies	12
2.1.3 Challenges in WSN Applications in Agriculture	13
2.1.3.1 Coverage and Connectivity	14

2.1.3.1.1	Area/Point Coverage	15
2.1.3.1.2	Path Coverage	16
2.1.3.1.3	Factors Affecting Network	16
2.1.3.1.4	Conectivity Recovery Technique	17
2.1.3.2	Energy Consumption	19
2.1.4	Channel Models and Modeling	20
2.1.5	Radio Energy Modeling	28
2.2	Electromagnetic Wave Propagation	31
2.3	Wireless Communication	36
2.3.1	Narrowband	36
2.3.2	Wideband	37
2.3.3	Signal Propagation Mechanism	37
2.3.3.1	Reflection	38
2.3.3.2	Reflection from Dielectrics	39
2.3.3.3	Reflection from Perfect Conductor	40
2.3.3.4	Diffraction	41
2.3.4.5	Scattering	42
2.3.4	Small Scale Multipath Propagation	44
2.3.4.1	Factors Influencing Small Scale Fading	45
2.4	Mesh Network Types and Characteristics	46
2.4.1	Infrastructure/backbone WMN	46
2.4.2	WMN Client	48
2.4.3	Hybrid WMN	48
2.4.4	WMN Characteristics	49

CHAPTER 3 WSN ENERGY CONSUMPTION ANALYSIS

3.1	Introduction	51
3.2	Experiment to Study the Rate of Battery Energy Depletion	52
	3.2.2 Experimental Setup	52
3.3	Measurement Results	54
	3.3.1 Power Consumption Profile for Iris and Microchip	54
3.4	Discussions and Implications	57

CHAPTER 4 EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENTS OF PROPAGATION PATH

LOSS IN DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTS

4.1	Experimental Systems	59
4.2	Types of Environment	60
	4.2.1 Mixed Crop	60
	4.2.1.1 Open Grass Covered Field	63
	4.2.1.2 Open Ground with Soil and Gravel Covering	64
	4.2.1.3 Plastic Covered Bed with Young Seedling	64
	4.2.1.4 Corn Field	65
	4.2.1.5 Cashew Plants	67
	4.2.1.6 Misai Kucing Herb	68
	4.2.1.7 Guava Trees	68
	4.2.2 Greenhouses	69
	4.2.2.1 Mango Greenhouse Measurement	69
	4.2.2.2 Grape Greenhouse Measurement	70
	4.2.2.3 Mango Orchard Measurement	72

4.2.3	Aquaculture Spatial and Temporal Measurement	74
4.2.4	Mono Crop Plantation	75
4.2.4.1	Rubber Plantation Measurement	76
4.2.4.2	Palm Oil Plantation Measurement	77
CHAPTER 5 ANALYSIS AND MODELING DISCUSSIONS		
5.1	Mixed Crop Precision Farm	79
5.1.1	Open Grass Covered Field	79
5.1.2	Plastic Covered Bed with Young Seedling	81
5.1.3	Corn field	82
5.1.4	Cashew Nut Plants (Shrubs)	83
5.1.5	Signal Propagation Modeling	84
5.2	Greenhouses	87
5.2.1	Mango Greenhouse	87
5.2.1.1	Antenna Height Comparison	87
5.2.1.2	Measurement in Between Trees	89
5.2.1.3	Comparison between Greenhouse and Orchard	91
5.2.1.4	Signal Propagation Modeling	93
5.2.2	Grape Greenhouse	101
5.2.2.1	Antenna Height Effect	101
5.2.2.2	Signal Propagation Modeling	103
5.3	Aquaculture Environment	107
5.3.1	Measurement Results and Analysis	107
5.3.2	Modeling and Discussion	115
5.4	Mono Crop – Rubber Plantation	117

5.4.1	Frequency Variation Effect	117
5.4.2	Antenna Height Effect	122
5.4.3	Signal Propagation Modeling	124
5.5	Mono Crop – Palm Oil Plantation	132
5.5.1	Frequency Variation Effect	132
5.5.2	Antenna Height Effect	134
5.5.3	Signal Propagation Modeling	135
5.6	Summary	139
5.6.1	Mixed Crop Findings	139
5.6.2	Greenhouses Findings	140
5.6.3	Aquaculture Environment Findings	141
5.6.4	Mono Crop Findings	142
CHAPTER 6 WSN NETWORK MODELING AND PLANNING		
6.1	Network Coverage and Sensor Communication	144
6.1.1	Factors Affecting Coverage Performance	145
6.2	Network Connectivity	145
6.3	Network Energy Consumption Modeling and Planning	145
6.3.1	Modeling Assumptions	146
6.3.2	Energy Consumption and Network Coverage	147
6.3.3	Further Discussion	155
6.4	Summary	155
CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS		
7.1	Conclusions	157
7.2	Future Works	160

REFERENCES **161**

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS **169**

© This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF TABLES

NO		PAGE
1.1	Some of the popular available RF chipset in the market	5
2.1	Power consumption values for Atmel at86rf230 radio transceiver during transmission	30
2.2	Material parameters at various frequencies	40
4.1	Summary of system and equipment used for research in various agricultural environments	61
4.2	Rubber tree diameters (in cm) under study	77
4.3	Palm oil tree circumferences (in m) under study	78
5.1	Summary of all RMSE for all calculated surfaces and vegetations	86
5.2	Average model parameter values estimates from fitting generic models to data with some established models for comparison and average fitted RMS errors from frequency 1 GHz to 4.2 GHz and those frequencies close to ISM	100
5.3	Boundary distance for first Fresnel zone for multiple frequencies and antenna heights	101
5.4	Average RMSE for frequencies from 1 GHz to 4.2 GHz and average RMSE for ism bands and frequencies close to the band	106
5.5	Correlation coefficient between measured parameter values	115
5.6	Average model parameter values estimates from fitting vegetation attenuation models to measured data and average fitted RMSE	128
5.7	Fresnel zone clearances for various antenna heights in rubber plantations	129
5.8	RMSE of fitting of models to measured data to assess possible effect of ground and canopy reflections	130
5.9	Average model parameter values estimates from fitting generic models to data with some established models for comparison and average fitted RMSE from frequency 1 GHz to 4.2 GHz and those frequencies close to ISM	139

© This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF FIGURES

NO		PAGE
1.1	Thesis methodology summary	7
2.1	Ray tracing geometry of the direct – 1, Ground reflected – 2, Tree-canopy reflected wave – 3	22
2.2	Small scale and large scale fading example	38
2.3	Geometry for calculating the reflection coefficient between two dielectrics; (a) when E-field is in the plane of incidence and (b) when E-field normal to plane of incidence	40
2.4	Wireless mesh network infrastructure/backbone type	47
2.5	Wireless Mesh Network Client Type	48
2.6	Wireless Mesh Network Hybrid Type	49
3.1	(a) IRIS, (b) EKO and (c) Microchip WSN nodes employed in the experiment	54
3.2	Experiment setup to measure power consumption in WSN node	54
3.3	Power consumption of IRIS node for 3s and 5s transmission interval for a fixed range	54
3.4	Power consumption of Microchip node for 1s, 3s and 5s transmission interval for a fixed range	55
4.1	RF equipment setup used to conduct measurement	60
4.2	Open field for preliminary testing	64
4.3	Open ground with soil and gravel covering under study	64
4.4	Plastic covering for young seedling under study	65
4.5	Corn field under study	66
4.6	Graphical description of measurement methodology in Corn field	66
4.7	Cashew row under study	67

4.8	Illustration on the measurement done in cashew field	67
4.9	Misai kucing herb matured and ready to be harvested	68
4.10	Guava trees under study	69
4.11	Graphical description of measurement methodology for Guava trees	69
4.12	Large greenhouse full of high value mangoes under study	70
4.13	Graphical description of measurement methodology in mango greenhouse	70
4.14	Greenhouses used for grapes cultivation	71
4.15	Measurement being made in grape greenhouse	71
4.16	Graphical representation of measurement for Tx at 1.2 m	72
4.17	Graphical representation of measurement for Tx at 1.5 m	72
4.18	Mango orchard under study	73
4.19	Orchard mango under study with Tx and Rx in position	73
4.20	Aquaculture measurement environment	74
4.21	Graphical illustration of signal variations with meteorological conditions measurement	75
4.22	Rubber plantation under study (a) and palm plantation (b)	76
4.23	Measurement methodology for rubber plantation	76
4.24	Measurement methodology for palm oil plantation	78
5.1	Signal variation with distance for open grass field	80
5.2	Signal variation for plastic covered bed with young seedling	81
5.3	Signal variation for corn field	82
5.4	Signal variation for Cashew plants	84
5.5	Signal attenuation through corn plants at 1 m antenna fit with multiple models	85

5.6	Grass surface effect on signal attenuation at 15cm antenna fit with multiple models	85
5.7	Signal strength variation for mango in greenhouse	88
5.8	Signal variation for 1.2 m and 1.75 m receiver antenna heights in mango greenhouse	89
5.9	Signal variation for 1.2 m and 1.75 m antenna height for frequency range (a) 1.0 GHz to 1.1 GHz and (b) 2.4 GHz to 2.5 GHz	91
5.10	Signal variation for 1.2 m and 1.65 m antenna height for frequency range from (a)1.0 GHz to 1.1 GHz and (b)2.4 to 2.5 GHz	93
5.11	Data for mango at 1.2 m and 1.75m antenna heights for frequency at 1 GHz	94
5.12	Vegetation attenuation for frequency 2.4GHz and 2.5GHz in mango greenhouse	95
5.13	Vegetation attenuation for frequency (a) 1 GHz, (b) 2.4 GHz and (c) 2.5 GHz in mango greenhouse between two rows of mango trees	96
5.14	Vegetation attenuation for frequency 1 GHz for (a) 1.2 m, (b) 1.75 m in mango greenhouse comparison along and middle	97
5.15	Vegetation attenuation for frequency 2.4 GHz for (a) 1.2 m , (b) 1.75 m in mango greenhouse comparison along and middle	97
5.16	Vegetation attenuation for frequency 2.5 GHz for (a) 1.2 m , (b) 1.75 m in mango greenhouse comparison along and middle	98
5.17	Signal attenuation comparison between greenhouse mango and orchard for (a) 1 GHz, (b) 2.4 GHz and (c) 2.5 GHz	99
5.18	Signal variations for Tx antenna at 1.2 m for frequency (a) 1.0 GHz and 1.1 GHz (b) 2.4 GHz and 2.5 GHz	102
5.19	Grape greenhouse comparison between multiple receiver antenna heights at 1.2 m transmitter	104
5.20	Grape greenhouse comparison between multiple receiver antenna heights at 1.5 m transmitter	104
5.21	Grape greenhouse comparison between multiple receiver antenna heights at comparison	105

5.22	Grape greenhouse comparison between multiple receiver antenna heights comparison	106
5.23	Comparison of signal power variation with distance over two ponds and along vegetations on the side of the ponds	108
5.24	Variation of atmospheric conditions with time at 15 cm and 5 m antenna heights (a) temperature, (b) humidity, (c) pressure and (d) computed refractivity (N), over 24 hours periods	110
5.25	Variation of received signal power at 69 m antenna separation at 15 cm and 5 m antenna heights measurements (a) Day 1, (b) Day 2	111
5.26	Anomaly: (a) Refractivity, (b) Signal levels and, (c) Cumulative distribution of received signal power, at 15 cm and 5 m antenna heights during anomalous conditions	113
5.27	Measured signal level and fitted 2-ray model	116
5.28	Multi-ray modeling of direct, reflected and tree-scattered components with path length	117
5.29	Signal variation for antenna at 2.3 m (trunk level) for indicated frequency range	118
5.30	Signal variation for antenna at 3.7 m (branch level) for indicated frequency range	119
5.31	Signal variation for antenna at 2.0 m (trunk level) for indicated frequency range (second measurement)	120
5.32	Signal variation for antenna at 3.7 m (branch level) for indicated frequency range (second measurement)	120
5.33	Signal variation for antenna at 5.5 m (canopy level) for indicated frequency range (second measurement)	121
5.34	Signal variation for multiple antenna height for indicated frequency range	122
5.35	Signal variation for multiple antenna height for indicated frequency range	123
5.36	Signal variation for multiple antenna height for 900MHz frequency (second measurement)	124
5.37	Signal variation for multiple antenna height for indicated 2.4 GHz	124

	frequency (second measurement)	
5.38	Signal variation for multiple antenna height for indicated frequency range in rubber 4.5 m gap	125
5.39	Signal variation for multiple antenna height for indicated frequency range in rubber 6.5 m gap	126
5.40	Example of rubber data fitted with various models	131
5.41	Signal variation for multiple frequency at trunk level (2 m)	132
5.42	Signal variation for multiple frequency at branch level (4.5 m)	133
5.43	Signal variation for multiple frequency in between tree lines (2.0 m antenna height)	134
5.44	Signal variation for multiple antenna heights for 900 MHz frequency range along palm oil plantation	135
5.45	Signal variation for multiple antenna heights for 2.4 GHz frequency range along palm oil plantation	135
5.46	Signal variation for multiple antenna heights in palm oil plantation with best-fit models at 800 MHz	136
5.47	Signal variation for multiple antenna heights in palm oil plantation with best-fit models at 900 MHz	136
5.48	Signal variation for multiple antenna heights in palm oil plantation with best-fit models at 2.4 GHz	137
5.49	Signal variation for multiple antenna heights in palm oil plantation with best-fit models at 2.5 GHz	138
6.1	14-acre farmland illustration showing types of crop plots	148
6.2	Network coverage using measured propagation model showing unconnected nodes in each crop plots	149
6.3	Node deployment based on measured propagation model for minimum node usage from coverage area perspective	151
6.4	Node deployment based on measured propagation model for minimum node usage from connectivity perspective	152
6.5	Node deployment based on measured propagation model for increased	153

power by +3 dBm

- 6.6 Node deployment based on measured propagation model for decreased power by 3 dBm 154

© This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

WSN	Wireless Sensor Network
RF	Radio Frequency
FLASH	Fast Low-Latency Access with Seamless Handoff
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineering
WLAN	Wireless Local Area Network
WPAN	Wireless Personal Area Network
Kbps	Kilo Bit Per Second
CCP	Coverage Configuration Protocol
ASCENT	Adaptive Self-Configuring Sensor Networks Topologies
OGDC	Optimal Geographical Density Control
KCCS	K-neighbors Constrained Coverage Strategy
RIS	Random Independent Scheduling
LEACH	Low Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy
PILOT	Pre-defined, Intelligent, Lightweight Topology management
QoS	Quality of Service
FSL	Free Space Loss
KHz	Kilohertz
MHz	Megahertz
GHz	Gigahertz
MED	Modified Exponential Decay
MA	Maximum Attenuation
NZG	Non-Zero Gradient
ITU-R	International Telecommunications Union – Radio communications
RET	Radiative Energy Transfer
Tx	Transmit
Rx	Receive
T	Temperature
P	Pressure
H	Relative Humidity

RSSI	Received Signal Strength Indicator
dB	Decibel
dBi	Decibel with reference to isotropic antenna
dBm	Decibel with reference to 1 mW
LOS	Line of sight
NLOS	Non line of sight
UNIMAP	Universiti Malaysia Perlis
ISM	Industrial, Scientific and Medical
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
WMN	Wireless Mesh Network
MAC	Medium Access Control

© This item is protected by original copyright

Perlaksanaan Rangkaian Sensor Tanpa Wayar (WSN) di Persekitaran Pertanian di Utara Malaysia

ABSTRAK

Kemunculan rangkaian sensor tanpa wayar (WSN) telah sebahagian besarnya didorong oleh kemajuan pengecilan peranti elektronik dan peningkatan dalam pembuatan berkapasiti tinggi telah menjadi faktor utama untuk menyokong dari sudut ekonomi. Krisis makanan baru-baru ini berlaku di beberapa tempat di dunia yang mencetuskan kesedaran tentang keselamatan makanan dan kapasiti pengeluaran makanan. Untuk pengeluaran makanan secara moden berjaya, pemahaman yang mendalam dan pengetahuan perubahan temporal dan spatial tanaman adalah sangat kritikal. Oleh itu, penggunaan sensor dan rangkaian tanpa wayar dalam perancangan dan pelaksanaan yang betul untuk menyokong pertanian adalah kunci untuk mewujudkan liputan tanpa wayar yang optimum di ladang. Tesis ini telah ditulis berdasarkan objektif-objektif berikut; menilai penggunaan tenaga dalam nod WSN sebagai fungsi jarak penghantaran data dan penghantaran tetapan paras kuasa; mengkonfigurasi sistem untuk jarak pendek hingga pertengahan julat bagi pengukuran pautan untuk kajian dalam persekitaran pertanian. Tesis juga menilai path loss model yang sedia ada, mengenal pasti dan membangunkan model baharu untuk sistem WSN dalam persekitaran pertanian. Di samping itu, tesis juga mereka bentuk dan model bagi liputan kawasan penempatan WSN dalam persekitaran pertanian. Bagi memenuhi objektif, kajian dalam pelbagai jenis persekitaran pertanian yang meliputi penilaian di ladang campuran tanaman, kolam akuakultur, rumah hijau dan perladangan tanaman tunggal dijalankan. Path loss model telah dinilai dan hasilnya digunakan dalam simulasi WSN. Pada masa yang sama, penilaian tenaga WSN nod telah dijalankan dan hasilnya digunakan dalam simulasi WSN. Hasil pengukuran yang diperolehi daripada kajian menunjukkan bahawa model Log distance adalah yang terbaik dan model patut untuk ukuran, dalam lading tanaman campuran, manakala model 2-ray adalah mencukupi untuk menggambarkan persekitaran akuakultur. Perubahan isyarat dalam akuakultur dipengaruhi oleh perubahan suhu, kelembapan dan indeks biasan. Kajian di rumah hijau Mangga menunjukkan bahawa turun naik isyarat yang berbeza dengan kepadatan tumbuh-tumbuhan dan Non Zero Gradient model boleh menggambarkan penyebaran isyarat global manakala Modified Exponential Decay adalah lebih sesuai untuk antenna tahap rendah. Model Non Zero Gradient parameter tertentu boleh digunakan untuk menggambarkan jenis anggur rumah hijau. Bagi lading satu tanaman, model Non Zero Gradient sesuai untuk menggambarkan isyarat bagi ISM (Industri, Sainifik and Medical) jalur frekuensi manakala Modified Exponential Decay lebih sesuai untuk julat frekuensi di antara 800 MHz dan 4.2 GHz dalam perladangan getah. Model Modified Exponential Decay adalah lebih baik untuk menggambarkan perambatan di peringkat cabang manakala Non Zero Gradient diperingkat kanopi. Bagi ladang kelapa sawit, Modified Exponential Decay adalah yang lebih baik menggambarkan perambatan isyarat di kawasan batang, manakala Maximum Attenuation di peringkat cabang. Satu simulasi model penempatan yang telah dilakukan pada akhir tesis menggambarkan liputan berpotensi berdasarkan penggunaan kuasa dalam pelbagai tingkah laku isyarat dalam perladangan tanaman campuran.

Deployment of Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) in Agricultural Environment in Northern Malaysia

ABSTRACT

The advent of Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) has been fuelled mainly by the advancement in miniaturization of electronic devices and the rise of high volume manufacturing that has been the key supporting factor for the advancement economically. Recent food crises happening over various parts of the world triggered the consciousness over food security and food production capability. For the modern food production to be successful, a thorough understanding and awareness of temporal and spatial crops behaviour is super critical. Thus the use of sensor and wireless sensor networks and proper deployment planning to support modern precision farming is the key to optimum coverage establishment in the farmland. This thesis was written based on the following objectives; assessment energy consumption in WSN nodes as a function of data transmission interval and transmission power level setting; configure a system for short to mid-range link measurement for the study in agricultural environment. The thesis also evaluates existing signal path loss models, identifies or develops new path loss models for WSN system in agricultural environment. Additionally, the thesis also design and model a wide area WSN in agricultural environment. To meet the objectives, propagation path loss measurements were conducted in multiple types of agricultural environments which cover assessment in mixed crop plantation, aquaculture ponds, green houses and mono crop plantations. Path loss models were evaluated and or developed and results were used in WSN simulation. Concurrently, WSN nodes energy consumption assessment was carried out and results used in the WSN simulation. Output from these study and measurements are energy consumption assessment in WSN nodes, path loss models and results from WSN simulation in agricultural environment. Measurement results acquired from the studies show that Log-distance model is the best fit model for measurement in mixed crop plantation while 2-ray model is sufficient to describe the propagation in aquaculture environment. Signal variation in aquaculture is influenced by changes in temperature, humidity and thus refractive index of the medium. Studies in mango greenhouse shows that signal fluctuation varies with vegetation density and Non Zero Gradient model can describe the overall signal propagation while Modified Exponential Decay is more appropriate for lower antenna height. Non Zero Gradient model with specific parameters can be used to describe overhead trellis type grape in greenhouse. For mono-crop plantation, Non Zero Gradient is suitable to describe ISM (Industrial, Scientific and Medical) band frequencies while Modified Exponential Decay is more suitable for frequency 800 MHz to 4.2 GHz in rubber plantation. Modified Exponential Decay is best describe the propagation at branch level while Non Zero Gradient at canopy level. For palm plantation, Modified Exponential Decay best describe signal propagation at trunk while Maximum Attenuation is at canopy level. A deployment model simulation was done at the end of the thesis illustrating the potential coverage based on power consumption in various signal behavior in mixed crop plantation.

CHAPTER 1

BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION

This chapter provides a background of the issues in the planning of wireless sensor network (WSN) coverage in agricultural environment.

1.1 Introduction

In most agricultural societies, agricultural practices is mainly subsistence farming. The increase in many countries has resulted in a steep decline in the number of people in agriculture. Thus there is extensive reliance on commercial farming activities. This means that food production is now done on industrial scale by fewer people and food is exported to many markets. This also means that a poor yield in one country will certainly have impact on distant cultures. As in recent example, the increase in food price in 2008 was due to a low food production in Russia which led to export ban of grain by Russian government (Cha & Zacharia, 2010). This affected a number of countries relying on Russian wheat. Apart from this, climate change is resulting in an increase in unpredictable weather which will have an impact on food production.

It is now widely believed that the application of technology is the only way to mitigate the impact of climate change and also to increase efficiency in food production. One of such technologies is the application of sensors which can be used not only to monitor crop growing condition but also to reduce the cost of food production by efficiently managing the input, e.g. fertilizer and water.

1.2 Problem Statement

The application of technology in agriculture is widely referred to as precision agriculture which is a general term that is used to describe any farming technology that is tailored to reduce cost and optimize yield. In some areas, precision agriculture is undertaken through the application of manual techniques, e.g. manual soil sampling and application of input such as fertilizer and water. This practice however, is labour intensive and prone to human error. Because of the diversity and the harshness of agriculture environments, any deployment of technology has to be carefully planned. An obvious solution is to deploy systems that do not communicate via wired connections hence this makes wireless systems prime candidate for application in agriculture. However, the diversity of the type of crops that grow in agricultural environments poses a challenge to the planning and deployment of wireless system; hence detail knowledge of agricultural environment is necessary for the planning and deployment of any wireless system.

One of the important problems normally found in deployment planning is node battery energy consumption behaviour to support variety of applications. Furthermore, the propagation characteristics of wireless signal in agricultural environment are of critical factor to consider as well. Another problem associated with deployment planning is network creation and maintenance to meet connectivity and quality of service.

1.3 Research Objectives

The main objective of this research is to conduct wireless signal propagation study in selected agricultural environments and utilizes the analysis to propose WSN