



**Production of Catalytic and Non-catalytic Gases from
Pyrolysis of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW)**

by

TURSUNOV OBID

1031210495

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science (Environmental Engineering)

**School of Environmental Engineering
UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2012

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to begin by thanking my supervisors, Dr. Irnis Azura Zakarya, Mr. Khairuddin Md Isa and Dr. Ong Soon An thank you for the wisdom, understanding, and compassion that you have imparted to me and to my ideas. I have been blessed to have such a brilliant mentors to help me navigate the thesis process. You have offered guidance, support, and unwavering patience throughout this process. I have taken efforts in this project. However, it would not have been possible without the kind support and help of many individuals and organizations. I would like to extend my sincere thanks to all of them. I am highly indebted to the staff of the School of Environmental Engineering for their guidance and constant supervision as well as for providing necessary information regarding the project and also for their support in completing the project. I would like to express my gratitude towards to the member of Post Graduate Unit for their kind co-operation and encouragement which help me in completion of this project. I would like to express my special gratitude and thanks to industry persons for giving me such attention and time. My thanks and appreciations also address to my colleague in developing the project and people who have willingly helped me out with their abilities. I am grateful to my parents and sisters and brother in laws for their extreme moral support, encouragement and patience during the course of studies as well as throughout my academic career. No personal development can ever take place without the proper guidance of parents. This work is dedicated to my parents for their constant prayer and never ending love.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
THESIS DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
ABSTRAK	xvii
ABSTRACT	xix
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.2.1 Impact of Municipal Solid Waste on Health	5
1.2.2 Exposure to Hazardous Waste	6
1.2.3 Waste from Agriculture and Industries	6
1.2.4 Disposal of Hospital and other Medical Waste	7
1.2.5 Waste Treatment and Disposal Sites	7
1.2.6 Recycling	7

1.2.7 The Role of Plastics	8
1.2.8 Negative Impact of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) in Malaysia	8
1.3 Research Objectives	9
1.4 Scope of Research	9
1.5 Research Outline	10
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 Management of MSW	13
2.3 Characteristics of Gas Analyzers	15
2.3.1 Pocket Gas Analyzer and Theory of Operation	17
2.4 Pyrolysis	18
2.4.1 Process for Biomass Pyrolysis	20
2.5 Pyrolysis of Municipal Solid Waste	23
2.5.1 Products from MSW Pyrolysis	25
2.5.2 Pyrolysis Products: Influence of Operating Parameters	28
2.5.3 The Flash/Fast Pyrolysis	29
2.6 Mechanism of Catalytic Pyrolysis of MSW	30
2.7 Catalysis (catalyst)	31
2.7.1 Background of Catalyst	33
2.7.2 History of Catalysis	34
2.7.3 Current Market	35
2.7.4 Impact of Catalysis in the Environment	35

2.7.5 Typical Mechanism of Catalysts	36
2.7.6 Catalysis and Reaction Energetic	37
2.7.7 Typical Catalytic Materials	39
2.8 Types of catalysis	40
2.8.1 Heterogeneous catalysts	40
2.8.2 Homogeneous catalysts	41
2.8.3 Electro catalysts	42
2.8.4 Organocatalysis	42
2.9 Significance of catalysis	43
2.9.1 Energy processing	43
2.9.2 Bulk chemicals	44
2.9.3 Fine chemicals	45
2.9.4 Food processing	45
2.9.5 Biology of catalysts	45
2.9.6 Inhibitors, poisons and pyrometers	46
2.10 Dolomite Catalysts	47
2.10.1 Properties of Dolomite	50
2.10.2 Formation of Dolomite	51
2.11 Zeolite	53
2.11.1 Properties of Zeolite	53
2.11.2 Uses of Zeolite in Petrochemical Industry	54

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction	56
3.2 MSW Sampling	56
3.3 Proximate Analysis of MSW Sample	57
3.3.1 Moisture Content	57
3.3.2 Volatile Matter Content	58
3.3.3 Ash and Fixed Carbon Content	58
3.3.4 Calorific Value	59
3.4 Ultimate Analysis of MSW Sample	60
3.5 Catalysts	60
3.6 Minipal 4 X-ray Fluorescent (XRF) Spectrometer	61
3.7 Pyrolysis Apparatus and Procedure	62
3.8 Experimental Design	66
3.8.1 Two-level Fractional Factorial Design	66
3.8.2 Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	66
3.9 Operation of Refrigerated Bath Circulator	67
3.9.1 Setting Operation Timer of Refrigerated Bath Circulator	70
3.9.2 Temperature Setting of Refrigerated Bath Circulator	70
3.10 Gas Analysis and Operational Method of Gas Analyzer ðPocket Gasö	70

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS

4.1 Introduction	74
4.2 Result of MSW Sampling	74

4.3 Results of Proximate and Ultimate Analysis of MSW Sample	76
4.4 Result of X-ray Patterns of Catalysts	77
4.5 Optimization	84
4.6 Catalytic and Non-catalytic Activity	85
4.6.1 Product Yields from Pyrolysis of MSW	86
4.6.2 Influences of Reactor Temperature on Gas Composition of MSW Pyrolysis	90
4.7 Effect of Particle Size on Product Yields	118
CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION	
5.1 Introduction	122
5.2 Waste Composition and Physico-chemical Properties	122
5.3 Catalytic Pyrolysis of MSW	123
5.4 Influence of Reactor Temperature on Gas Composition of MSW Pyrolysis	124
5.5 Effect of Particle Size on Product Yields	127
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
6.1 Conclusion	129
6.2 Recommendation	130
REFERENCES	133
APPENDIX A: Specifications of Refrigerated Bath Circulator	146
APPENDIX B: Specifications of Gas Analyzer	147
APPENDIX C: Technical Specifications of X-ray Spectrometer	148

APPENDIX D: Photo Galleries of Research Project	149
APPENDIX E: List of Publications	152
APPENDIX F: List of Awards	153

© This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF TABLES

NO.		PAGE
2.1	The product composition (vol. %) when converting naphthalene over calcined dolomite at 800°C (Alden et al, 1996; Lammers, 1996).	49
2.2	Product yields and recovery from catalytic pyrolysis of MSW at different reactor temperature (Williams and Horne, 1994).	50
3.1	Calcination of catalyst dolomite under different temperatures and list of catalyst used in pyrolysis process.	61
3.2	Experimental parameters for pyrolysis process.	65
3.3	The measurement units, ranges and resolutions of Gas Analyzer Pocket Gas.	72
4.1	Proximate and Ultimate analysis of MSW.	76
4.2	Surface characteristics of the raw dolomite.	77
4.3	Surface characteristics of the calcined dolomite (700°C ó 4h).	78
4.4	Surface characteristics of the calcined dolomite (80°C ó 5h).	79
4.5	Surface characteristics of the calcined dolomite (900°C ó 4h).	81
4.6	Surface characteristics of the calcined dolomite (950°C ó 3h).	82
4.7	Surface characteristics of Zeolite.	84
4.8	Design matrix using CCD of MSW pyrolysis in a fixed-bed reactor.	85
4.9	Product yields and recovery from catalytic zeolite, raw dolomite, four types of calcined dolomite (700°C/4h , 800°C/5h, 900°C/4h, , and 950°C/3h) and non-catalytic pyrolysis of MSW at 100-750°C reactor temperature.	89
4.10	Non -catalytic influence of reactor temperature on product yields and gas characterization (I) .	91
4.11	Non -catalytic influence of reactor temperature on product yields and gas characterization (II).	93

4.12	Non -catalytic influence of reactor temperature on product yields and gas characterization (III).	95
4.13	Catalyst raw dolomite influence of reactor temperature on product yields and gas characterization (IV).	98
4.14	Catalyst calcined dolomite 700°C/4h influence of reactor temperature on product yields and gas characterization (V).	100
4.15	Catalyst calcined dolomite 800°C/5h influence of reactor temperature on product yields and gas characterization (VI).	103
4.16	Catalyst calcined dolomite 900°C/4h influence of reactor temperature on product yields and gas characterization (VII).	105
4.17	Catalyst calcined dolomite 900°C/4h influence of reactor temperature on product yields and gas characterization (VIII).	108
4.18	Catalyst calcined dolomite 950°C/3h influence of reactor temperature on product yields and gas characterization (IX).	110
4.19	Catalyst calcined dolomite influence of reactor temperature on product yields and gas characterization (X).	113
4.20	Catalyst Zeolite influence of reactor temperature on product yields and gas characterization (X).	116
4.21	Experimental results of different particle size in catalytic pyrolysis (950°C/3h; Experiment IX).	119
4.22	Experimental results of different particle size in non-catalytic pyrolysis (Experiment III).	120

LIST OF FIGURES

NO.	PAGE
1.1 Overall-flow diagram of research project.	11
2.1 Pocket Gas analyzer and customer data.	18
2.2 Energy diagram the effect of catalyst in a hypothetical exothermic chemical reaction $X+Z$ to give Z .	37
3.1 Minipal 4 X-ray Fluorescent (XRF) Spectrometer and methodology for sampling analysis.	62
3.2 Schematic diagram of the fix-bed reactor (Khairuddin et al., 2010).	63
3.3 Appearance and naming of Refrigerated Bath Circulator.	68
3.4 Refrigerated Bath Circulator Controller details.	69
3.5 Appearance and Naming of Gas Analyzer Pocket Gas.	73
4.1 Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) compositions.	75
4.2 X-ray patterns of the raw dolomite.	78
4.3 X-ray patterns of the calcined dolomite (700°C ó 4h).	79
4.4 X-ray patterns of the calcined dolomite (800°C ó 5h).	80
4.5 X-ray patterns of the calcined dolomite (900°C ó 4h).	81
4.6 X-ray patterns of the calcined dolomite (950°C ó 3h).	82
4.7 X-ray patterns of Zeolite.	83
4.8 Comparison of the gas, char and oil yield components of catalytic and non-catalytic pyrolysis with non-catalyst, raw dolomite, four types of calcined dolomite (700°C/4h , 800°C/5h, 900°C/4h, and 950°C/3h) and catalysts zeolite.	87

4.9 Gas composition versus reaction temperature and time of non-catalytic pyrolysis (I-experiment).	91
4.10 The gas, char and oil yield composition with non-catalyst at the reactor temperature 200-700°C (experiment I).	92
4.11 Gas compositions versus reaction temperature and time of non-catalytic pyrolysis (II-experiment).	93
4.12 The gas, char and oil yield composition with non-catalyst at the reactor temperature 200-700°C (experiment II).	95
4.13 Gas compositions versus reaction temperature and time of non-catalytic pyrolysis (III-experiment).	96
4.14 The gas, char and oil yield composition with non-catalyst at the reactor temperature 100-750°C (experiment III).	97
4.15 Gas compositions versus reaction temperature and time of catalyst raw dolomite (IV-experiment).	99
4.16 The gas, char and oil yield composition with catalyst raw dolomite at the reactor temperature 30-750°C (experiment IV).	100
4.17 Gas compositions versus reaction temperature and time of catalyst calcined dolomite 700°C/4h (V-experiment).	101
4.18 The gas, char and oil yield composition with catalyst calcined dolomite (700°C/4h) at the reactor temperature 100-750°C (experiment V).	102
4.19 Gas compositions versus reaction temperature and time of catalyst calcined dolomite 800°C/5h (VI-experiment).	104
4.20 The gas, char and oil yield composition with catalyst calcined dolomite (800°C/5h) at the reactor temperature 40-750°C (experiment VI).	105
4.21 Gas compositions versus reaction temperature and time of catalyst calcined dolomite 900°C/4h (VII-experiment).	106
4.22 The gas, char and oil yield composition with catalyst calcined dolomite (900°C/4h) at the reactor temperature 500-750°C (experiment VII).	107

4.23 Gas compositions versus reaction temperature and time of catalyst calcined dolomite 900°C/4h (VIII-experiment).	108
4.24 The gas, char and oil yield composition with catalyst calcined dolomite (900°C/4h) at the reactor temperature 100-700°C (experiment VIII).	109
4.25 Gas compositions versus reaction temperature and time of catalyst calcined dolomite 950°C/3h (IX-experiment).	111
4.26 The gas, char and oil yield composition with catalyst calcined dolomite (950°C/3h) at the reactor temperature 90-750°C (experiment IX).	112
4.27 Gas compositions versus reaction temperature and time of catalyst calcined dolomite (X-experiment).	113
4.28 The gas, char and oil yield composition with catalyst calcined dolomite at the reactor temperature 30-450°C.	115
4.29 Gas compositions versus reaction temperature and time of catalyst zeolite (XI-experiment).	117
4.30 The gas, char and oil yield composition with catalyst zeolite at the reactor temperature 50-750°C.	118
4.31 Effect of particle size on gas, char and oil yield composition in catalytic pyrolysis (950°C/3h; Experiment IX).	119
4.32 Effect of particle size on gas, char and oil yield composition in non-catalytic pyrolysis (Experiment III).	120

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CCD	Central Composite Design
CFCs	Chlorofluorocarbons
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FVT	Flash Vacuum Thermolysis
FFD	Fractional Factorial Design
HC	Hydrocarbons
LCD	Liquid Crystal Display
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
MHLG	Ministry of Housing and Local Government
MVT	Mississippi Valley-Type
MnO	Manganese Oxide
MRFs	Materials Recovery Facilities
MHV	Miniature High Voltage
NDIR	Nondispersive Infrared Sensor
NDS	National Design Standard
OBD	On Board Diagnostic
RSM	Response Surface Methodology
SWM	Solid Waste Management
SDD	Silicon Drift Detector

TON Turn Over Number

TOF Turn Over Frequency

© This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Specifications of Refrigerated Bath Circulator

Appendix B: Specifications of Gas Analyzer

Appendix C: Technical Specifications of X-ray Spectrometer

Appendix D: Photo Galleries of Research Project

Appendix E: List of Publications

Appendix F: List of Awards

© This item is protected by original copyright

Pengeluaran Gas Katalitik dan Bukan-katalitik daripada Pirolisis Sisa Pepejal Perbandaran (SPP)

ABSTRAK

Sisa pepejal perbandaran (SPP) terutamanya sisa yang dihasilkan oleh isi rumah, dan juga termasuk beberapa sisa perdagangan dan perindustrian yang sama sifat dengan sampah rumah dan telah dilupuskan di tapak pelupusan perbandaran, menyebabkan pelbagai kesan-kesan merbahaya kepada alam sekitar dan manusia. Pada masa kini, kitar semula SPP adalah isu yang penting di kebanyakan negara maju dan membangun seperti di Malaysia juga. Salah satu teknik yang berkesan untuk mengitar semula SPP adalah Pirolisis. Pirolisis adalah degradasi bahan makromolekul dengan haba dalam ketiadaan oksigen. Ia mempunyai kedua-dua aspek gunaan dan analitikal. Pembangunan proses pirolisis pantas maju untuk pengeluaran gas telah mendapat perhatian banyak dalam dekad yang lalu, kerana mereka menawarkan cara yang mudah untuk menukar sisa SPP nilai rendah kepada hasil gas dan produk nilai tambah. Proses pirolisis telah digunakan untuk mengitar semula sisa pepejal perbandaran ke dalam bentuk tenaga yang boleh diperbaharui. Pirolisis lebih berkesan untuk memulih produk dari bahan buangan berbanding pembakaran. Apabila SPP dibakar dalam insinerator moden produk yang praktikal bukan sahaja tenaga, tetapi gas, minyak dan arang pepejal daripada pirolisis juga boleh digunakan sebagai bahan api yang telah melalui proses penulenan dan digunakan sebagai bahan mentah bagi petro-kimia dan aplikasi lain. Di samping itu, pemangkin dalam proses pirolisis ini telah memainkan peranan yang penting untuk meningkatkan hasil keluaran. Walau bagaimanapun, beberapa penemuan telah didapati pada pemangkin dalam proses pirolisis ini. Dalam kajian ini, saya memberi tumpuan kepada pengeluaran gas dari proses pirolisis sisa pepejal perbandaran dengan menggunakan tiga jenis pemangkin (zeolit, dolomit asli dan dolomit dipanaskan). Oleh itu, kajian ini memberi tumpuan kepada gas (HC, CO dan CO₂) analisis daripada pirolisis sisa pepejal perbandaran (SPP) dengan zeolit sebagai pemangkin, empat jenis pengkalsinan (900 °C/4h, 700 °C/4h, 950 °C/3h dan 800 °C/5h) dan dolomit semula jadi sebagai pemangkin, dan juga proses pirolisis SPP yang tidak menggunakan pemangkin. Dolomit yang melalui pengkalsinan pada 950 °C/3h dan 800 °C/5h telah dipilih sebagai pemangkin yang sesuai bagi pengeluaran CO dan CO₂. Dalam projek penyelidikan ini catat testö hiliran tetap - katil reaktor pada julat suhu antara 30 - 750 °C telah digunakan dan pengeluaran gas daripada pirolisis SPP berubah-ubah dalam lingkungan 35 - 57mol%. Hasil kajian menunjukkan kehadiran dolomit yang telah melalui proses pengkalsinan mempengaruhi dengan ketara hasil produk dan komposisi gas dalam proses pirolisis, dan inimenunjukkan kehadiran pemangkin penting kepada peningkatan hasil gas dan mengurangkan hasil minyak dan hasil arang berbanding dengan menggunakan zeolite

sabagai pemangkin dan proses pirolisis yang tidak menggunakan apa-apa pemangkin. Suhu yang lebih tinggi 550 - 750 °C mengakibatkan penukaran yang SPP ke dalam pengeluaran gas lebih tinggi dengan amat suatu peningkatan CO dan sedikit peningkatan kandungan CO₂. Akibatnya, CO dan CO₂ adalah faktor utama pengeluaran rumah hijau dan bio gas (tenaga). Sementara itu, gas bio daripada pirolisis SPP adalah sangat wajar sebagai bahan mentah untuk proses Fischer - Tropsch atau sintesis untuk pengeluaran bahan api pengangkutan, juga boleh digunakan secara langsung sebagai Nilai Pemanas Sederhana (NPS) bahan api.

© This item is protected by original copyright

Production of Catalytic and Non-catalytic Gases from Pyrolysis of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW)

ABSTRACT

Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) is primarily waste produced by the household, but also includes some commercial and industrial waste that is similar in nature to household waste and has been deposited in municipal landfill site, causing multitude of harmful impacts to the environment and to human beings. Currently, recycling of MSW is an essential issue in many developed and developing countries like in Malaysia as well. One of the effective techniques for MSW recycling is pyrolysis. Pyrolysis is the degradation of macromolecular materials with heat in the absence of oxygen. It has both applied and analytical aspects. The development of advanced fast pyrolysis process for gas production has gained much attention in the last decade, because they offer a convenient way to convert low value MSW residues into gas yield and value-added products. In this study, pyrolysis process has been used for municipal solid waste recycling into renewable kind of energy. Pyrolysis offer more scope for recovering products from waste than incineration. When MSW is burnt in a modern incinerator the only practical product is energy, whereas the gases, oils and solid char from pyrolysis can be used as a fuel but also purified and used as a feedstock for petro-chemicals and other applications. In addition, catalyst in pyrolysis has been playing significant role to increase product yields. However, a few literatures were found on catalyst in pyrolysis process. This study focused on gas production from pyrolysis process of municipal solid waste by using three types of catalysts (zeolite, raw dolomite and calcined dolomite). Thus, this study focused on gases (HC, CO and CO₂) analysis from pyrolysis of municipal solid waste (MSW) with catalysts zeolite, four types of calcined (700°C/4h, 800°C/5h, 900°C/4h and 950°C/3h) and raw dolomite as catalyst, and also non catalytic pyrolysis of MSW. Calcined dolomite 950°C/3h and 800°C/5h were selected as suitable catalyst among others for CO and CO₂ production. Research project has been investigated in a downstream fixed bed reactor over the temperature range between of 30 to 750°C. Gas production from pyrolysis of MSW varied in the range of 35 to 57 mol%. The results indicated the presence of calcined dolomite influenced significantly the product yields and gas composition in pyrolysis process, and revealed essential catalytic performance on increasing gas yield and decreasing oil yield and char yield comparing to zeolite and non catalytic pyrolysis process. A higher temperature of 550 to 750°C resulted in a higher conversion of MSW into gas production with a greatly increasing of CO and slight increasing of CO₂ contents.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) is primarily waste which is produced by the household, but also includes some commercial and industrial waste that is similar in nature to household waste and has been deposited in municipal landfill sites. MSW can be a liability if requiring disposal but also represents a considerable resource that can be beneficially recovered by the recycling of materials such as aluminum cans, metals, glass, fibers or through recovery operations such as conversion to energy and composting. However, significant quantities of MSW continue to be disposed of in landfill largely due to its low cost and ready availability. Clearly, new waste management practices are needed (Anon, 2003).

In landfill the biodegradable components of MSW (e.g., paper and food wastes) decompose and emit methane a greenhouse gas 23 times more potent than carbon dioxide and the cause of significant environmental problems. Other components (e.g., leachate) can also cause significant environmental pollution in air and ground water, and give rise to odour. In general, valuable resources are wasted. For these reasons most countries aim to reduce their dependence on the use of landfills for MSW.

Consequently, MSW is one of the three major environmental problems in Malaysia. It plays a significant role in the ability of nature to sustain life within its capacity.

Currently, over 23,000 tons of waste is produced each day in Malaysia. However, this amount is expected to rise to 30,000 tons by the year 2020. For instance, 100 ó 150 tons of MSW / day produced by households and also by industrial organizations. 305 tones of MSW / year produced in the state of Perlis. The amount of waste generated continues to increase due to the increasing population and development, and only less than 5% of the waste is being recycled (MHLG, 2003). While the rural population still produces 0.8 kg/cap/day in Malaysia, the main problem seems to be the consumption-oriented urban middle-class that generates about 1.9 kg/cap/day of municipal solid waste (Shy et al., 2009). Approximately in Malaysia, between 70 to 80 percent of municipal solid waste is placed in landfill (Sumiani et al., 2009). For many years landfill was inexpensive and the most common technique for solid waste disposal. Any type of waste simply dumped in an open area of ground without any attempt for recovering or recycling. Despite the massive amount and complexity of waste produced, the standards of waste management in Malaysia are still unstable. These include outdated and poor documentation of waste generation rates and its composition, inefficient storage and collection systems, disposal of municipal wastes with toxic and hazardous waste, indiscriminate disposal or dumping of wastes and inefficient utilization of disposal site space (Sivapalan et al., 2003).



Recycling municipal waste can be done in several ways, including some alternative ways that have less of a harmful impact on the environment. Environmental recycle advocates point out that the old methods of taking care of municipal waste disposal are not effective, and cause great harm to the environment. Landfills have a large harmful environmental impact, in the form of leachate which can contain harmful metals and

chemical pollutants, and this can get into the groundwater. Landfills also accumulate greenhouse gases, from the decomposition process, which contribute to global warming. There are other alternative methods of municipal waste disposal that can be more eco friendly, and some can be used for conversion to energy source. Recycling municipal waste can be a source of energy for our home and car (MHLG, 1998).

Pyrolysis is one alternative method for municipal solid waste treatment, and this method offers many benefits. In the pyrolysis process, organic matter thermally decomposes in an environment that is devoid of any oxygen. Gasification is another method for municipal waste disposal, and it follows much the same process as pyrolysis, except that the environment where the decomposition takes place has a small limited amount of oxygen, unlike pyrolysis where the environment has no oxygen. A heat source is required for the pyrolysis process, but no heat source is needed for gasification, because this process is self sustaining thermally. When both pyrolysis and gasification processes occur at the same time, the gasification combustion reactions can provide the heat source needed for the pyrolysis process to perform the reactions. In this process no heat source outside of the gasification process is needed for pyrolysis (Demiral and Sensoz, 2008).

The products obtained from catalytic pyrolysis process depend on the characteristics of the catalyst used. The different catalysts are characterized by different operating conditions and different product distributions. Catalysis in this context was used mainly to crack higher molecular weight compounds to a lighter and more commercially valuable product gas. Dolomite and zeolite were employed in biomass steam gasification

processes to enhance the yield and quality of product gas and decrease tar yield by cracking and reforming the high molecular weight organic components with steam, the catalytic activity of calcined dolomite were extensively investigated in Fixed - bed reactors (Chaudhari, et al. 2003; Xiao, et al. 2006), but few literatures have been found on catalytic behaviors of calcined dolomite in the pyrolysis of MSW.

In the present work, the purpose of this study is to investigate the possible use of the organic fractions of MSW as an energy resource through a process of pyrolysis in a lab-scale continuously feeding Fixed bed reactor with zeolite, natural dolomite and calcined dolomite as catalysts. Nevertheless, non-catalytic pyrolysis of municipal solid waste was also investigated in order to make comparison between catalytic and non-catalytic pyrolysis for gas productivity. The obtained gas production was analyzed and their usability as potential sources of renewable fuels were investigated.

1.2 Problem Statement

A limited supply of natural resources combined with an ever growing demand for energy and raw materials has promoted the development of recovering cryptic energy resources from municipal solid waste. In Malaysia, the per capita generation rate of MSW is 0.5 kg/person/day which in the major cities the figures have extended to 1.7 kg/person/day. The existing dumping sites in Malaysia mostly are not properly engineered and managed; pollutant that are released or discharged from the disposal sites finally caused direct and indirect impact to human life.

Harmful gas emission from landfill sites, steady increasing of MSW and in addition lots of energy and money has been used for transportation, treatment, and final disposal of MSW, and thus the disposal of MSW is one of the most essential and urgent problems in environmental treatment in the world because of the decrease in the available space for land filling and the growing concern about the living environment.

On the other hand, less of research investigations being implemented in terms of catalyst dolomite activity for gas yield production in pyrolysis process and also insufficient researches were done on calcinations of dolomite under variety temperature and its comparison with other catalysts activity for gas yield production.

1.2.1 Impacts of Municipal Solid Waste on Health

The group at risk from the unscientific disposal of solid waste include the population in areas where there is no proper waste disposal method, especially the pre-school children; waste workers; and workers in facilities producing toxic and infectious material. Other high-risk group includes population living close to a waste dump and those, whose water supply has become contaminated either due to waste dumping or leakage from landfill sites. Uncollected solid waste also increases risk of injury, and infection (HISW, 2007).

In particular, organic domestic waste poses a serious threat, since they ferment, creating conditions favorable to the survival and growth of microbial pathogens. Direct