



Improved Models for Impact of Viscoplastic Bodies

by

**MASNIEZAM BIN AHMAD
(1441411443)**

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

**School of Mechatronic Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2018

THESIS DECLARATION FORM

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

DECLARATION OF THESIS

Author's full name : MASNIEZAM BIN AHMAD
Date of birth : 22 / 01 / 1988
Title : IMPROVED MODELS FOR IMPACT OF VISCOPLASTIC BODIES
Academic Session : 2014 / 2017

I hereby declare that this thesis becomes the property of Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) and to be placed at the library of UniMAP. This thesis is classified as:

- CONFIDENTIAL** (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)
- RESTRICTED** (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)
- OPEN ACCESS** I agree that my thesis is to be made immediately available as hard copy or on-line open access (full text)

I, the author, give permission to the UniMAP to reproduce this thesis in whole or in part for the purpose of research or academic exchange only (except during a period of _____ years, if so requested above).

Certified by:

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR

(NEW IC NO./ PASSPORT NO.)

NAME OF SUPERVISOR

Date : _____

Date: _____

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah for the strengths and His blessing in completing this thesis. First of all, I am deeply indebted to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Khairul Azwan Ismail whose help, stimulating suggestions, knowledge, experience and encouragement that helped me in all times of study. He inspired me greatly to work in this project.

I also wish to express my gratitude to my co-supervisor, Dr Fauziah Mat who has given her support, motivation, constructive comments and suggestions that have contributed to the success of this research. Not forgotten, a special appreciation to my mentor, Dr Afendi Rojan, who was abundantly helpful and offered invaluable assistance and support.

I would also thank to Ministry of Higher Education and Universiti Malaysia Perlis for the financial support for this study under Skim Latihan Tenaga Pengajar Akademik (SLTPA). Sincere thanks to Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Technology UniMAP especially to Dr Azwan for the supports of the equipment. Not forgotten, special thanks to the School of Manufacturing Engineering UniMAP, a place where I spent most of my times since the last 4 years to complete this study. In addition, I would also like to express my gratitude to Mr Nabil, Mr Nazmi, Mr Rashid, Mr Hafiz and all my friends especially postgraduate students from School of Manufacturing Engineering for the joy and pain as well as continuous moral supports in completing this study.

Last but not least, my deepest gratitude goes to my beloved parents, Ahmad and Masuri, my mother and father in law, Yasin and Long, my sisters, Masniezar and Masri, my brothers, Hairul and Faizal, and also for all of my family for their loves, prayers and encouragement. Also a special thanks to my wife, Yuziana and my children Fariesh, Fariesya and my 12 days-newborn for their endless love and for being my greatest motivation in completing this study. To those who directly and indirectly contributed to this study, your kindness means a lot to me. May God bless you and grant you happiness and success in every journey you take.

Thank you very much.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION OF THESIS	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
ABSTRAK	xviii
ABSTRACT	xix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of study	1
1.2 Problem Statements	3
1.3 Objectives	4
1.4 Scopes	5
1.5 Significance of study	6
1.6 Thesis outline	7
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Configuration of impact between two bodies	9
2.3 Normal impact of rigid bodies	11

2.4	Coefficient of restitution	13
2.5	Energy dissipation during impact	15
2.6	Review of literatures: Experimental and Finite Element Analysis	17
2.6.1	Measurement of force, displacement and deformation of the bodies during impact	18
2.6.2	Findings of impact responses through experiment and numerical simulation	21
2.7	Development of impact models	25
2.7.1	Elastic impact models	28
2.7.2	Viscoelastic impact models	30
2.7.3	Elastic-plastic impact models	32
2.7.4	Viscoplastic impact models	34
2.8	Chapter conclusions	35
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		36
3.1	Introduction	36
3.2	Construction and specification of balls for drop test	37
3.2.1	Baseball	38
3.2.2	Golf ball	38
3.2.3	Tennis ball	39
3.2.4	Steel ball	39
3.3	Experimental setup	40
3.4	Force measurement	41
3.4.1	Precision and accuracy of force measurement	43
3.4.2	Repeatability of force measurement	46
3.4.3	Validation of drop test: Comparison between experiment results with previous works	52

3.5	Velocity measurement procedure	54
3.5.1	Motions analysis: Position of object	55
3.5.2	Methods to obtain velocity-time data	59
3.5.3	Effect of air drag	65
3.6	Deformation of the ball during impact	68
3.7	Chapter conclusions	70
CHAPTER 4 IMPROVED MATHEMATICAL MODELS AND FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS FOR NORMAL IMPACT BETWEEN TWO BODIES		71
4.1	Introduction	71
4.2	Development of viscoplastic models	72
4.2.1	Ismail & Stronge viscoplastic model	72
4.2.2	Yigit nonlinear viscoplastic model	77
4.2.3	Yigit linearized viscoplastic model	80
4.3	Modified Model 1: Hertz-linear compliance model	82
4.4	Modified Model 2: Multi linear-nonlinear compliance model	86
4.5	Prediction of impact response by the impact models at various impact velocities	89
4.5.1	Characteristics of impact responses during compression and restitution phases	92
4.6	Development of finite element model for normal impact between a sphere and a rigid body	94
4.7	Validation of the proposed FE model with the previous FE results	99
4.8	Chapter conclusions	104
CHAPTER 5 COMPARISON OF IMPACT RESPONSES BETWEEN EXPERIMENT, FEA AND IMPACT MODELS		105
5.1	Introduction	105
5.2	Experimental results for drop of sports balls	105

5.3	Limitation of experiment and impact models	110
5.4	Validation for drop of sports balls	113
5.5	Parametric studies on the impact of metal balls	125
5.6	Chapter conclusions	127
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS		129
6.1	Introduction	129
6.2	Summary of drop test experiment	129
6.3	Summary of finite element analysis	130
6.4	Summary on validation of modified viscoplastic impact models	131
6.5	Summary of parametric studies	132
6.6	Recommendations for future works	132
REFERENCES		134
APPENDIXES		141
LIST OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND PUBLICATIONS		151

LIST OF TABLES

	PAGE
Table 3.1 Properties of the bodies at the bottom of dynamometer.	50
Table 3.2 Comparison of ball's mass and drop test results between current experiment (Exp) and Cross (1999).	53
Table 3.3 Parameters of the balls used to calculate impact velocity with considering the effect of air drag.	67
Table 4.1 Parameters of impactor and target (Yigit et al., 2011).	89
Table 4.2 Comparison of rebound velocity and COR for viscoplastic models.	94
Table 4.3 Material properties of impactor and impact target (Minamoto & Kawamura, 2009).	100
Table 4.4 Differences of the results between the proposed and Minamoto and Kawamura (2009) FE models.	102
Table 5.1 Frequency ratio for drop of different balls at impact velocity of 4.65 m/s.	111
Table 5.2 Required parameter to solve the impact models.	113
Table 5.3 Material properties of sports balls applied in impact models and FEA.	115
Table 5.4 Measurement of α based on the results from experiment.	116
Table 5.5 Percentage errors between FEA, impact models and experimental results for impact of tennis ball.	123
Table 5.6 Percentage errors between FEA, impact models and experimental results for impact of golf ball.	124
Table 5.7 Percentage errors between FEA, impact models and experimental results for impact of baseball.	124

LIST OF FIGURES

		PAGE
Figure 1.1	Example of impact phenomenon where impact models are widely utilized: (a) impact between a golf ball and club head and (b) impact in multibody system (Dave, 2017; Khulief, 2013).	2
Figure 2.1	Collision between body 1 and body 2 for (a) collinear and (b) eccentric impact configuration.	10
Figure 2.2	Normal impact configuration between (a) two spherical solid bodies, and (b) a spherical solid body and a flat solid surface.	12
Figure 2.3	Compression and restitution phases for different values of coefficient of restitution for (a) force-time curve and (b) force-deformation curve. The shaded area in (b) represents for energy losses during impact.	13
Figure 2.4	(a) Piezoelectric disk used as a load cell in previous experiment (Cross, 1999) and (b) example of the common load cells used in experiment (Yang et al., 2014).	19
Figure 2.5	Accelerometer attached on a steel ball for force measurement (Alves et al., 2015).	20
Figure 2.6	Schematic of normal drop test between a granule and wet surface (Antonyuk et al., 2009).	23
Figure 2.7	Example of stress contours at the contact point developed from FE model (Rathbone et al., 2015).	25
Figure 2.8	Compliance of lumped parameter in a small contact region.	27
Figure 2.9	Force-deformation curve for (a) Nonlinear elastic model, (b) Nonlinear viscoelastic model, (c) Nonlinear elastoplastic model and (d) Linear viscoplastic model. The black solid line represents for compression phase and the red dotted line represents for restitution phase.	29
Figure 2.10	Problem of nonzero force value at initial contact point in force-deformation curve for Kelvin-Voigt model (Gilardi & Sharf, 2002).	31
Figure 3.1	Flow chart of research methodology.	37
Figure 3.2	Schematic diagram of experimental set-up for drop test.	40

Figure 3.3	A significant force output in normal direction (F_z) with insignificant effect of crosstalk in tangential forces for drop of: (a) tennis ball from 10 cm and (b) golf ball from 110 cm.	42
Figure 3.4	Drop test results for drop of five tennis balls from 10 cm.	43
Figure 3.5	A general force-time profile from pre until post impact for drop of baseball from 10 cm on the dynamometer.	44
Figure 3.6	Noise pattern from dynamometer prior to impact of a ball.	45
Figure 3.7	Effect of point selection to start measurement (zeroes) on the force-time relationship for drop of baseball from 10 cm.	45
Figure 3.8	Setup of dynamometer (a) without top plate and (b) with top plate.	46
Figure 3.9	Insignificant difference on the force-time relationship when attaching a top plate on dynamometer.	47
Figure 3.10	Result for drop of a golf ball on different locations on dynamometer from 50 cm.	48
Figure 3.11	Setup at the bottom of dynamometer: (a) placed on a table, (b) placed on an aluminium plate, (c) clamped on a steel block and (d) placed on a concrete floor.	49
Figure 3.12	Maximum force vs. drop height for (a) tennis ball, (b) golf ball and (c) baseball.	51
Figure 3.13	Validation of experiment with Cross (1999).	53
Figure 3.14	Flowchart of the process to obtain data from a high speed camera.	56
Figure 3.15	Combination of images for distance measurement by using ImageJ software.	57
Figure 3.16	Screenshot of the process of locating the center of the ball and template for autotracker option in Tracker software.	58
Figure 3.17	Distance from datum vs. time for manual and automatic tracking measurement.	59
Figure 3.18	Linear trend lines of distance from datum vs. time for (a) 5 frames in pre-impact, and (b) 5 frames in post-impact.	60
Figure 3.19	2 nd order polynomial trend line fitted with kinematic equation of free-fall motion on the distance-time plot within 5 frames in post-impact.	61

Figure 3.20	Convergence study of velocity vs. number of frame by using all measurement methods for (a) pre-impact and (b) post-impact, respectively.	63
Figure 3.21	Measurement of velocity from 2 nd order polynomial fitted with kinematic equation of free fall motion on (a) 5 frames before the last 5 frames during pre-impact and (b) 5 frames after the first 5 frames during post impact.	64
Figure 3.22	Force acting on the ball during a drop test	65
Figure 3.23	(a) Results of v_D for various types of balls and (b) percentage difference between velocity calculation: with and without considering air drag effect.	68
Figure 3.24	Dynamic compression of the ball during impact. The dotted sketch line is for the ball when it starts to contact with the rigid surface and the continuous line is for the ball during compression.	69
Figure 4.1	(a) Viscoplastic compliance for impact between two bodies, and (b) force-deformation relation for bilinear spring element.	73
Figure 4.2	Force-deformation profile for spring element in Yigit nonlinear model.	78
Figure 4.3	Linearization of Yigit nonlinear viscoplastic model. (The slope of K_y is not in a correct scale; as to show how z_f in linearized model is obtained from Hertzian nonlinear restitution of the Yigit nonlinear viscoplastic model).	81
Figure 4.4	Force-deformation profile for spring element in Model 1.	82
Figure 4.5	Force-deformation profile of spring element in Model 2.	87
Figure 4.6	Response of various impact models for impact velocity of 0.1 m/s: (a) Force-time relation and (b) Force-deformation relation.	90
Figure 4.7	Response of various impact models for impact velocity of 1 m/s: (a) Force-time relation and (b) Force-deformation relation.	90
Figure 4.8	Response of various impact models for impact velocity of 5 m/s: (a) Force-time relation and (b) Force-deformation relation.	91
Figure 4.9	Responses during compression (solid line) and restitution phases (dash line) of impact models for impact velocity of 1 m/s: (a) impulse-time and velocity-time and (b) deformation-time relations.	93

Figure 4.10	Impact of steel ball and the target (rigid body) in the FE model.	96
Figure 4.11	Effects of neglecting discretization with finer mesh at the contact region on the force-time results at various impact velocities: (a) Unsmooth curve and (b) incorrect prediction of contact time.	97
Figure 4.12	Cross section of the steel ball for (a) Mesh I, (b) Mesh II and (c) comparison of the force-time curve between Mesh I and II.	98
Figure 4.13	(a) Engineering stress-strain curves and (b) true stress-strain curves of SUJ2 steel at different strain rates (Minamoto & Kawamura, 2009).	100
Figure 4.14	Comparison of force-time relation obtained from the proposed and Minamoto and Kawamura (2009) FE models at different impact velocities and constitutive material behaviors.	101
Figure 4.15	Deformation of the ball during impact at different impact velocities and constitutive material behaviors obtained from the proposed and Minamoto and Kawamura (2009) FE models.	102
Figure 5.1	Experimental results for drop of baseball at different impact velocities: (a) Force-time curve and (b) Force-deformation curve.	106
Figure 5.2	Experimental results for drop of golf ball at different impact velocities: (a) Force-time curve and (b) Force-deformation curve.	107
Figure 5.3	Experimental results for drop of tennis ball at different impact velocities: (a) Force-time curve and (b) Force-deformation curve.	107
Figure 5.4	Effect of impact velocities for baseball, golf ball and tennis ball in terms of: (a) maximum force, (b) contact time, (c) maximum deformation, (d) final deformation and (e) coefficient of restitution.	109
Figure 5.5	Useful frequency ranges for dynamometer used in experiment, $f_n = 3500$ Hz (Kistler Group, 2015).	111
Figure 5.6	Large errors of force-time curves between experiment and FEA for drop of steel balls.	112
Figure 5.7	Geometries of the impacted plate modeled in FEA.	114
Figure 5.8	Procedures to predict the impact responses in Ismail & Stronge model: (a) Steps to measure α , ζ and γ from the experimental	115

data, and (b) relation between α and ζ (Ismail & Stronge, 2012).

Figure 5.9	Comparison between experiment, FEA and impact models results for drop of tennis ball at various impact velocities: Left hand-sides: Force-time curves, and the right hand-side: Force-deformation curves.	118
Figure 5.10	Comparison between experiment, FEA and impact models results for drop of golf ball at various impact velocities: Left hand-sides: Force-time curves, and the right hand-side: Force-deformation curves.	119
Figure 5.11	Comparison between experiment, FEA and impact models results for drop of baseball ball at various impact velocities: Left hand-sides: Force-time curves, and the right hand-side: Force-deformation curves.	120
Figure 5.12	Maximum contact force (F_m) at different impact velocities for drop of: (a) baseball, (b) golf ball and (c) tennis ball.	122
Figure 5.13	Contact time at different impact velocities for drop of: (a) baseball, (b) golf ball and (c) tennis ball.	122
Figure 5.14	COR at different impact velocities for drop of: (a) baseball, (b)golf ball and (c) tennis ball.	123
Figure 5.15	Effect of impact velocity on the impact responses for 20 mm balls made from different materials.	126
Figure 5.16	Effect of ball's size on the impact responses for steel ball at different impact velocities.	127

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABMA	American Bearing Manufacturers Association
COR	Coefficient of restitution
DAQ	Data acquisition
FE	Finite element
FEA	Finite element analysis
FIMS	Fastec InLine Monitoring System
fps	Frame per second
ITF	International Tennis Federation
JIS	Japanese Industrial Standards
MLB	Major League Baseball
ODE	Ordinary differential equation
R&A	The Royal and Ancient Golf Club of St Andrews
USGA	United States Golf Association
2D	Two-dimensions
3D	Three-dimensions

©This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a	Acceleration at the center of mass of the ball
a_1	Deformation of spring (see z)
a_2	Velocity of spring (see \dot{z})
A	Cross sectional area of ball
c	Damping constant of dashpot
c_p	Speed for plastic stress wave
c_0	Speed for elastic stress wave
C	Intersection point at y-axis
C_D	Drag coefficient
C_1	Contact point of body 1
C_2	Contact point of body 2
dh	Change of distance between the center of ball
dt	Change of time
dz	Deformation of the ball from the center of mass
e	Coefficient of restitution
E	Elastic modulus
E_p	Slope of stress-strain curve in plastic region
E_1	Elastic modulus of body 1 (impactor)
E_2	Elastic modulus of body 2 (target)
E^*	Effective elastic modulus
f	Frequency of ball during impact
f_n	Natural frequency of dynamometer
F	Contact force
F_c	Force at maximum compression phase
F_m	Maximum contact force
F_x	Tangential force in x -axis
F_y	Tangential force in y -axis

F_z	Normal force in z -axis
G_1	Center of mass of body 1
G_2	Center of mass of body 2
g	Acceleration due to gravity
h	Distance from datum
h_0	Initial height of the ball at time zero
K_h	Hertzian contact stiffness
K_y	Spring constant
m	Mass
M	Line slope
m_1	Mass of body 1
m_2	Mass of body 2
m^*	Effective mass
n	Nonlinear power exponent
p	Impulse
p_c	Normal impulse for compression phase
p_r	Normal impulse for restitution phase
R	Radius of body
r_1	Position vector from G_1 to C_1
r_2	Position vector from G_2 to C_2
S_y	Yield strength of the softer body
t	Time
t_c	Transition time from compression to restitution phase
t_f	Time at the end of restitution phase
t_y	Time when the deformation of spring reaches yielding point
v_{avg}	Average velocity
v_f	Final velocity
v_i	Initial velocity
v_r	Rebound velocity

v_w	Wind velocity
v_y	Yield velocity of material
v_D	Ball velocity with considering drag effect
v_R	Relative velocity due to air drag
v_T	Impact velocity calculated by energy balance between kinetic and potential energy
v_0	Initial relative velocity
v_1	Initial velocity of body 1
v_2	Initial velocity of body 2
W	Weight of ball
W_c	Work done during compression phase
W_r	Work done during restitution phase
x	Ball's displacement
X	Variable at x -axis
\dot{x}	Velocity with considering the effect of air drag
y	Relative displacement of dashpot
Y	Variable at y -axis
\dot{y}	Velocity of dashpot
z	Deformation of spring
z_f	Final deformation of spring
z_m	Maximum spring deformation
z_x	Deformation of spring when the value of F is dropped to $F = F_y$
z_y	Deformation of spring at yielding point
\dot{z}	Velocity of spring
\dot{z}_y	Velocity of spring at yielding point
α	Deformation of the body
α_m	Maximum deformation of the body
$\dot{\alpha}$	Velocity of the body
δ	Deformation length
δ_c	Deformation at maximum compression phase

δ_f	Final deformation
δ_{\max}	Maximum deformation
δ_p	Permanent deformation after separation
$\dot{\delta}$	Rate of deformation
ζ	Damping factor
κ	Stiffness of spring element
θ	Angle between velocity vector and normal line
σ_y	Yield stress
σ_{y1}	Yield stress of body 1
σ_{y2}	Yield stress of body 2
ρ	Mass density
ρ_A	Air density
ν	Poisson's Ratio
ν_1	Poisson's ratio of body 1
ν_2	Poisson's ratio of body 2
ω_d	Damped constant
ω_0	Undamped constant
γ	Plastic loss factor

©This item is protected by original copyright

Model Penambahbaikan Kesan Hentaman Terhadap Jasad Viskoplastik

ABSTRAK

Hentaman antara dua jasad adalah fenomena kompleks yang sering berlaku dalam pelbagai bidang seperti sukan, automotif, geologi dan sebagainya. Sehingga kini, tugas penambahbaikan model bagi kesan hentaman lebih mencabar kerana teori konstitutif yang sedia ada digunakan dalam mekanik hentaman adalah kurang tepat. Kaedah dedenyut dan momentum telah digunakan sebagai prinsip am untuk menyelesaikan masalah dinamik sebelum ini. Kemudian, model kesan hentaman telah digabungkan dengan menggunakan parameter yang diwakili oleh elemen spring dan/atau daspot di titik sentuhan yang kecil dalam kawasan sentuhan. Melalui kaedah ini, mekanik sentuhan yang berlaku semasa hentaman dalam tempoh yang singkat dapat dikira. Model hentaman ini mengambil kira sifat konstitutif bahan seperti elastik, viskoelastik, elastoplastik atau viskoplastik seperti yang terkandung dalam undang-undang sentuhan pada kawasan termampat yang kecil. Pada kelajuan hentaman yang sangat rendah, model elastik berdasarkan teori sentuhan Hertz dan model viskoelastik Hunt & Crossley dapat menentukan tindak balas hentaman dengan tepat. Namun begitu, pada halaju hentaman yang sederhana atau tinggi, sebahagian besar daripada tenaga kinetik awal telah hilang akibat canggaaan plastik, penyebaran gelombang tekanan, bunyi, haba dan kesan-kesan lain. Model elastoplastik boleh digunakan untuk meramal canggaaan plastik pada jasad yang dihentam tetapi kesan penyebaran gelombang tekanan tidak dipertimbangkan dalam model ini. Permasalahan ini telah ditangani dengan menggunakan model viskoplastik yang dapat meramalkan tindak balas hentaman dengan mengambil kira canggaaan elastik dan plastik serta mengambil kira tenaga yang hilang akibat penyebaran gelombang tekanan. Oleh yang demikian, kajian ini mencadangkan dua model viskoplastik untuk hentaman yang dibangunkan melalui penambahbaikan model viskoplastik terdahulu iaitu Model Yigit dan Model Ismail & Stronge. Model yang dicadangkan ini merupakan kaedah alternatif untuk meramal tindak balas hentaman dengan menggunakan elemen spring secara linear atau menggabungkan elemen spring secara linear dan tidak linear semasa fasa rehat. Selain itu, tindak balas hentaman untuk beberapa jenis bola telah diukur melalui eksperimen ujian hentaman dan analisis unsur terhingga. Dalam eksperimen, pelbagai ujian yang telah dilaksanakan bertujuan untuk memastikan ketepatan pengiraan daya dan halaju bagi hentaman pelbagai jenis bola sukan. Selain itu, model unsur terhingga yang tepat telah dibangunkan dan telah disahkan dengan model unsur terhingga sebelumnya. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan tindak balas hentaman yang diperoleh daripada model-model yang dicadangkan juga telah disahkan melalui eksperimen dan analisis unsur terhingga. Model yang dicadangkan ini dapat menganggar nilai daya maksimum dengan ralat kurang daripada 20 % dan nilai masa pelanggaran dengan ralat kurang daripada 11 %. Model yang dicadangkan ini juga berjaya meningkatkan ketepatan tindak balas hentaman iaitu hentaman normal antara dua komponen yang padat terutamanya untuk kes hentaman elastik. Model yang dicadangkan ini menghasilkan kehilangan tenaga yang paling kecil berbanding model-model terdahulu. Maka, pengiraan daya dan canggaaan dapat dikira dengan tepat berbanding model-model viskoplastik yang lain. Selain itu, model unsur terhingga juga telah digunakan untuk mendapatkan tindak balas hentaman bagi bahan, saiz dan halaju hentaman jasad yang berbeza. Secara keseluruhannya, model viskoplastik untuk hentaman yang baru telah dibangunkan dan tindak balas hentaman antara dua komponen telah dikemukakan.

Improved Model for Impact of Viscoplastic Bodies

ABSTRACT

Impact between two bodies is a complex phenomenon commonly occurs in many areas such as sports, automotive, geology and many more. Until now, modeling an impact is still a challenging task due to inherent imprecision of constitutive laws for the impact mechanics. Previously, impulse-momentum method was used as general principle to solve this dynamic problem. Then, impact is modeled by employing a lumped-parameter, which is represented by the spring and/or dashpot elements as a compliance at a small contact region around the point of contact. Through this method, the mechanics of contact during a short interval of impact event can be calculated. Formulation of the model using elastic, viscoelastic, elastoplastic or viscoplastic constitutive material behavior is employed as a contact law for the compliance at the small deforming region. At a very low impact velocity, an elastic model based on Hertz contact theory and the viscoelastic Hunt & Crossley model have accurately predicted impact responses. However, at higher impact velocities, a significant part of the initial kinetic energy is dissipated due to plastic deformation, stress wave propagation, sound, heat and other effects. An elastoplastic impact model can be used to predict the elastic-plastic deformation of the impacted bodies, however the effect of stress wave propagation is not considered in this model. This problem has been addressed by adopting a viscoplastic model that can predict the impact response which encompasses both elastic and plastic deformation and also considers the energy dissipated due to wave propagation. This study proposes two viscoplastic impact models that were developed from modification of previous viscoplastic models; Yigit and Ismail & Stronge models. The proposed model provides an alternative method to predict the impact responses by employing a linear spring element or combining a linear and nonlinear spring element in restitution phase of the compliance. The impact responses for several types of balls have been also studied by drop test experiments and finite element analysis. In experiment, various tests have been conducted to ensure accurate measurements of force and velocity for drops of different sports balls. On the other hand, an accurate finite element model (FE model) was developed and it was validated with previous FE model. As a result, the impact responses obtained from the proposed models have been validated with both experiment and FE analysis. In general, the proposed models can predict the maximum force and contact time with percentage error of less than 20 % and 11 % respectively. The proposed model was successfully improved the accuracy of impact response prediction for normal impact between two compact bodies. For the case of elastic impact, the proposed model gives the smallest energy loss of any of these previous models. Thus, it provides good estimation of contact forces and deformations, compared to the other viscoplastic models. Besides that, the impact responses for impact of different materials, sizes and impact velocities of the body have been obtained from the FE analysis. In overall, new developments for viscoplastic impact model and impact responses for colliding bodies were presented.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study

Impact is a complicated phenomenon that occurs when two or more bodies collide at a short time period. During impact, the bodies experience a high force level at very brief duration, while energy is rapidly dissipated and high acceleration and deceleration occurred (Gilardi & Sharf, 2002). The simplest impact application can be shown by human's daily routine, such as knocking a door, drop rubbish into a dustbin and many more. Moreover, impact frequently happened in sports and engineering application, from hitting a ball in a game until crashworthiness of automotive application.

Impulse-momentum method is a conventional method that can be used to measure the impact responses at the end of an impact event. However, this method has several drawbacks, and the most significant is this method unable to predict the impact responses during impact (Gharib & Hurmuzlu, 2012). To overcome this problem, numerous impact models have been introduced by previous researchers (Brake, 2015; Li, Quan, Tang, Li, & Deng, 2017; Thornton, Cummins, & Cleary, 2017). In general, elastic, viscoelastic, elastoplastic and viscoplastic constitutive material behaviors are employed as contact laws in order to form the impact models. Through impact model, the impact responses of the contact bodies during and after collision can be calculated based on their initial parameters. For example, the results of force, deformation, energy loss, impact duration and velocity of the impacted bodies can be measured at any time during impact.

Impact models are widely used to solve the problems in sports engineering (Cross, 2014; Goodwill & Haake, 2001), geology (Ashayer, 2007; Imre, Råbsamen, & Springman, 2008), coal gasification industry (Gibson, Gopalan, Pisupati, & Shadle, 2013), automotive (Batista, 2006), robotic (Vasilopoulos, Paraskevas, & Papadopoulos, 2014) and many more. For example, Figure 1.1 shows example of impact applications where impact models are always utilized in order to obtain the impact responses. Previously, extensive studies have been reported on development and modification of the impact models. However, these impact models have their own limitation and the solution in impact models are not usually straightforward. To address this concern, many researchers are still putting their efforts to develop and modify the impact models in order to improve the current impact models (Argatov, Kachanov, & Mishuris, 2017; Christoforou & Yigit, 2016; Thornton, Cummins, & Cleary, 2017; Yu & Tafti, 2016; Zhang et al., 2016).




Figure 1.1: Example of impact phenomena where impact models are widely utilized: (a) impact between a golf ball and club head and (b) impact in multibody system (Dave, 2017; Khulief, 2013).

In general, the present study proposes two modified impact models based on viscoplastic constitutive behavior for normal impact between two bodies. The proposed models are compared with previous impact models, experimental and finite element results throughout this thesis.

1.2 Problem statements

According to Brogliato (2016), there are four types of initial impact velocities. Very low and low impact velocities occurred within 0-1 m/s and 1-10 m/s of initial impact velocities, respectively. In addition, high and hyper impact velocities occurred within 10-1000 m/s and 1000-10000 m/s of initial impact velocities, respectively.

In cases where impacts are elastic in nature (i.e. at very low impact velocity and no plastic deformation), an elastic model based on Hertz contact theory and the viscoelastic Hunt & Crossley model have accurately predicted the impact response (Hertz, 1896; Hunt & Crossley, 1975). However, these models are not adequate for most impacts in practice (at higher impact velocities), as a significant part of initial kinetic energy is dissipated due to plastic deformation, wave propagation, and other effects. Therefore, elastoplastic impact models have been developed to account for energy dissipation during impact in both elastic and plastic deformation. However, the effect of stress wave propagation is not considered in this model.

These problems have been addressed by adopting a viscoplastic impact model that can predict the impact response which encompasses both elastic and plastic deformation and also considers the energy dissipated due to wave propagation. Currently, the available

viscoplastic model is only valid for low and high impact velocities (Yigit, Christoforou, & Majeed, 2011). Yet, this impact model is not suitable for very low impact velocity as the result of force-deformation relation is inaccurately predicted in this case. Besides that, the works to improve the viscoplastic impact model are still less reported in the previous studies. In general, the research problems can be summarized as follows.

- i. Although there are numerous impact models developed in literatures, the choice of an adequate impact model for a certain problem is still an important issue to be considered.
- ii. The development of impact models for viscoplastic bodies are still limited in the previous studies.
- iii. The available viscoplastic impact models unable to predict accurate impact responses for the case of elastic impact.

1.3 Objectives

The main objective of this research is to develop mathematical impact models for colliding bodies based on viscoplastic constitutive behavior. To achieve the main objective, the sub-objectives are performed as follows.

- i. To measure impact responses of colliding bodies by performing drop test experiment.