



**CRITICAL COMPONENTS IDENTIFICATION
USING ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS – A
CASE STUDY TOWARDS PRODUCT DESIGN
IMPROVEMENT**

by

**NURUL HIDAYAH BINTI JUNAIDI
(1632421979)**

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
degree of
Master of Science (Manufacturing Systems Engineering)

**School of Manufacturing Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS
2017**

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

DECLARATION OF THESIS

Author's Full Name : NURUL HIDAYAH BINTI JUNAIDI
Title : CRITICAL COMPONENTS IDENTIFICATION USING
ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS – A CASE STUDY
TOWARDS PRODUCT DESIGN IMPROVEMENT
Date of Birth : 1 NOVEMBER 1992
Academic Session : 2016/2017

I hereby declare that this thesis becomes the property of Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) and to be placed at the library of UniMAP. This thesis is classified as:

- CONFIDENTIAL** (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1997)*
- RESTRICTED** (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)*
- OPEN ACCESS** I agree that my thesis is to be made immediate available as hard copy or on-line open access (full text)

I, the author, give permission to reproduce this thesis in whole or in part for the purpose of research or academic exchange only (except during the period of _____ years, if so requested above)

Certified by

SIGNATURE

921101-02-5888

(NEW IC NO. /PASSPORT NO)

Date : _____

SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR

DR. ROSMAINI BIN AHMAD

NAME OF SUPERVISOR

Date : _____

NOTES : * If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with the period and reasons for confidentiality or restriction. Replace thesis with dissertation (MSc by Mixed Mode) or with report (coursework)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

“In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Compassionate”

Alhamdulillah, thanks to Allah S.W.T., finally after facing a lot of challenges during my master study, I manage to complete it. I would like to thank for those people who helped me throughout this project by giving me support, encouragement and ideas.

A billion of thanks dedicated to my supervisor, Dr. Rosmaini Bin Ahmad for his passionate guidance throughout the whole process of completing this project besides teach me the proper techniques of writing reports.

Also special thanks to my family who always gave support and motivation throughout this study. My special thanks to my beloved husband Mohd Saiful bin Rozizan and also my parents, Junaidi Bin Bustami and Noor Ridza Binti Hasan for their understanding. Not to forget, my special thanks to my postgraduate friends for their prayer and support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
THESIS DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
ABSTRAK	xiii
ABSTRACT	xiv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Research Background	1
1.3 Problem Statement	2
1.4 Research questions	3
1.5 Objectives of the study	3
1.6 Scope	4
1.7 Significant of the study	4
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Product lifecycle	6
2.2.1 Product development phase	7
2.2.2 Product operation phase	8

2.2.3	Product improvement phase	9
2.3	Product reliability and maintainability	10
2.4	Critical component identification	11
2.5	Literature Finding	14
2.5.1	Multi criteria decision making methods	14

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	22
3.2	Component Classification Analysis (CCA)	23
3.3	Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	25
3.3.1	Formulation of Problem Hierarchy Structure	26
3.3.2	Criteria Weighting	27
3.3.3	Alternative Weighting	32
3.3.4	Final Score of Alternatives	33
3.4	Summary	35

CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	36
4.2	Case Study: Staple Gun	36
4.2.1	Staple Gun Sections	37
4.2.2	Component Classification Analysis (CCA)	39
4.3	Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	41
4.3.1	Formulation of Problem Hierarchy Structure	41
4.3.2	Criteria weighting	47
4.3.3	Alternative Weighting	51

4.3.4	Final Score of the Alternative	72
4.3.5	Critical Component Identification	79
4.4	Discussion	81
4.5	Summary	84

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1	Introduction	85
5.2	Research summary	85
5.3	Research achievement	86
5.4	Future research recommendation	87

REFERENCES	88
-------------------	----

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Pairwise Comparison for All Criteria	95
Appendix B	Final Score for Compress Section	96

LIST OF TABLES

NO.	PAGE
2.1 Summary of application Multi Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) methods	18
3.1 Scale of relative importance	28
3.2 RI value for different value of n	32
4.1 Type of clogged	42
4.2 Types of breakdown	42
4.3 Type of looseness	43
4.4 Component selection for analysis	43
4.5 Pairwise comparison of the criteria (plastic covered)	48
4.6 Pairwise comparison of the criteria (metal covered)	48
4.7 Value of eigenvector (plastic covered)	49
4.8 Value of eigenvector (metal covered)	50
4.9 Consistency ratio (CR) of criteria judgment matrix (plastic covered)	50
4.10 Consistency ratio (CR) of criteria judgment matrix (metal covered)	51
4.11 Pairwise comparison of compress section with respect to clogged (plastic covered)	52
4.12 Pairwise comparison of compress section with respect to breakdown (plastic covered)	52
4.13 Pairwise comparison of compress section with respect to loose (plastic covered)	53
4.14 Pairwise comparison of compress section with respect to clogged (metal covered)	54
4.15 Pairwise comparison of compress section with respect to breakdown	54

(metal covered)	
4.16 Pairwise comparison of compress section with respect to loose (metal covered)	55
4.17 Pairwise comparison of housing section with respect to clogged (plastic covered)	55
4.18 Pairwise comparison of housing section with respect to broke down (plastic covered)	56
4.19 Pairwise comparison of housing section with respect to loose (plastic covered)	56
4.20 Pairwise comparison of housing section with respect to clogged (metal covered)	57
4.21 Pairwise comparison of housing section with respect to breakdown (metal covered)	58
4.22 Pairwise comparison of housing section with respect to loose (metal covered)	58
4.23 Pairwise comparison of discharge outlet with respect to clogged (plastic covered)	59
4.24 Pairwise comparison of discharge outlet with respect to breakdown (plastic covered)	59
4.25 Pairwise comparison of discharge outlet with respect to loose (plastic covered)	60
4.26 Pairwise comparison of discharge outlet with respect to clogged (metal covered)	60
4.27 Pairwise comparison of discharge outlet with respect to breakdown (metal covered)	61
4.28 Pairwise comparison of discharge outlet section with respect to loose (metal covered)	61
4.29 Value of eigenvector for alternative compress spring (plastic covered)	62
4.30 Value of eigenvector for alternative handle (plastic covered)	62
4.31 Value of eigenvector for alternative hammer (plastic covered)	62
4.32 Value of eigenvector for alternative compress spring (metal covered)	63
4.33 Value of eigenvector for alternative handle (metal covered)	63

4.34	Value of eigenvector for alternative hammer (metal covered)	63
4.35	Value of eigenvector for alternative body (plastic covered)	64
4.36	Value of eigenvector for alternative rivet (plastic covered)	64
4.37	Value of eigenvector for alternative holder (plastic covered)	64
4.38	Value of eigenvector for alternative body (metal covered)	65
4.39	Value of eigenvector for alternative rivet (metal covered)	65
4.40	Value of eigenvector for alternative holder (metal covered)	65
4.41	Value of eigenvector for alternative outlet spring (plastic covered)	66
4.42	Value of eigenvector for alternative anvil (plastic covered)	66
4.43	Value of eigenvector for alternative pusher (plastic covered)	66
4.44	Value of eigenvector for alternative outlet spring (metal covered)	67
4.45	Value of eigenvector for alternative anvil (metal covered)	67
4.46	Value of eigenvector for alternative pusher (metal covered)	67
4.47	Consistency ratio of compress section with respect to clogged (plastic covered)	68
4.48	Consistency ratio of compress section with respect to broke down (plastic covered)	68
4.49	Consistency ratio of compress section with respect to loose (plastic covered)	68
4.50	Consistency ratio of compress section with respect to clogged (metal covered)	69
4.51	Consistency ratio of compress section with respect to broke down (metal covered)	69
4.52	Consistency ratio of compress section with respect to loose (metal covered)	69
4.53	Consistency ratio of housing section with respect to clogged (plastic covered)	69
4.54	Consistency ratio of housing section with respect to broke down (plastic cover)	69
4.55	Consistency ratio of housing section with respect to loose (plastic cover)	70

4.56	Consistency ratio of housing section with respect to clogged (metal covered)	70
4.57	Consistency ratio of housing section with respect to breakdown (metal covered)	70
4.58	Consistency ratio of housing section with respect to loose (metal covered)	70
4.59	Consistency ratio of discharge outlet section with respect to clogged (plastic covered)	71
4.60	Consistency ratio of discharge outlet section with respect to breakdown (plastic covered)	71
4.61	Consistency ratio of discharge outlet section with respect to loose (plastic covered)	71
4.62	Consistency ratio of discharge outlet section with respect to clogged (metal covered)	72
4.63	Consistency ratio of discharge outlet section with respect to breakdown (metal covered)	72
4.64	Consistency ratio of discharge outlet section with respect to loose (metal covered)	72
4.65	Final score of the alternatives compress spring (plastic covered)	72
4.66	Final score for alternative handle (plastic covered)	73
4.67	Final score for alternative hammer (plastic covered)	73
4.68	Final score of the alternatives compress spring (metal covered)	73
4.69	Final score for alternative handle (metal covered)	74
4.70	Final score for alternative hammer (metal covered)	74
4.71	Final score for alternative body (plastic covered)	74
4.72	Final score for alternative rivet (plastic covered)	75
4.73	Final score for alternative holder (plastic covered)	75

4.74	Final score for alternative body (metal covered)	75
4.75	Final score for alternative rivet (metal covered)	76
4.76	Final score for alternative holder (metal covered)	76
4.77	Final score for alternative outlet spring (plastic covered)	76
4.78	Final score for alternative anvil (plastic covered)	77
4.79	Final score for alternative pusher (plastic covered)	77
4.80	Final score for alternative outlet spring (metal covered)	78
4.81	Final score for alternative anvil (metal covered)	78
4.82	Final score for alternative pusher (metal covered)	79
4.83	Critical component for staple gun	81
4.84	Final score of alternatives in compress section	82
4.85	Final score of alternatives in housing section	83
4.86	Final score of alternatives in discharge outlet section	84

LIST OF FIGURES

NO.		PAGE
2.1	General relationship of assets management, RCM, RCAM and critical component identification	13
3.1	Flowchart of process throughout the project	23
3.2	Compress section block diagram	24
3.3	Discharge outlet section block diagram	24
3.4	Housing section block diagram	25
3.5	Hierarchy structure of problem	27
3.6	Calculation of eigenvector by using Microsoft Excel	30
3.7	Calculation of maximum eigenvector by using Microsoft Excel	31
3.8	Framework of project	34
4.1	Section of plastic covered staple gun	37
4.2	Metal covered staple gun	38
4.3	Classification of section in staple gun	39
4.4	Compress section block diagram	39
4.5	Discharge outlet section block diagram	40
4.6	Housing section block diagram	40
4.7	Hierarchy structure of problem for staple gun	44
4.8	Hierarchy structure problem for compress section	45
4.9	Hierarchy structure problem for housing section	46

4.10	Hierarchy structure problem for discharge outlet section	47
4.11	Value of eigenvector for plastic covered in Microsoft Excel	49
4.12	Value of eigenvector for metal covered in Microsoft Excel	50

©This item is protected by original copyright

Mengenalpasti Komponen yang Kritikal Menggunakan Proses Hirarki Analisis – Kajian untuk Penambahbaik Rekabentuk Produk

ABSTRAK

Kegagalan produk semasa operasi boleh menyebabkan ketidakberpuasan pelanggan. Untuk mengekalkan nilai produk yang tinggi, tindakan yang tepat seperti langkah penyelenggaraan dan ketahanan produk adalah salah satu bahagian penting semasa fasa penambahbaik rekabentuk produk. Dalam fasa ini, pengenalpastian komponen kritikal yang perlu diberi keutamaan untuk penambahbaik rekabentuk produk mestilah dikenalpasti dengan jelas. Namun begitu, amalan penambahbaik rekabentuk produk sedia ada, tidak memberi perhatian terhadap pengenalpastian komponen yang kritikal yang perlu diutamakan dalam penambahbaik rekabentuk produk. Oleh itu, untuk membuat proses penambahbaik ini lebih berkesan dan cekap, kajian ini menyarankan model pengenalpastian komponen yang kritikal. Objektif utama model yang dicadangkan ini adalah untuk mengenalpasti komponen yang kritikal untuk penambahbaik rekabentuk produk. Metodologi kajian ini bermula dengan aplikasi Komponen Klasifikasi Analisis (KKA) di mana komponen utama dan komponen sokongan dikenalpasti. Seterusnya, hasil daripada KKA digunakan sebagai input untuk Proses Hierarki Analisis (PHA). Dalam langkah ini, input dibandingkan dengan menggunakan perbandingan pasangan berdasarkan kriteria yang telah dipilih iaitu tersumbat, rosak dan longgar. Satu kajian terhadap dua jenis senjata ruji yang berbeza digunakan untuk mengesahkan model yang telah dibina. Hasil daripada kajian ini menunjukkan terdapat lima komponen yang kritikal iaitu spring kompress, badan senjata ruji, spring outlet, tukul dan rivet dalam senjata ruji perlu diberi keutamaan untuk penambahbaik rekabentuk produk. Dengan memiliki maklumat ini, ia dapat membantu pasukan rekabentuk untuk memberi perhatian kepada komponen tertentu semasa penambahbaik rekabentuk produk.

Critical Components Identification using Analytic Hierarchy Process – A Case Study towards Product Design Improvement

ABSTRACT

Failure of product during its operation may result unsatisfied customer. In order to maintain the high value of product, proper action such as maintainability measure and reliability is one of crucial part during product design improvement phase. In this phase, the identification of critical component that should be prioritized for product design improvement must be clearly identified. However, in current practices of product design improvement, the attention on identifying critical component has been neglected. Therefore, to make this improvement process more effective and efficient, a decision model of critical component identification is proposed. The main objective of this proposed model is to identify the critical components towards product design improvement. The methodology of this study starts with the application of Component Classification Analysis (CCA) where the main components and support components is identified. Next, the result from the CCA is used as the input for Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP). In this step, the input is compared by using pairwise comparison based on the criteria that has been chosen which are clogged, breakdown and loose. A case study of two different types of staple guns is used to validate the developed decision model. Results from this research shows that there are five critical components which are compress spring, body, outlet spring, hammer and rivet in the staple gun should be prioritized for product design improvement. By having this information, it will help the design team to focus on that particular component during product design improvement.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

This chapter presents overview of research study which involve of research background, problem statements which drives to the objective and scope of this project. Research background section gives overview on research content while problem statements discusses about the problem that happened in identify critical component in the past literature. Next, the objectives of this research is proposed, followed by the scope and limitation.

1.2 Research Background

Customer satisfaction determines the success of product and only product at high value meet requirements of customers who expect them to perform efficiently through their whole life cycle (Li, Liu, & Li, 2014). In order to fulfill these requirements the minimum parameters should be assured within the product development processes. From an elementary part to compound parts, they must be designed and manufactured on high quality level, reliable and safe to be used. Reliability is one of the critical attribute that determine the effectiveness of product where it measures the ability of product to avoid

failure. A reliability deficiency will lead to lost product performance, safety issues and also require restorative actions for examples repair, diagnosis, spare replenishment and maintenance (Pecht & Rafanelli, 2009).

Various type of machines have distinct of reliability requirement, failure rate and safety level (Groenevelt, Pintelon, & Seidmann, 1992). The proper maintainability measure should be defined for different type of machines in early stage of design development phase. This will maintain the reliability and availability of production facility in the acceptable level.

1.3 Problem Statements

Component failure or operating condition change causes degradation of product performance (Payman Dehghanian, Fotuhi, Ali, & Kazemi, 2011). Demands for maintaining the reliability of product and pressure to optimize maintainability measure has led to severe companies' pressure. In general, the product reliability has become one of the key factors for the product to be successfully marketable (Yadav, Singh, Babu, & Goel, 2003). The ability of product to be built reliably in competitive environment nowadays, not only depend on how effective the company can manage the product design improvement but also how they do so at the earliest stages of product design improvement. In order to enhance the value of product, identification of critical component to be prioritized for product design improvement is very essential.

There are few research about identification of critical components during operation phase such as (Bertling, Allan, & Eriksson, 2005) which proposed a method on identification of critical component in power distribution system. Thus, this research attempts to fill gap in the literature by proposing a methodology of analytic hierarchy process which focused on the critical component identification for design product improvement.

1.4 Research questions

For this study, there are two research questions have been constructed. The questions are as the follows:

- How does the identification of critical component can add value in the process product design improvement?
- How does the process of critical component identification can be carried out systematically and effectively?

1.5 Objectives of the study

The main research objective for this study is to develop the critical component identification decision model for product design improvement. The model will assist designer to identify the critical components towards product design improvement. The specific objectives of this study are highlight as the following:

- i. To propose the critical component identification decision model by using Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP).
- ii. To validate the critical component decision model by using case study of different type of staple gun.

1.6 Scope

This research is focusing on identification of critical component for improving product design. The experiment has been done on two different validation model of staple gun in order to seek the functional failure in each component while performing normal operation. Then, the component classification analysis (CCA) is conducted in order to analyze the section involved and also component that probably related in enhancing functional failure. Later, the information gained from the component classification analysis (CCA) is used as input for analytic hierarchy process (AHP) for further analysis on critical component identification.

1.7 Significant of the Study

In this study, the identification of critical component decision model is developed. The main significant of applying Component Classification Analysis (CCA) and Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) in developing this decision model is to help the design team to identify which components that they should consider more during product design

improvement. This identification not only improves the right component at the early of product design improvement process but also can fulfill the customer desired in the end.

©This item is protected by original copyright

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

This chapter reviews the literature of past researches. The first section discussed about the product lifecycle. There are three areas in product lifecycle that have been further reviewed which are product development phase, product operation phase and product improvement phase. Second section is about product reliability and maintainability. Then, critical component identification process is review and lastly, the final section presents the literature reviews finding.

2.2 Product lifecycle

In this study, three phase of product lifecycle has been reviewed which are product development phase, product operation phase and product improvement phase. Detail review of these phases is discussed in next section.

2.2.1 Product development phase

Product development processes (PDPs) are the methods and procedures that companies apply to form new products and make it marketable. There are some reasons that cause companies to form or develop new product frequently. Many companies employ various types of distinct product development processes (PDPs) to design new products. Well-designed PDPs are very essential to shorten process time, manage risks, and design better products. Moreover, (R. Roy, Colmer, & Griggs, 2005) reported that due to the high competitive, customers regularly wants new products with high quality and functionality without price increment. However, the rate of success for new product to be commercialized still did not show much increment in term of profit (Barczak, Griffin, & Kahn, 2009). Besides that, they also claimed that the percent of sales and profit gained by new products showed decrement trend with less than one third of sale and profit by new product. This situation caused the companies' continuing to find the best way to improve their new product development in term of efficiency (such as cost reduction, shortest time to market) and effectiveness (such as high quality of new product and high marketability). The process of development product should not only focus on product specifications and its physical configuration, but also include the product offering such as product support and after-sale supplies (Krishnan & Ulrich, 2001). Previous studies have primarily concentrated on the field of Product Development Process (PDP), because it can give advantage in term of competitiveness among company. The performance of a product is located in its development process (Dekker & Smidt, 2003) and for that reason, to enhance this parameters, appropriate process in the PDP is necessary.

Research by (Erat & Kavadias, 2008) compared older product prototyping processes for their product development process. However, they found that the finding is insufficient and gave complex architectures. While, (Ho & Lin, 2009) presented product development process based on concurrent function deployment and concurrent engineering. In another research, found that product development in manufacturing system was involved of mass production and mass customization (Gu, Hashemian, & Nee, 2004). Multi demand from customers and market competition required production development to meet the quality, productivity and also cost based on the customer demand. An open-architecture product (OAP) is one of the methods that can deal with the contradiction between mass production and product customization (Koren, Hu, Gu, & Shpitalni, 2013)

2.2.2 Product operation phase

The performance of product purchased is not only the requirement that can fulfill customer desired, but total value gained, quality of purchase product and also the experience of service life throughout purchase product (Ghodrati, Benjevic, & Jardine, 2012). Thus, many products for examples, industrial products require support throughout their operating lifetime. As alternatives, manufacturers attempt to offer their customers in gaining high value of profit from the purchased product which known as product support. Typical types of support that manufacturers should offer for their new product for example; installation, training, service for maintenance and repair, documentation, availability in term of spare part, upgrades (to enhanced functionality), customer consultation and schemes of warranty (Goffin, 2000).

In other research, (Wang, 2011) found that the instability of operating conditions might produce undesired final output. It may be helpful if customer can predict the quality of product during operation phase, thus the adjustments of process condition can be made. This research focused on the real-time prediction of quality for final product during operation phase. An approach of data-driven modeling has been introduced. This approach used information of available process up to the current points to obtain their time-varying relationships with the quality of final product during operation phase. Thus, the prognosis of final quality product can be gained in real time.

2.2.3 Product improvement phase

The interaction of customer that used the product and manufacturer plays important role in improving any product. Effective communication between customer and manufacturer will give good input in improving products. The input that gained through actual use and customer-manufacturer communication will help manufacturer in redesign and yet improve the product (Habermeier, 1990). This is supported by (Zhang, Peng, & Gu, 2015) in their research which reveal that the involvement of customer during product design can fulfill the requirement of functional needs of products. Besides that, (Brockhoff, 2003) also agree that customers' perspective can give positive feedback for future improvement product. Usually, the characteristic of product such as reliability and maintainability are discovered if the product has been used, sometimes for long period of time (Habermeier, 1990).