Combustor aerodynamic using radial swirler
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A study has been conducted to investigate the flow pattern in a gas turbine combustion chamber by simulation and experimental approaches. Flow pattern inside a combustor is important to self sustain the flame, increase mixing of air and fuel, and increase combustion intensity. Aerodynamically curved vanes allow the incoming axial flow to turn gradually. This inhibits flow separation on the suction side of the vane. Thus, more complete turning and higher swirl and radial-velocity components can be generated at the swirler exit with the added advantage of lower pressure loss. The swirl number varied from 0.49, 1.29 and 2.29 for flat vanes and only 1.57 for curved vane. The highest swirl number of 2.29 for flat vane and 1.57 for curve vane are capable of creating a clear reversal mass flow rate zone and higher swirl strength reduces the corner recirculation zone size and hence reduces the negative impact on the combustion process and the homogeneity of the wall temperature as well. Further investigation can be done for higher swirl number for both types of swirler.
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INTRODUCTION

In gas turbine combustor, it is necessary to maintain a stationary flame within a high velocity air stream. The flame propagates through the unburned mixture at a certain speed, accordingly the mixture have to be maintained within the similar limits for flame stabilization that is, for flame travelling at speed higher than mixture speed, the flame blowout occurs. In order to overcome this difficulty, a region of recirculation downstream the main burner or a bluff body should be established. The region creates areas of a locally low stream velocity that is equal to the flame speed which is used to hold the flame, hence to sustain the combustion.

Air swirlers are used as a flame holder by imparting swirl to the incoming air. The presence of swirl results in setting up of radial and axial pressure gradients, which in turn influence the flow fields. In the case of strong swirl, the adverse axial pressure gradient is sufficiently large to generate reverse flow along the axis and generating an internal circulation zone (Lefebvre, 1983; Mellor, 1990; Mattingly, 1996; Ishak and Mohd Jaafar, 2009; Ganesan, 2003; Mohd Jaafar et al., 2009; Eldrainy et al., 2010). In addition, swirling flows are used to improve and control the mixing process between fuel and air streams and enhance heat release rate (Beer and Chigier, 1972; Gupta et al., 1984).

In this research, aerodynamically flat vane and curved vane radial swirlers with various vane angles were investigated. Flow pattern characteristics including velocity components and turbulent stresses, which are the main characteristics of the swirling flows, have been studied to understand the physical process by both experimental investigations and by numerical modelling using Fluent 6.3 software (Fluent 6.3 Tutorial Guide, 2006).

The main focus of this research is to investigate the dynamics of the swirling flow in gas turbine combustor using radial flow air swirlers of different vane angles and vane types. The vane angles variation will provide different swirl number which is the main parameter of the investigation.
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Swirl number

The level of swirl or swirl strength can be represented in term of swirl number. Determining the swirl number is of great importance in burner design since it contributes to the correct setting for the swirl blades. Swirl flows are characterized by swirl number $S$ which is defined as the ratio between axial flux of the angular momentum to the axial momentum. The swirl number can be written mathematically as (Beer and Chigier, 1972):

$$ S = \frac{G_\theta}{G_x r_0} \quad (1) $$

where $G_\theta$ is the axial flux of the tangential momentum, $G_x$ is the axial flux of the axial momentum, and $r_0$ is the outer radius of the annulus. These two parameters, $G_\theta$ and $G_x$, can be expressed as:

$$ G_\theta = 2\pi \int_0^\infty \rho U_x U_\theta r^2 \, dr $$

$$ G_x = 2\pi \int_0^\infty \rho U_x^2 r \, dr + 2\pi \int_0^\infty \rho r^2 \, dr $$

where $U_x$, $U_\theta$, and $\rho$ are the axial velocity, tangential velocity, and density respectively.

Al-Kabie (1989) has proposed the following non-dimensional equation to characterize the amount of rotation imparted to the axial flow:

$$ C_c = \frac{C_d}{1 + \frac{K_{ch}}{A_{ch}}} \quad (4) $$

$$ S_N = \frac{2 \pi \sin \theta}{1 + \frac{4 \pi}{\tan 3 \theta} C_c A_{ch}} \quad (5) $$

where $C_c$ is the contraction coefficient, $C_d$ is the discharge coefficient, $A_{th}$ is the air throat area and $A_{ch}$ is the combustion chamber area. The technical data of the four swirlers used in this study are listed in Table 1.

METHODS

Numerical simulation

The isothermal flow inside the combustor was simulated using FLUENT 6.3 CFD codes. For the prediction of the isothermal flow fields within the combustion chambers, FLUENT solves the equations for conservation of mass and momentum in their time average form.

Geometry specification and grid set-up

The physical domain of radial swirlers was decomposed to several volumes to facilitate meshing with cooper hexahedral structured...
Table 1. Technical data of the swirlers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of swirler</th>
<th>Flat vane</th>
<th>Curved vane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vane angles (degrees)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vane depth, L (m)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Vanes, n</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet diameter of swirler, d (m)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum passage width, H (m)</td>
<td>0.0165</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge coefficient, Cd</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraction coefficient, Cc</td>
<td>0.6528</td>
<td>0.634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swirl number, Sn</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Combustor model mesh.

Turbulence modelling

As mentioned above, FLUENT solves the equations for conservation of mass and momentum in their time averaged form for the prediction of isothermal flow fields. For the process of Reynolds decomposition and time averaging results in unknown correlation of the fluctuation velocity components, a turbulence model is required for equations closure purposes. In the present simulation, k-epsilon turbulence model was used. Turbulence is represented by the standard k-epsilon model, which provides an optimal choice and economy for many turbulent flows (Kim, 1989). Menzies (2005) had studied the behavior of five k-epsilon variants in modelling the isothermal flow inside a gas turbine combustor and compared the results with the experimental data of Da Palma (1988) for the velocity and turbulence fields. The studied models were the standard, the RNG, the realizable, the Durbin modified, and the nonlinear k-epsilon models. The results showed that the standard and the Durbin k-epsilon models gave the best agreement with the experimental data. This supported the finding of Jiang and Shen (1994) and Durst and Wennerberg (1991) where good agreement between k-epsilon model predictions and experimental results were reported.

Boundary conditions

The appropriate choice of boundary conditions is essential and is a critical part in modelling a flow accurately. Typical boundary conditions for FLUENT simulation are the inlet, the wall and the outlet boundaries. At the inlet of the computational region, the inlet boundary condition is defined as mass flow inlet while the exit boundary is defined as outflow. Some assumptions for boundary conditions that were not directly measured had to be made as follows:

i) Velocity components and turbulence quantities at the inlet were constant.

ii) Turbulence at inlet is calculated from the following equations (Versteeg and Malalasekera, 1995):
The water tunnel model test facility was used in the present study for isothermal investigation of flow patterns. The test facility is an open circuit experimental test rig arrangement (Figure 3). The main components consisted of a water storage tank, pump, flow meters, control valve, a combustor model, and an outlet pipe. The tubular combustor model is focal point of the experiment and was placed inside the central section of the water tunnel test rig as shown in Figure 4. The whole water tunnel was filled with water in order to reduce distortion and improve visibility. The combustor model used in the water tunnel model test facility was geometrically equal to the one used for numerical simulation. It was fabricated from 3 mm thick Perspex tube with 145 mm internal diameter and 400 mm length.

For visualization of the flow pattern inside of the combustor, a piece of cotton was used as a tracer inside of the combustor. By using 10 Megapixels Nikon Coolpix L20 camera, the photographs during the experiments were captured as much as possible whilst
the water tunnel is full and at high pressure. The high pressure situation will produce the swirl flow inside the combustor.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Numerical simulation

The swirl number was numerically computed at the swirler exit plane for the four radial swirlers using the integral shown in Equations 2 and 3. The different values of swirl number are listed in Table 1 for reference. It can be observed that these swirl numbers were in good agreement with those obtained from the investigation. Figures 5, 6, 7 and 8 show the velocity vectors and magnitudes of flow within the recirculation zone for all air swirlers. These vectors are obtained by plotting the axial velocities which ranges from maximum negative value to maximum positive value within the recirculation zone.

The flow downstream of 40° flat vane swirler shows
maximum velocity magnitude of 57.9 m/s (Figure 5) which shows the formation of very small recirculation zone. Maximum velocity magnitude of 65.7 and 77.6 m/s were obtained for 50° and 60° flat vane swirlers respectively as shown in Figures 6 and 7, while for curved vane swirler, 71.9 m/s was obtained for 60° as seen from Figure 8.

The region of velocity magnitude is termed as central recirculation zone (CRZ), which is mainly responsible for flame stabilization. As can be seen from Figure 5, the 40° has very small recirculation zone and shorter length with a width of 1.39 D1 and length 2.14 D1. This recirculation zone is critical as far as residence time for the reactant species and the rate of heat transfer are concerned. The above parameters, in turn, depend on the volume and surface area of the recirculation zone. Hence it will be useful to investigate the effect of the vane angle on the geometry namely the length, width, surface area and the
volume of the recirculation zone.

For the 50° swirler, Figure 6 shows that the width and the length of the recirculation zone have increased to 3.06 D1 and 4.64 D1, respectively compared to 40° swirler. The largest width of 3.33 D1 and length of 5.36 D1 were obtained for 60° flat vane swirler. Figures 5, 6, 7 and 8 also show that the size of the corner zone circulation decreases with the increase in swirl number.

**Experimental**

Figures 9, 10 and 11 show the photographs taken during the experimental tests for flat vane and curved vane swirlers with varying vane angles in the water tunnel test facility. Cotton was used in this experiment to help in visualizing the formation of recirculation zones. In this experiment, only 40° and 60° vane angles were tested for both types of vanes.

For the 40° vane angle, there is none or very small swirling flow generated in the combustor and there is no recirculation zone formed. This is not in agreement with the computational simulation results. It may be due to the different density used for simulation and experiment.

The 60° vane angle produces good swirling flow and recirculation zone. For flat vane, it generates 46 mm length of CRZ and 23 mm diameter of the inlet while for the curved vane it generates 45 mm length of CRZ and 13 mm diameter of the inlet.

Comparing the results between the CFD and the experimental method, it was found that the higher the vane angle, the greater the swirling flow, and the larger the recirculation zone. Furthermore, the flat vane is better than the curved vane for both methods. Thus in this
study, the 60° flat vane produces the best result for swirling flow and recirculation zone.

Conclusion

All four radial swirlers employed in this study show significant effects on the flow pattern within the combustor model. The 40° vane angle swirler produces very small volume of recirculation zone while 50° and 60° vane angle swirlers are able to double the zone sizes. From the parametric study carried out with varying angle of vanes, it is found that 50° swirler is the best for producing appropriate recirculation zone with reasonable pressure drop. These results are in good agreement with Mohd Jaafar et al. (2009) and Yehia et al. (2010) who studied effect of multiple inlet using axial swirlers.

With an increase in the swirler vane angle, corner recirculation zone size decreases as the tangential to axial momentum ratio increased. This dead zone has a negative impact on the combustion process and the homogeneity of the wall temperature as well.
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