

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to thank to Allah SWT for his blessings and help. He gave me guidance, strength and knowledge to complete this thesis. Also to my supervisor, Pn Roshazita Che Amat for her advices, motivations, supports, and guide and came up with helpful suggestions to complete this research also for all the guidance, suggestions and motivations throughout the year. Without helping from her, I surely came into deep problem in completing this report.

I would also like to express my gratitude to the School of Environmental Engineering, University Malaysia Perlis for providing all the materials and apparatus during laboratory works to fulfil my project.

I am also appreciating the cooperation of Mr Mokhzani Khair Ishak and Mr. Syed Ahmad Rizman, as lab assistant of School of Environmental Engineering. Thanks for your kindness and helpfulness during all the laboratory works and testing of samples for my project.

Never forget, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my friends especially Syed Syazwan Syed Baba Ahmad, Mohd Fauzi Zakaria, Ahmat Mat Top, Nademy and Mustaffa Zainal. Thank you so much for helping me during my laboratory work and also share some valuable knowledge and advice.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my family for support construction suggestion and also criticism during my project. Thank you very much to all of you and may God bless you all.

## **APPROVAL AND DECLARATION SHEET**

This project report titled “Comparison of Natural Coarse Aggregate and Recycled Coarse Aggregate in Concrete” was prepared and submitted by Khairul Muzzamil Bin Azmi (Matrix Number: 101201975) and has been found satisfactory in terms of scope, quality and presentation as partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Bachelor of Engineering ( Building Engineering ) in Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP).

**Checked and Approved by**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**(PUAN ROSHAZITA CHE AMAT)**  
**Project Supervisor**

**School of Environmental Engineering**  
**Universiti Malaysia Perlis**

**JUNE 2013**

# **PERBANDINGAN ANTARA AGREGAT KASAR SEMULA JADI DENGAN AGREGAT KASAR KITAR SEMULA DI DALAM KONKRIT**

## **ABSTRAK**

Sebagai sebuah negara membangun, pembinaan adalah salah satu pendapatan utama pengeluar di Malaysia. Sejumlah besar sisa perobohan dihasilkan daripada aktiviti-aktiviti ini. Di Malaysia, sisa pembinaan telah menyebabkan kesan yang besar ke atas alam sekitar. Sisa ini perobohan adalah pelupusan haram atau dilupuskan di tapak pelupusan. Kajian ini untuk mengenal pasti dan berbanding sifat-sifat agregat kasar asli (NCA) dan agregat kasar kitar semula (RCA). Tiga campuran konkrit dengan sasaran kekuatan mampatan kiub yang terdiri pada 20 MPa menggunakan agregat dikitar semula (RA) dan satu bercampur untuk agregat semulajadi (NA). Untuk kajian ini, peratusan RCA dalam campuran konkrit adalah 50%, 70% dan 100%. Untuk mendapatkan perbezaan daripada kedua-dua agregat, analisis seperti analisis saiz zarah, kebolehkerjaan, penyerapan air dan kekuatan mampatan yang disediakan. Daripada keputusan menunjukkan bahawa campuran konkrit NA mempunyai kekuatan lebih daripada RA, penyerapan air yang lebih tinggi daripada RA, NA kebolehkerjaan yang lebih tinggi daripada RA dan akhir sekali penyerapan air RA tinggi daripada NA. Untuk kesimpulan dalam kajian ini berdasarkan keputusan daripada analisis, peratusan RA yang sesuai untuk digunakan dalam campuran konkrit adalah 70% dan memenuhi standard dalam konkrit.

## ABSTRACT

As a developing country, construction is the one of main incomes producing in Malaysia. Huge amount of demolition waste were produced from these activities. In Malaysia, the construction waste has cause a significant impact on the environmental and also increasing trend. These demolition wastes are disposal illegally or disposed at landfill. This research to identify and compared the properties of natural coarse aggregate (NCA) and recycled coarse aggregate (RCA). Three mixes of concrete with target compressive cube strength ranging at 20 MPa were cast using recycled aggregate (RA) and one mixed for natural aggregate (NA). For this study, the percentage of RCA in concrete mix is 50%, 70% and 100%. To get the different of both aggregates, analysis such as particle size analysis, slump test, water absorption and compressive strength are provided. From the results shown that concrete mix NA have more strength than RA, water absorption of RA higher than NA, workability of NA higher than RA and lastly water absorption of RA higher than NA. For conclusion in this research based on the results from analysis, the percentages of RA suitable to use in concrete mix are 70% and fulfil the standard in concrete.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>	i
<b>APPROVAL AND DECLARATION SHEET</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iv
<b>TABBLE OF CONTENTS</b>	v
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF EQUATIONS</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	x
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Research Objectives	4
1.4 Scope of Study	5
1.5 Benefits of Study	6

## **CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Recycled Coarse Aggregate	9
2.3	Natural Coarse Aggregate	10
2.4	Water Cement Ratio	11
2.5	Texture and Shape of Recycled Coarse Aggregate	11
2.6	Preparation for Concrete Mix Design	12
2.6.1	Recycled Coarse Aggregate	12
2.6.2	Natural Coarse Aggregate	13
2.6.3	Cement	13
2.6.4	Concrete	14

## **CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Introduction	15
3.2	Flow Chart	16
3.3	Recycled Coarse Aggregate	17
3.4	Natural Coarse Aggregate	17
3.5	Concrete Mix Design	18
3.6	Test Specimens	19
3.6.1	Slump Test	19
3.6.2	Concrete Tube Test	21
3.6.3	Compression Test	22
3.6.4	Water Absorption	23

## **CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

4.1	Introduction	24
4.2	Particle Size Analysis	25
4.3	Slump Test Analysis	27
4.4	Compressive Strength analysis	28
4.5	Water Absorption Analysis	32

## **CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION**

5.1	Introduction	34
5.2	Conclusion	34
5.3	Recommendation	35
5.4	Commercialization Potential	36

<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>38</b>
-------------------	-----------

## **APPENDICES**

<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>Appendix B</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>Appendix C</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Appendix D</b>	<b>44</b>

## LIST OF TABLE

<b>Table No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
3.1	Description of Workability and Magnitude of Slump	20
4.1	Slump Test Result	27
4.2	Water Absorption Result	32

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
1.1	Composition of Demolition Waste	4
3.1	Flow Chart of Procedure Process in Research	16
3.2	Slump Test	20
3.3	Forms of Slump	21
3.4	Concrete Cube	22
4.1	Graph for Coarse Particle Size Analysis (NA)	22
4.2	Graph for Coarse Particle Size Analysis (RA)	26
4.3	Slump Test Analysis	27



4.4	Graph Compressive Strength against Percentage of Coarse Aggregate for 7 Days	29
4.5	Graph Compressive Strength against Percentage of Coarse Aggregate for 28 Days	30
4.6	Graph Compressive Strength against Ages of RAC and NAC	31

### LIST OF EQUATION

Equation		Page
3.1	Compression Strength, $S_c = \frac{F}{A_s} \times 10^3$	23
3.2	$Absorption = \frac{\text{mass after immersed in water} - \text{mass after oven-dried}}{\text{mass after oven-dried}} \times 100\%$	23

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

RAC	-	Reinforced Aggregate Concrete
OPC	-	Ordinary Portland Cement
mm	-	Millimeter
BS	-	British Standard
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
kg	-	Kilogram
MPa	-	Mega Pascal
m <sup>3</sup>	-	meter cubic
%	-	Percentage
RCA	-	Recycled Coarse Aggregate
NCA	-	Natural Coarse Aggregate